

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 507  
(A-24)

Introduced by: Illinois

Subject: Ban on Dual Ownership, Investment, Marketing or Distribution of  
Recreational Cannabis by Medical Cannabis Companies

Referred to: Reference Committee E

---

1 Whereas, recreational cannabis legislation is often linked to perceived medical cannabis  
2 acceptance. As the industry matures, there is significantly less time from when medical  
3 cannabis is first legalized, to the first recreational sale. According to Marijuana Business  
4 Daily, California took 7,308 days from medical to recreational to the state's first sale.  
5 Massachusetts took just 1,463 days (<https://mjbizdaily.com/letter-of-the-law/> also see  
6 reference 9); and  
7

8 Whereas, national recreational cannabis sales account for over 60% of all legal cannabis  
9 sales (and increasing) in 2020 ([https://mjbizdaily.com/chart-nationwide-sales-of-adult-use-  
10 cannabis-further-eclipse-those-of-medical-marijuana/](https://mjbizdaily.com/chart-nationwide-sales-of-adult-use-cannabis-further-eclipse-those-of-medical-marijuana/)) with medical cannabis sales either  
11 plateauing or declining; and  
12

13 Whereas, national recreational cannabis sales are projected to account for approximately  
14 75% of all legal retail cannabis sales in 2028 ([https://mjbizdaily.com/us-cannabis-sales-  
15 estimates/](https://mjbizdaily.com/us-cannabis-sales-estimates/)); and  
16

17 Whereas, for example, the number of medical cannabis patients in Oregon has been in a  
18 freefall since adult-use cannabis sales began, down 65% from October 2015 to July 2019  
19 ([https://mjbizdaily.com/chart-how-medical-cannabis-programs-fare-in-states-with-  
20 recreational-markets/](https://mjbizdaily.com/chart-how-medical-cannabis-programs-fare-in-states-with-recreational-markets/)); and  
21

22 Whereas, according to Americans for Safe Access (ASA): “After combing through thousands  
23 of data points on the state programs, it is clear that, with a few exceptions, states that have  
24 added recreational/adult-use markets are forgetting the needs of patients”  
25 (<https://www.safeaccessnow.org/sos22>); and  
26

27 Whereas, ASA concludes that medical cannabis companies are moving to recreational use;  
28 and  
29

30 Whereas, cannabis companies are broadening their offering to get a piece of both the  
31 medical and recreational pie ([https://www.adweek.com/brand-marketing/marketing-cannabis-  
32 within-the-confines-of-recreational-and-medical/](https://www.adweek.com/brand-marketing/marketing-cannabis-within-the-confines-of-recreational-and-medical/)); and  
33

34 Whereas, a recent JAMA study noted that as “Cannabis legalization is expanding, making  
35 understanding how cannabis companies legitimize themselves critical. Industry motivation to  
36 increase consumption makes policies difficult to modify once established. Public health  
37 actors have been wary of industry CSR activities, given research demonstrating such  
38 programs are ineffectual by design and advance corporate interest;” and

1 Whereas, similar to tobacco companies, cannabis companies appear to use corporate social  
2 responsibility (CSR) practices activities that normalize and legitimize the industry for the goal  
3 to open markets and influence regulation (Wakefield T, Glantz SA, Apollonio DE. Content  
4 Analysis of the Corporate Social Responsibility Practices of 9 Major Cannabis Companies in  
5 Canada and the US. JAMA Network Open. 2022;5(8): e2228088.  
6 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.28088); and  
7

8 Whereas, industry motivation to increase consumption makes policies difficult to modify once  
9 established (Room R, Cisneros Örnberg J. Government monopoly as an instrument for  
10 public health and welfare: lessons for cannabis from experience with alcohol monopolies. Int  
11 J Drug Policy. 2019;74:223-228. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.10.008); and  
12

13 Whereas, there is volatility in the cannabis industry: In 2021, there were around 306 merger  
14 and acquisition deals in the cannabis industry across North America, more than triple the  
15 number in the previous year ([https://www.statista.com/statistics/1336787/mergers-and-  
16 acquisitions-cannabis-industry-north-america/](https://www.statista.com/statistics/1336787/mergers-and-acquisitions-cannabis-industry-north-america/)); and  
17

18 Whereas, if any traditional medical pharmaceutical company owned, invested, promoted or  
19 distributed their addictive medication for recreational purposes (even indirectly), severe  
20 criticism and ethical questions would ensue; and  
21

