AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 320
(A-22)

Introduced by: Michigan

Subject: Tuition Cost Transparency

Referred to: Reference Committee C

Whereas, In 2018, the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) reported that 76 percent of medical students graduated with a median loan debt of $200,000. Compared to the median medical student debt of $50,000 in 1992, there is an approximate 220 percent increase in medical school debt, even after accounting for the rate of inflation; and

Whereas, The capitalizing interest rates of Stafford Subsidized loans increased from 1.87 percent prior to 2006, to a current fixed rate of 6.87 percent, thereby exacerbating the rising debt of medical students; and

Whereas, Higher levels of medical school debt are associated with worse academic outcomes in undergraduate medical education, negative effects on mental well-being, and higher levels of stress; and

Whereas, Higher medical school debt influences the way medical students approach major life choices; students with higher aggregate amounts of debt were more likely to delay marriage or having children and disagree that they would choose to become a physician again; and

Whereas, Medical students with higher debt compared to their peers were more likely to choose a specialty with a higher annual income, were less likely to choose primary care, and less likely to plan to practice in underserved locations; and

Whereas, The number of graduate medical students exceeds the number of available post graduate year positions. The increasing number of students not matching, and the increase in medical student debt can make medical school seem more of a financial risk; and

Whereas, The American Medical Association (AMA) supports continued assessment of the value of graduate medical education (GME) and transparency of federal funding, which is received by GME institutions; and

Whereas, Undergraduate medical students are not provided specific breakdowns of tuition costs or reasons for tuition increases; and

Whereas, The AMA supports improving the systematic reporting of undergraduate medical student expenditures to determine which items are included and the ranges of costs; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association collaborate with organizations such as the Association of American Medical Colleges in creating transparency in tuition costs of undergraduate medical education institutions (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA work with other national organizations to improve the affordability of medical education. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Not yet determined

Received: 05/11/22

Sources:
1. AAMC. An Exploration of the Recent Decline in the Percentage of U.S. Medical School Graduates With Education Debt. https://www.aamc.org/download/296002/data/aibvol12_no2.pdf. Accessed January 13, 2020.
2. Pisaniello MS, Asahina AT, Bacchi S, et al. Effect of medical student debt on mental health, academic performance and specialty choice: A systematic review. BMJ Open. 2019;9(7). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029980
3. Craft III J, Craft T. Rising Medical Education Debt a Mounting Concern. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6179784/pdf/ms109_p0266.pdf. Accessed January 13, 2020.
4. Hill MR, Goicochea S, Merlo LJ. In their own words: stressors facing medical students in the millennial generation. Med Educ Online. 2018;23(1). doi:10.1080/10872981.2018.1530558
5. Rohlfing J, Navarro R, Maniya OZ, Hughes BD, Rogalsky DK. Medical student debt and major life choices other than specialty. Med Educ Online. 2014;19(1). doi:10.3402/meo.v19.25603
6. Grayson MS, Newton DA, Thompson LF. Payback time: the associations of debt and income with medical student career choice. Med Educ. 2012;46(10):983-991. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2012.04340.x

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Cost and Financing of Medical Education and Availability of First-Year Residency Positions H-305.988
Our AMA:
1. believes that medical schools should further develop an information system based on common definitions to display the costs associated with undergraduate medical education;
2. in studying the financing of medical schools, supports identification of those elements that have implications for the supply of physicians in the future;
3. believes that the primary goal of medical school is to educate students to become physicians and that despite the economies necessary to survive in an era of decreased funding, teaching functions must be maintained even if other commitments need to be reduced;
4. believes that a decrease in student enrollment in medical schools may not result in proportionate reduction of expenditures by the school if quality of education is to be maintained;
5. supports continued improvement of the AMA information system on expenditures of medical students to determine which items are included, and what the ranges of costs are;
6. supports continued study of the relationship between medical student indebtedness and career choice;
7. believes medical schools should avoid counterbalancing reductions in revenues from other sources through tuition and student fee increases that compromise their ability to attract students from diverse backgrounds;
8. supports expansion of the number of affiliations with appropriate hospitals by institutions with accredited residency programs;
9. encourages for profit-hospitals to participate in medical education and training;
10. supports AMA monitoring of trends that may lead to a reduction in compensation and benefits provided to resident physicians;
11. encourages all sponsoring institutions to make financial information available to help residents manage their educational indebtedness; and
12. will advocate that resident and fellow trainees should not be financially responsible for their training.
Citation: CME Rep. A, I-83; Reaffirmed: CLRPD Rep. 1, I-93; Res. 313, I-95; Reaffirmed by CME Rep. 13, A-97; Modified: CME Rep. 7, A-05; Modified: CME Rep. 13, A-06; Appended: Res. 321, A-15; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 05, A-16; Modified: CME Rep. 04, A-16

