

On January 29, 2025, the American Medical Association (AMA) shared the results and methodology report for the Physician Practice Information (PPI) Survey. In that communication, the AMA noted that Mathematica worked with specialty societies representing 11 qualified health care professional (QHP) specialties, independent clinical laboratories, and independent diagnostic testing facilities (IDTFs) to field the Clinical Practice information (CPI) Survey. The CPI Survey gathers the same information as the PPI Survey on practice expense and time spent by providers delivering patient care. Below is a list of the specialty societies involved in this effort.

Specialty Society	Specialty
AdvaMed	Independent diagnostic testing facilities
American Academy of Audiology	Audiologists
American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons	Oral surgeons
American Chiropractic Association	Chiropractors
Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics	Registered dieticians
American Occupational Therapy Association	Occupational therapists
American Optometric Association	Optometrists
American Physical Therapy Association	Physical therapists
American Psychological Association	Psychologists
American Podiatric Medical Association	Podiatrists

American Speech-Language-Hearing Association	Speech language pathologists
College of American Pathologists	Independent clinical laboratories
National Association of Social Workers	Licensed clinical social workers

Mathematica analyzed the data submitted by respondents who completed the CPI Survey following the same general approach that the AMA took to analyze the PPI Survey data and shared the analysis approach and results with the specialty societies. Each specialty society had the opportunity to review the analysis approach and results, and to decide how to share the results for their specialty with CMS. Most specialty societies agreed to share their results as part of this communication.

Societies adopting alternative approaches include AdvaMed and the American Occupational Therapy Association (AOTA). AdvaMed will separately communicate information about IDTFs to CMS. Additionally, instead of sharing specialty-level results for occupational therapists, the AOTA recommends that CMS reference the results for physical therapists to inform future payment updates for their specialty. The AOTA's reasons for making this recommendation are explained in a separate document.

Attached to this email, we have included the following:

- Table 1. Results from the 2024 CPI Survey. Among the specialty types included in the table, the CPI Survey collected usable data from 258 practices/departments, representing 2,268 QHPs. For each specialty, the following summary data are reported in Table 1: annual direct patient care hours; practice expense per hour (by category required for CMS current methodology); compensation per hour; professional liability insurance premium per hour; number of responses at the department level; and number of QHPs who belong to the departments of the responding practices.
- Mathematica Methodology Report for the CPI Survey. This is a detailed report outlining the process and methodology used for this project. Detailed descriptions of sample frame development, sample selection, and weighting methods are included.
- The AOTA's rationale for preferring that CMS reference the results for physical therapists to inform future payment updates for their specialty.

We are happy to address questions or to direct you to the appropriate contact from each specialty society.