22 Whereas, in Maryland, medical cannabis companies are prohibited from selling a controlling  
23 interest within five years after converting to adult-use sales  
24 ([https://mmcc.maryland.gov/Documents/2023%20 PDF Files/Adult-  
25 Use%20Cannabis%20Legalization/COMAR%2014.17.01-.22%205.19.23 Watermarked.pdf](https://mmcc.maryland.gov/Documents/2023%20PDF%20Files/Adult-Use%20Cannabis%20Legalization/COMAR%2014.17.01-.22%205.19.23%20Watermarked.pdf));  
26 and  
27

28 Whereas, dual ownership of medical/recreational cannabis companies also can represent a  
29 conflict of interest that can harm medical cannabis patients (i.e. diversion of cannabis  
30 products when scarce to recreational dispensaries); and  
31

32 Whereas, a survey by University of Chicago in 2019 found that seventy percent of those with  
33 personal experience with opioid addiction say pharmaceutical firms are responsible for the  
34 problem of opioid addiction, along with 59% of those without any opioid addiction among  
35 their family or friends ([https://apnorc.org/projects/pharmaceutical-companies-and-drug-users-  
36 most-often-blamed-for-opioid-crisis/](https://apnorc.org/projects/pharmaceutical-companies-and-drug-users-most-often-blamed-for-opioid-crisis/)); and  
37

38 Whereas, initiating THC use at a potency of 12% is associated with almost a five-fold higher  
39 risk for progression to cannabis use disorder symptom onset within a year; and  
40

41 Whereas THC exhibits adverse cardiac, neurological and psychiatric effects (see  
42 references); therefore be it  
43

44 RESOLVED, that our American Medical Association support a permanent ban on medical  
45 cannabis companies (and its related holding conglomerates) from owning, investing in,  
46 distributing, or promoting recreational (or “adult use”) cannabis or any other activity relating  
47 to recreational use of cannabis. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 4/24/2024

## REFERENCES

1. Scroyer, J.: Marijuana foes seek to impose THC potency caps to curb industry's growth. *MJBizDaily*, March 25, 2021
2. Rebik, D.: Despite pandemic, 2020 was the deadliest for Illinois Roads in 13 years. *WGNTV.com* March 4, 2021
3. Johnson, T.: Fatal Road Crashes involving marijuana double after states legalizes drug. *Newsroom.aaa.com*, May 2016
4. Arterberry, B.J., Padovano, H.T., Foster, K.T., et al: Higher average potency across the United States is associated with progression to first cannabis use disorder symptoms, *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2019;195:186-192
5. Pierre, J.M., Gandal, M., Son M.: Cannabis – induced psychosis associated with high potency “wax dabs”; *Schizophr Res* 2016: 172 (1-3): 211-212
6. Cerne K.: Toxicological Properties of Delta 9 – tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol. *Aeh Hig Rada Toksikol*, 2020: 71 (1): 1-11
7. Temple L, Lampert S, Ewigman B. Barriers to achieving optimal success with medical cannabis in IL: opportunities for quality improvement. *J Alt Compl Med*. 2018. [Doi.org/10.1089/acm.2018.0250](https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2018.0250)
8. Wen H, Hockenberry J. Association of medical and adult-use marijuana laws with opioid prescribing for Medicaid enrollees. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2018; 178(5): 673-679
9. Chhabra N, Leikin JB. Analysis of medical marijuana laws in states transitioning to recreational marijuana –a legislatively gateway drug policy? Presented at the North American Congress of Clinical Toxicology; Vancouver BC. October 2017.
10. Mowery JB, Spyker DA, Brooks DE, et al. 2015 Annual Report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers' National Poison Data System (NPDS): 33rd Annual Report. *Clin Toxicol*. 2016; 54(10): 924-1109
11. Leikin JB, Amusina O. Use of dexmedetomidine to treat delirium primarily caused by cannabis. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2017; 35:80: e5 -801.e6
12. Arterberry BJ, Treloar-Padovano H, Foster K. Higher average potency across the United States is associated with progression to first cannabis use disorder symptom. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018, Dec.
13. Neauyn MJ, Blohm E, Babu KM, Bird SM. Medical marijuana and driving: a review. *J Medical Toxicol*. 2014; 10:269-279
14. Grontenhemin F, Russo E, Zuardi AW. Even high doses of oral cannabidiol do not cause THC-like effects in humans: comment on Merrick et al cannabis and cannabinoid research. *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research*. 2017; 2(1):1-4
15. Zhu H, Wu L-T. Sex differences in cannabis use disorder diagnosis involved hospitalizations in the United States. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2017; 11(5): 357-367
16. Betholet N, Cheng DM, Patfai TP, et al. Anxiety, depression, and pain symptoms: associations with the course of marijuana use and drug use consequences among urban primary care patients. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2018; 12(1): 45-52
17. Mark K, Gryczynski J, Axenfeld E, et al. Pregnant women's current and intended cannabis use in relation to their views toward legalization and knowledge of potential harm. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2017; 11(3): 211-216
18. Oliviera P, Morais AS, Madeira N. Synthetic cannabis analogues and suicidal behavior: case report. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2017; 11(5): 408-410
19. Lammert S, Harrison K, Tosun N, et al. Menstrual cycle in women who co-use marijuana and tobacco. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2018; 12(3):207-211
20. Caputi TL, Humphrey K. Medical marijuana users are more likely to use prescription drugs medically and non-medically. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2018; 12(4) 295-299
21. Bagra I, Krishnan V, Rao R, et al. Does cannabis use influence opioid outcomes and quality of life among buprenorphine maintained patients? A cross-sectional comparative study. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2018; 12(4): 315-320
22. Koppel BS, Brust JC, Fife T. Systemic review: efficacy and safety of medical marijuana in selected neurological disorders: report of the guideline development subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2014; 82:1556-1563
23. Houser W, Fitzcharles MA, Radbrunch L, Petzke F. Cannabinoids in pain management and palliative medicine. *Disch Arzlebl Int*. 2017; 114 (38): 627-634
24. Finnerup NB, Attal N, Haroutounian S, et al. Pharmacotherapy for neuropathic pain in adults: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol*. 2015; 14(2): 162-173
25. Jensen B, Chen J, Furnish T, Wallace M. Medical marijuana and chronic pain: a review of basic science and clinical evidence. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2015; 19 (10):50. [Doi 10.1007/S11916-015-0524-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11916-015-0524-x)
26. Nielsen S, Sabioni P, Trigo JM, et al. Opioid-sparing effect of cannabinoids: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2017; 42(9):1752-1765
27. Johnson LD, Miech RA, O'Malley PM, et al. Monitoring the future national survey results on drug use 1975-2017: overview key findings on adolescent drug use. *Ann Arbor: Institute for Social Research; the University of Michigan*. 2018: 1-3
28. Abrams DI, Vizoso HP, Shade SB, et al. Vaporization as a smokeless cannabis delivery system: a pilot study. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2007; 82(5): 572-578
29. D'Souza DC, Ranganathan M. Medical marijuana: is the cart before the horse? *JAMA*. 2015; 313(24): 2431-2432
30. Whiting PF, Wolff RF, Deshpande S, et al. Cannabinoids for medical use: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 313(24): 2456-2473
31. Caulley L, Caplan B, Ross E. Medical marijuana for chronic pain. *N Engl J Med*. 2018; 379: 1575-1577
32. Greydanus DE, Kaplan G, Baxter Sr LE, et al. Cannabis: the never-ending, nefarious mepenthe of the 21st century: what should the clinician know? *Disease-a-Month*. 2015; 61(4): 118-175
33. MacCoun RJ, Mello MM. Half-baked-the retail promotion of marijuana edibles. *N Engl J Med*. 2015; 372(11): 989-991