The Preservation, Stability and Expansion of Full Funding for Graduate Medical Education D-305.967
1. Our AMA will actively collaborate with appropriate stakeholder organizations, (including Association of American Medical Colleges, American Hospital Association, state medical societies, medical specialty societies/associations) to advocate for the preservation, stability and expansion of full funding for the direct and indirect costs of graduate medical education (GME) positions from all existing sources (e.g. Medicare, Medicaid, Veterans Administration, CDC and others).

2. Our AMA will actively advocate for the stable provision of matching federal funds for state Medicaid programs that fund GME positions.
3. Our AMA will actively seek congressional action to remove the caps on Medicare funding of GME positions for resident physicians that were imposed by the Balanced Budget Amendment of 1997 (BBA-1997).
4. Our AMA will strenuously advocate for increasing the number of GME positions to address the future physician workforce needs of the nation.
5. Our AMA will oppose efforts to move federal funding of GME positions to the annual appropriations process that is subject to instability and uncertainty.
6. Our AMA will oppose regulatory and legislative efforts that reduce funding for GME from the full scope of resident educational activities that are designated by residency programs for accreditation and the board certification of their graduates (e.g. didactic teaching, community service, off-site ambulatory rotations, etc.).
7. Our AMA will actively explore additional sources of GME funding and their potential impact on the quality of residency training and on patient care.
8. Our AMA will vigorously advocate for the continued and expanded contribution by all payers for health care (including the federal government, the states, and local and private sources) to fund both the direct and indirect costs of GME.
9. Our AMA will work, in collaboration with other stakeholders, to improve the awareness of the general public that GME is a public good that provides essential services as part of the training process and serves as a necessary component of physician preparation to provide patient care that is safe, effective and of high quality.
10. Our AMA staff and governance will continuously monitor federal, state and private proposals for health care reform for their potential impact on the preservation, stability and expansion of full funding for the direct and indirect costs of GME.
11. Our AMA: (a) recognizes that funding for and distribution of positions for GME are in crisis in the United States and that meaningful and comprehensive reform is urgently needed; (b) will immediately work with Congress to expand medical residencies in a balanced fashion based on expected specialty needs throughout our nation to produce a geographically distributed and appropriately sized physician workforce; and to make increasing support and funding for GME programs and residencies a top priority of the AMA in its national political agenda; and (c) will continue to work closely with the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, Association of American Medical Colleges, American Osteopathic Association, and other key stakeholders to raise awareness among policymakers and the public about the importance of expanded GME funding to meet the nation's current and anticipated medical workforce needs.
12. Our AMA will collaborate with other organizations to explore evidence-based approaches to quality and accountability in residency education to support enhanced funding of GME.
13. Our AMA will continue to strongly advocate that Congress fund additional graduate medical education (GME) positions for the most critical workforce needs, especially considering the current and worsening maldistribution of physicians.
14. Our AMA will advocate that the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services allow for rural and other underserved rotations in Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)-accredited residency programs, in disciplines of particular local/regional need, to occur in the offices of physicians who meet the qualifications for adjunct faculty of the residency program's sponsoring institution.
15. Our AMA encourages the ACGME to reduce barriers to rural and other underserved community experiences for graduate medical education programs that choose to provide such training, by adjusting as needed its program requirements, such as continuity requirements or limitations on time spent away from the primary residency site.
16. Our AMA encourages the ACGME and the American Osteopathic Association (AOA) to continue to develop and disseminate innovative methods of training physicians efficiently that foster the skills and inclinations to practice in a health care system that rewards team-based care and social accountability.
17. Our AMA will work with interested state and national medical specialty societies and other appropriate stakeholders to share and support legislation to increase GME funding, enabling a state to accomplish one or more of the following: (a) train more physicians to meet state and regional workforce needs; (b) train physicians who will practice in physician shortage/underserved areas; or (c) train physicians in undersupplied specialties and subspecialties in the state/region.
18. Our AMA supports the ongoing efforts by states to identify and address changing physician workforce needs within the GME landscape and continue to broadly advocate for innovative pilot programs that will

