

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION PRIVATE PRACTICE PHYSICIAN SECTION

Resolution: 1
(JUN-22)

Introduced by: Zuhdi Jasser, MD

Subject: Advocacy of Private Practice Options for Healthcare Operations in Large Corporations

Referred to: Reference Committee X

1 WHEREAS, Amazon has begun to develop primary care centers as a part of a major internal
2 investment called Amazon Care¹ specifically targeting the largest workforce in America via on-
3 demand virtual primary care as well as in-person primary care clinics across their fulfillment
4 centers in partnership with Crossover Health² for their employees across the country; and

5
6 WHEREAS, Amazon's own business model that brought them success is actually based upon
7 giving diverse small businesses access to consumer sales and services globally; and

8
9 WHEREAS, When it came to the healthcare of their own employees, Amazon moved to enact a
10 wholly internal employment model rather than one that incorporated local healthcare small
11 businesses; and

12
13 WHEREAS, Amazon could have had a much more diverse, inclusive, and broad-based
14 approach to the healthcare evolution of the care for their own employees with focused advocacy
15 and guidance from the AMA; and

16
17 WHEREAS, Several other large corporations like Walmart, CVS, Walgreens, Livongo, and other
18 Fortune 500 companies are expanding their corporate reach into the healthcare delivery system
19 in a shift for the entire healthcare industry; and

20
21 WHEREAS, these business line shifts represent major opportunities for the "House of Medicine"
22 to education corporate America on the value of diverse models of care on the frontline and
23 promote better access to these models for patients; therefore be it

24
25 RESOLVED, That the AMA study the best method to create pilot programs which advance the
26 advocacy of private practice and small business medicine within the rapidly growing area of
27 internal healthcare within Fortune 500 corporations in American with a report back at Annual
28 2022 (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
29

¹ Amazon, "Amazon Care to launch across U.S. this summer, offering millions of individuals and families immediate access to high-quality medical care and advice—24 hours a day, 365 days a year;" March 17, 2021. Accessed 10/13/2021: <https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/workplace/amazon-care-to-launch-across-u-s-this-summer-offering-millions-of-individuals-and-families-immediate-access-to-high-quality-medical-care-and-advice-24-hours-a-day-365-days-a-year>

² Bowman, J. "Amazon has a new partner in its health care assault"; The Motley Fool; July 18, 2021; Accessed 10/13/21: <https://www.fool.com/investing/2020/07/18/amazon-has-a-new-partner-in-its-health-care-assault.aspx>

1 RESOLVED, That our AMA prioritize advocacy efforts that emphasize small private practice
2 utilization within the investment and business efforts that Fortune 500 corporations are currently
3 undertaking into the healthcare industry (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
4

5 RESOLVED, That our AMA use proposals for the advocacy of small business medicine and
6 private practice models in healthcare as a pilot project in the development of advocacy
7 programs within major leading corporations like Amazon and Walmart which are currently
8 entering the healthcare service market with internalized models of healthcare in the complete
9 absence of more diverse private practice (small business) options (Directive to Take Action).

Fiscal Note: Not yet determined

Received: 3/1/22

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Principles on Corporate Relationships G-630.040

The House of Delegates adopts the following revised principles on Corporate Relationships. The Board will review them annually and, if necessary, make recommendations for revisions to be presented to the House of Delegates.

(1) GUIDELINES FOR AMA CORPORATE RELATIONSHIPS. Principles to guide AMA's relationships with corporate America were adopted by our AMA House of Delegates at its December 1997 meeting and slightly modified at the June 1998 meeting. Subsequently, they have been edited to reflect the recommendations from the Task Force on Association/Corporate Relations, including among its members experts external to our AMA. Minor edits were also adopted in 2002. The following principles are based on the premise that in certain circumstances, our AMA should participate in corporate arrangements when guidelines are met, which can further our AMA's core strategic focus, retain AMA's independence, avoid conflicts of interest, and guard our professional values.

(2) OVERVIEW OF PRINCIPLES. The AMA's principles to guide corporate relationships have been organized into the following categories: General Principles that apply to most situations; Special Guidelines that deal with specific issues and concerns; Organizational Review that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the Board of Trustees, AMA Management and other staff units. These guidelines should be reviewed over time to assure their continued relevance to the policies and operations of our AMA and to our business environment. The principles should serve as a starting point for anyone reviewing or developing AMA's relationships with outside groups.

(3) GENERAL PRINCIPLES. Our AMA's vision and values statement and strategic focus should provide guidance for externally funded relationships. Relations that are not motivated by the association's mission threaten our AMA's ability to provide representation and leadership for the profession.

(a) Our AMA's vision and values and strategic focus ultimately must determine whether a proposed relationship is appropriate for our AMA. Our AMA should not have relationships with organizations or industries whose principles, policies or actions obviously conflict with our AMA's vision and values. For example, relationships with producers of products that harm the public health (e.g., tobacco) are not appropriate for our AMA. Our AMA will proactively choose its priorities for external relationships and collaborate in those that fulfill these priorities.