34. Richards JR, Smith NE, Moulin AK. Unintentional Cannabis Ingestion in Children: A Systemic Review. *Journal of Pediatrics*. 2017; 190: 142-152
35. Benjamin DM, Fossler MJ. Edible Cannabis Products: It is Time for FDA Oversight. *J Clin Pharmacology*. 2016; 56(9): 1045-1047
36. Kim HS, Monte AA. Colorado Cannabis Legalization and its Effect on Emergency Care. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2016; 68(1): 71-75
37. Ammerman SD, Ryan SA, Adelman WP. American Academy of Pediatrics: The Impact of Marijuana Policies on Youth: Clinical Research and Legal Update. *Pediatrics*. 2015; 135(3): e769-e785
38. Ryan SA, Ammerman SD, O'Connor ME. Marijuana Use During Pregnancy and Breastfeeding: Implications for Neonatal and Childhood Outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142. DOI:10.1542/peds.2018-1889
39. Wang GS. Pediatric Concerns Due to Expanded Cannabis Use: Unintended Consequences of Legalization. *J Med Toxicology*. 2017; 13(1): 99-105
40. Scragg RK, Mitchell EA, Ford RP, et al. Maternal Cannabis Use in the Sudden Death Syndrome. *Acta Paediatr*. 2001; 90(1):57-60
41. Klonoff-Cohen H, Lam-Kruglick P. Maternal and Paternal Recreational Drug Use and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. *Acta Paediatr Adolesc Med*. 2001;155(7): 765-770
42. Leung J, Chiu C, Sjepanic D, Hall W. Has the Legalization of Medical and Recreational Cannabis Use in the USA Affected the Prevalence of Cannabis Use and Cannabis Use Disorder? *Current Addiction Reports*. 2018; 5(4): 403-417
43. Temple LM, Leikin JB. (2019): Tetrahydrocannabinol – friend or foe? – Debate, *Clinical Toxicology*, May 7, 2019 (<https://doi/full/10.1080/15563650.2019.1610567>); 2020; 58(2):75-81
44. Kennedy J, Leikin JB. Pulmonary Disease Related to E-Cigarette Use. *New England Journal of Medicine*. August 2020, Vol 383 (8): p.792
45. Cordova J, Biank VF, Black EG, Leikin JB. Urinary Cannabis Metabolite Concentrations in Cannabinoid Hyperemesis Syndrome. Presented to the Great Lakes Gut Club, Rosemont, IL. July 2019