increase the number of positions and create enhanced accountability of GME programs for quality outcomes.
19. Our AMA will continue to work with stakeholders such as Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC), ACGME, AOA, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, and other specialty organizations to analyze the changing landscape of future physician workforce needs as well as the number and variety of GME positions necessary to provide that workforce.
20. Our AMA will explore innovative funding models for incremental increases in funded residency positions related to quality of resident education and provision of patient care as evaluated by appropriate medical education organizations such as the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education.
21. Our AMA will utilize its resources to share its content expertise with policymakers and the public to ensure greater awareness of the significant societal value of graduate medical education (GME) in terms of patient care, particularly for underserved and at-risk populations, as well as global health, research and education.
22. Our AMA will advocate for the appropriation of Congressional funding in support of the National Healthcare Workforce Commission, established under section 5101 of the Affordable Care Act, to provide data and healthcare workforce policy and advice to the nation and provide data that support the value of GME to the nation.
23. Our AMA supports recommendations to increase the accountability for and transparency of GME funding and continue to monitor data and peer-reviewed studies that contribute to further assess the value of GME.
24. Our AMA will explore various models of all-payer funding for GME, especially as the Institute of Medicine (now a program unit of the National Academy of Medicine) did not examine those options in its 2014 report on GME governance and financing.
25. Our AMA encourages organizations with successful existing models to publicize and share strategies, outcomes and costs.
26. Our AMA encourages insurance payers and foundations to enter into partnerships with state and local agencies as well as academic medical centers and community hospitals seeking to expand GME.
27. Our AMA will develop, along with other interested stakeholders, a national campaign to educate the public on the definition and importance of graduate medical education, student debt and the state of the medical profession today and in the future.
28. Our AMA will collaborate with other stakeholder organizations to evaluate and work to establish consensus regarding the appropriate economic value of resident and fellow services.
29. Our AMA will monitor ongoing pilots and demonstration projects, and explore the feasibility of broader implementation of proposals that show promise as alternative means for funding physician education and training while providing appropriate compensation for residents and fellows.
30. Our AMA will monitor the status of the House Energy and Commerce Committee's response to public comments solicited regarding the 2014 IOM report, Graduate Medical Education That Meets the Nation's Health Needs, as well as results of ongoing studies, including that requested of the GAO, in order to formulate new advocacy strategy for GME funding, and will report back to the House of Delegates regularly on important changes in the landscape of GME funding.
31. Our AMA will advocate to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services to adopt the concept of “Cap-Flexibility” and allow new and current Graduate Medical Education teaching institutions to extend their cap-building window for up to an additional five years beyond the current window (for a total of up to ten years), giving priority to new residency programs in underserved areas and/or economically depressed areas.
32. Our AMA will: (a) encourage all existing and planned allopathic and osteopathic medical schools to thoroughly research match statistics and other career placement metrics when developing career guidance plans; (b) strongly advocate for and work with legislators, private sector partnerships, and existing and planned osteopathic and allopathic medical schools to create and fund graduate medical education (GME) programs that can accommodate the equivalent number of additional medical school graduates consistent with the workforce needs of our nation; and (c) encourage the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME), the Commission on Osteopathic College Accreditation (COCA), and other accrediting bodies, as part of accreditation of allopathic and osteopathic medical schools, to prospectively and retrospectively monitor medical school graduates’ rates of placement into GME as well as GME completion.
33. Our AMA encourages the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to coordinate with federal agencies that fund GME training to identify and collect information needed to effectively evaluate how hospitals, health systems, and health centers with residency programs are

utilizing these financial resources to meet the nation's health care workforce needs. This includes information on payment amounts by the type of training programs supported, resident training costs and revenue generation, output or outcomes related to health workforce planning (i.e., percentage of primary care residents that went on to practice in rural or medically underserved areas), and measures related to resident competency and educational quality offered by GME training programs.
Citation: Sub. Res. 314, A-07; Reaffirmation I-07; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 4, I-08; Reaffirmed: Sub. Res. 314, A-09; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 3, I-09; Reaffirmation A-11; Appended: Res. 910, I-11; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 303, A-12; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 324, A-12; Reaffirmation: I-12; Reaffirmation A-13; Appended: Res. 320, A-13; Appended: CME Rep. 5, A-13; Appended: CME Rep. 7, A-14; Appended: Res. 304, A-14; Modified: CME Rep. 9, A-15; Appended: CME Rep, 1, I-15; Appended: Res. 902, I-15; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 3, A-16; Appended: Res. 320, A-16; Appended: CME Rep. 04, A-16; Appended: CME Rep. 05, A-16; Reaffirmation A-16; Appended: Res. 323, A-17; Appended: CME Rep. 03, A-18; Appended: Res. 319, A-18; Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 960, I-18; Modified: Res. 233, A-19; Modified: BOT Rep. 25, A-19; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 3, A-21