(b) The relationship must preserve or promote trust in our AMA and the medical profession. To be effective, medical professionalism requires the public's trust. Corporate relationships that could undermine the public's trust in our AMA or the profession are not acceptable. For example, no relationship should raise questions about the scientific content of our AMA's health information publications, AMA's advocacy on public health issues, or the truthfulness of its public statements.

(c) The relationship must maintain our AMA's objectivity with respect to health issues. Our AMA accepts funds or royalties from external organizations only if acceptance does not pose a conflict of interest and in no way impacts the objectivity of the association, its members, activities, programs, or employees. For example, exclusive relationships with manufacturers of health-related products marketed to the public could impair our AMA's objectivity in promoting the health of America. Our AMA's objectivity with respect to health issues should not be biased by external relationships.

(d) The activity must provide benefit to the public's health, patients' care, or physicians' practice. Public education campaigns and programs for AMA or Federation members are potentially of significant benefit. Corporate-supported programs that provide financial benefits to our AMA but no significant benefit to the public or direct professional benefits to AMA or Federation members are not acceptable. In the case of member benefits, external relations must not detract from AMA's professionalism.

(4) SPECIAL GUIDELINES. The following guidelines address a number of special situations where our AMA cannot utilize external funding. There are specific guidelines already in place regarding advertising in publications.

(a) Our AMA will provide health and medical information, but should not involve itself in the production, sale, or marketing to consumers of products that claim a health benefit. Marketing health-related products (e.g., pharmaceuticals, home health care products) undermines our AMA's objectivity and diminishes its role in representing healthcare values and educating the public about their health and healthcare.

(b) Activities should be funded from multiple sources whenever possible. Activities funded from a single external source are at greater risk for inappropriate influence from the supporter or the perception of it, which may be equally damaging. For example, funding for a patient education brochure should be done with multiple sponsors if possible. For the purposes of this guideline, funding from several companies, but each from a different and non-competing industry category (e.g., one pharmaceutical manufacturer and one health insurance provider), does not constitute multiple-source funding. Our AMA recognizes that for some activities the benefits may be so great, the harms so minimal, and the prospects for developing multiple sources of funding so unlikely that single-source funding is a reasonable option. Even so, funding exclusivity must be limited to program only (e.g., asthma conference) and shall not extend to a therapeutic category (e.g., asthma). The Board should review single-sponsored activities prior to implementation to ensure that: (i) reasonable attempts have been made to locate additional sources of funds (for example, issuing an open request for proposals to companies in the category); and (ii) the expected benefits of the project merit the additional risk to our AMA of accepting single-source funding. In all cases of single-source funding, our AMA will guard against conflict of interest.

(c) The relationship must preserve AMA's control over any projects and products bearing our AMA name or logo. Our AMA retains editorial control over any information produced as part of a corporate/externally funded arrangement. When an AMA program receives external financial support, our AMA must remain in control of its name, logo, and AMA content, and must approve all marketing materials to ensure that the message is congruent with our AMA's vision and values. A statement regarding AMA editorial control as well as the name(s) of the program's supporter(s) must appear in all public materials describing the program and in all educational materials produced by the program. (This principle is

intended to apply only to those situations where an outside entity requests our AMA to put its name on products produced by the outside entity, and not to those situations where our AMA only licenses its own products for use in conjunction with another entity's products.)

(d) Relationships must not permit or encourage influence by the corporate partner on our AMA. An AMA corporate relationship must not permit influence by the corporate partner on AMA policies, priorities, and actions. For example, agreements stipulating access by corporate partners to the House of Delegates or access to AMA leadership would be of concern. Additionally, relationships that appear to be acceptable when viewed alone may become unacceptable when viewed in light of other existing or proposed activities.

(e) Participation in a sponsorship program does not imply AMA's endorsement of an entity or its policies. Participation in sponsorship of an AMA program does not imply AMA approval of that corporation's general policies, nor does it imply that our AMA will exert any influence to advance the corporation's interests outside the substance of the arrangement itself. Our AMA's name and logo should not be used in a manner that would express or imply an AMA endorsement of the corporation, its policies and/or its products.

(f) To remove any appearance of undue influence on the affairs of our AMA, our AMA should not depend on funding from corporate relationships for core governance activities. Funding core governance activities from corporate sponsors, i.e., the financial support for conduct of the House of Delegates, the Board of Trustees and Council meetings could make our AMA become dependent on external funding for its existence or could allow a supporter, or group of supporters, to have undue influence on the affairs of our AMA.

(g) Funds from corporate relationships must not be used to support political advocacy activities. A full and effective separation should exist, as it currently does, between political activities and corporate funding. Our AMA should not advocate for a particular issue because it has received funding from an interested corporation. Public concern would be heightened if it appeared that our AMA's advocacy agenda was influenced by corporate funding.

(5) ORGANIZATIONAL REVIEW. Every proposal for an AMA corporate relationship must be thoroughly screened prior to staff implementation. AMA activities that meet certain criteria requiring further review are forwarded to a committee of the Board of Trustees for a heightened level of scrutiny.

(a) As part of its annual report on the AMA's performance, activities, and status, the Board of Trustees will present a summary of the AMA's corporate arrangements to the House of Delegates at each Annual Meeting.