## Relevant AMA Policy

### **Cannabis Legalization for Adult Use (commonly referred to as recreational use) H-95.924**

Our AMA: (1) believes that cannabis is a dangerous drug and as such is a serious public health concern; (2) believes that the sale of cannabis for adult use should not be legalized (with adult defined for these purposes as age 21 and older); (3) discourages cannabis use, especially by persons vulnerable to the drug's effects and in high-risk populations such as youth, pregnant women, and women who are breastfeeding; (4) believes states that have already legalized cannabis (for medical or adult use or both) should be required to take steps to regulate the product effectively in order to protect public health and safety including but not limited to: regulating retail sales, marketing, and promotion intended to encourage use; limiting the potency of cannabis extracts and concentrates; requiring packaging to convey meaningful and easily understood units of consumption, and requiring that for commercially available edibles, packaging must be child-resistant and come with messaging about the hazards about unintentional ingestion in children and youth; (5) laws and regulations related to legalized cannabis use should consistently be evaluated to determine their effectiveness; (6) encourages local, state, and federal public health agencies to improve surveillance efforts to ensure data is available on the short- and long-term health effects of cannabis, especially emergency department visits and hospitalizations, impaired driving, workplace impairment and worker-related injury and safety, and prevalence of psychiatric and addictive disorders, including cannabis use disorder; (7) supports public health based strategies, rather than incarceration, in the handling of individuals possessing cannabis for personal use; (8) encourages research on the impact of legalization and decriminalization of cannabis in an effort to promote public health and public safety; (9) encourages dissemination of information on the public health impact of legalization and decriminalization of cannabis; (10) will advocate for stronger public health messaging on the health effects of cannabis and cannabinoid inhalation and ingestion, with an emphasis on reducing initiation and frequency of cannabis use among adolescents, especially high potency products; use among women who are pregnant or contemplating pregnancy; and avoiding cannabis-impaired driving; (11) supports social equity programs to address the impacts of cannabis prohibition and enforcement policies that have disproportionately impacted marginalized and minoritized communities; and (12) will coordinate with other health organizations to develop resources on the impact of cannabis on human health and on methods for counseling and educating patients on the use cannabis and cannabinoids.

**Marketing Guardrails for the "Over-Medicalization" of Cannabis Use D-95.958**

Our AMA will: (1) send a formal letter to the Food and Drug Administration and Federal Trade Commission requesting more direct oversight of the marketing of cannabis for medical use; (2) generate a formal letter for use by state medical societies requesting more direct oversight by state government of the marketing of cannabis; and (3) study marketing practices of cannabis, cannabis products and cannabis paraphernalia that influence vulnerable populations, such as children or pregnant people.

**Cannabis Legalization for Medicinal Use D-95.969**

Our AMA: (1) believes that scientifically valid and well-controlled clinical trials conducted under federal investigational new drug applications are necessary to assess the safety and effectiveness of all new drugs, including potential cannabis products for medical use; (2) believes that cannabis for medicinal use should not be legalized through the state legislative, ballot initiative, or referendum process; (3) will develop model legislation requiring the following warning on all cannabis products not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration: "Marijuana has a high potential for abuse. This product has not been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for preventing or treating any disease process."; (4) supports legislation ensuring or providing immunity against federal prosecution for physicians who certify that a patient has an approved medical condition or recommend cannabis in accordance with their state's laws; (5) believes that effective patient care requires the free and unfettered exchange of information on treatment alternatives and that discussion of these alternatives between physicians and patients should not subject either party to criminal sanctions; (6) will, when necessary and prudent, seek clarification from the United States Justice Department (DOJ) about possible federal prosecution of physicians who participate in a state operated marijuana program for medical use and based on that clarification, ask the DOJ to provide federal guidance to physicians; and (7) encourages hospitals and health systems to: (a) not recommend patient use of non-FDA approved cannabis or cannabis derived products within healthcare facilities until such time as federal laws or regulations permit its use; and (b) educate medical staffs on cannabis use, effects and cannabis withdrawal syndrome.