(b) Every new AMA Corporate relationship must be approved by the Board of Trustees, or through a procedure adopted by the Board. Specific procedures and policies regarding Board review are as follows: (i) The Board routinely should be informed of all AMA corporate relationships; (ii) Upon request of two dissenting members of the CRT, any dissenting votes within the CRT, and instances when the CRT and the Board committee differ in the disposition of a proposal, are brought to the attention of the full Board; (iii) All externally supported corporate activities directed to the public should receive Board review and approval; (iv) All activities that have support from only one corporation except patient materials linked to CME, within an industry should either be in compliance with ACCME

guidelines or receive Board review; and (f) All relationships where our AMA takes on a risk of substantial financial penalties for cancellation should receive Board review prior to enactment.

(c) The Executive Vice President is responsible for the review and implementation of each specific arrangement according to the previously described principles. The Executive Vice President is responsible for obtaining the Board of Trustees authorization for externally funded arrangements that have an economic and/or policy impact on our AMA.

(d) The Corporate Review Team reviews corporate arrangements to ensure consistency with the principles and guidelines. (i) The Corporate Review Team is the internal, cross-organizational group that is charged with the review of all activities that associate the AMA's name and logo with that of another entity and/or with external funding. (ii) The Review process is structured to specifically address issues pertaining to AMA's policy, ethics, business practices, corporate identity, reputation and due diligence. Written procedures formalize the committee's process for review of corporate arrangements. (iii) All activities placed on the Corporate Review Team agenda have had the senior manager's review and consent, and following CRT approval will continue to require the routine approvals of the Office of Finance and Office of the General Counsel. (iv) The Corporate Review Team reports its findings and recommendations directly to a committee of the Board.

(e) Our AMA's Office of Risk Management in consultation with the Office of the General Counsel will review and approve all marketing materials that are prepared by others for use in the U.S. and that bear our AMA's name and/or corporate identity. All marketing materials will be reviewed for appropriate use of AMA's logos and trademarks, perception of implied endorsement of the external entity's policies or products, unsubstantiated claims, misleading, exaggerated or false claims, and reference to appropriate documentation when claims are made. In the instance of international publishing of JAMA and the Archives, our AMA will require review and approval of representative marketing materials by the editor of each international edition in compliance with these principles and guidelines.

(6) ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE AND ITS INFLUENCE ON EXTERNALLY FUNDED PROGRAMS.

(a) Organizational culture has a profound impact on whether and how AMA corporate relationships are pursued. AMA activities reflect on all physicians. Moreover, all physicians are represented to some extent by AMA actions. Thus, our AMA must act as the professional representative for all physicians, and not merely as an advocacy group or club for AMA members.

(b) As a professional organization, our AMA operates with a higher level of purpose representing the ideals of medicine. Nevertheless, non-profit associations today do require the generation of non-dues revenues. Our AMA should set goals that do not create an undue expectation to raise increasing amounts of money. Such financial pressures can provide an incentive to evade, minimize, or overlook guidelines for fundraising through external sources.

(c) Every staff member in the association must be accountable to explicit ethical standards that are derived from the vision, values, and focus areas of the Association. In turn, leaders of our AMA must recognize the critical role the organization plays as the sole nationally representative professional association for medicine in America. AMA leaders must make programmatic choices that reflect a commitment to professional values and the core organizational purpose.

Citation: BOT Rep. 20, A-99; Consolidated: CLRPD Rep. 3, I-01; Modified: CLRPD Rep. 1, A-03; Modified: CCB/CLRPD Rep 3., A-12

Corporate Practice of Medicine H-215.981

1. Our AMA vigorously opposes any effort to pass federal legislation preempting state laws prohibiting the corporate practice of medicine.
2. At the request of state medical associations, our AMA will provide guidance, consultation, and model legislation regarding the corporate practice of medicine, to ensure the autonomy of hospital medical staffs, employed physicians in non-hospital settings, and physicians contracting with corporately-owned management service organizations.
3. Our AMA will continue to monitor the evolving corporate practice of medicine with respect to its effect on the patient-physician relationship, financial conflicts of interest, patient-centered care and other relevant issues.

Citation: Res. 247, A-91; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-01; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 7, A-11; Modified: CMS Rep. 6, I-13; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 07, A-17; Modified: Res. 713, A-18; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 11, A-19

Corporate Ownership of Established Private Medical Practices H-160.960

When a private medical practice is purchased by corporate entities, patients going to that practice shall be informed of this ownership arrangement by the corporate entities and/or by the physician.

Citation: Res. 3, I-92; Modified: CMS Rep. 1, A-95; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 7, A-05; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 1, A-15; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 11, A-19

Corporate Visitation Program H-445.994

Our AMA encourages all county and state medical associations to embark upon efforts to establish an improved and ongoing communication with the nation's business community and seek AMA's support in their implementation, which may be enhanced by enlisting the cooperation of the senior medical officer or medical consultant, if any, of the corporations contacted.

Citation: Res. 44, I-80; Reaffirmed: CLRPD Rep. B, I-90; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-00;
Reaffirmed: CLRPD Rep. 1, A-10; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 01, A-20