

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 310
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Support for Standardized Interpreter Training

Referred to: Reference Committee C

1 Whereas, There are more than 6,900 known living languages spoken in the world¹; and

2
3 Whereas, More than 66 million Americans speak at least one of over 350 languages other than
4 English at home and more than 25 million Americans speak English "less than very well"²⁻⁴; and

5
6 Whereas, Language barriers can have major adverse effects on health such as suboptimal
7 health status; lower likelihood of having regular care providers; lower rates of mammograms,
8 pap smears, and other preventative services; greater likelihood of diagnosis of more severe
9 psychopathology; leaving the hospital against medical advice; and increased risk of drug
10 complications^{1,3,5}; and

11
12 Whereas, Ad hoc interpreters have been shown to engage in "false fluency", where substandard
13 interpretation skills leads to inadequate translation, thereby compromising the integrity of the
14 patient-provider interaction⁶⁻⁸; and

15
16 Whereas, Errors in medical interpretation are not uncommon, and translation errors made by ad
17 hoc interpreters are more likely to result in clinical consequences than errors made by
18 professionally trained medical interpreters⁹; and

19
20 Whereas, Underuse of a valuable health care resource, professional medical interpretation, can
21 result in these adverse effects and inappropriate care⁴; and

22
23 Whereas, Professional medical interpreter services can facilitate effective communication
24 across language differences and increase the delivery of health care to Limited English
25 Proficiency (LEP) patients, yet remain underutilized in health care^{3,10}; and

26
27 Whereas, Language assistance is a legal right of patients under Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights
28 Act, therefore hospitals have policies and processes in place, but how they are communicated
29 to front-line staff is variable^{5,11}; and

30
31 Whereas, One potential contributor is the lack of a designated place within medical training
32 curricula to address language barriers, which calls for a more recognizable and accessible
33 resource for training^{5,11}; and

34
35 Whereas, In recent studies, only 19% of emergency department (ED) staff had reported prior
36 training on working with interpreters, regardless of the source of training⁷, and most ED
37 providers and staff who have little training in the use of language assistance were unaware of
38 hospital policy in this area^{11,12}; and

1 Whereas, Only 28% of medical schools offer students on clerkships training involving a
2 language interpreter¹³; and
3
4 Whereas, Dissemination of best practices for the provision of language assistance and the
5 clinical use of non-English language skills has the potential to improve communication with LEP
6 patients¹¹; and
7
8 Whereas, Healthcare organizations should ensure that medical professionals across all
9 disciplines receive ongoing education and training in culturally and linguistically appropriate
10 service delivery or have access to training¹⁴; and
11
12 Whereas, Providing training to physicians and medical students ~~about the~~ proper use of medical
13 interpreter services increases the correct use of those services¹⁵⁻¹⁸; and
14
15 Whereas, Teaching medical professionals to emphasize the appropriate use ~~of an~~ interpreter is
16 warranted to improve cross-language clinical encounters, and could be executed ~~through~~ a
17 Continuing Medical Education (CME) module¹²; and
18
19 Whereas, It has been recommended that healthcare ~~organizations~~ should either verify that staff
20 at all levels and in all disciplines participate in ongoing CME-accredited education or other
21 training in Culturally and Linguistically ~~Appropriate Services~~ delivery, or arrange for such
22 education and training to be made available ~~to staff~~¹⁴; and
23
24 Whereas, CME is a cornerstone of improving competencies and ensuring high-quality patient
25 care by nurses and physicians¹⁹; and
26
27 Whereas, Although the AMA Education Hub (EdHub) has produced a series of modules related
28 to Health Disparities and the Health Care Workforce, such as Disparities in Research and
29 Health Equity to Bias in Artificial Intelligence, it ~~does not~~ currently have any modules covering
30 the correct use of interpreter services; and
31
32 Whereas, The American Association of Medical Colleges (AAMC) has published “Guidelines on
33 the Use of Medical Interpreter Services,” which describe best practices for assessing English
34 proficiency, use of an ~~interpreter~~, additional considerations for ad hoc interpreters, conflicts of
35 interest and privacy, and considerations for telephonic interpreter services²⁰; and
36
37 Whereas, Though AMA ~~policy~~ reimbursement for and calls for further research regarding
38 interpreter services (D-385.957, H-160.924, H-385.928, H-382.929, D-385.978), it ~~does not~~
39 recognize the ~~importance~~ of interpreter services for providing appropriate care or call upon
40 physicians to use ~~them~~ with patients with LEP, and the AMA Ed Hub does not currently provide
41 any resources addressing how to correctly use interpreter services; therefore be it
42
43 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association recognize the importance of using medical
44 interpreters as a means of improving quality of care provided to patients with Limited English
45 Proficiency (LEP) including patients with sensory impairments (New HOD Policy); and
46
47 RESOLVED, That our AMA encourage physicians and physicians in training to improve
48 interpreter-use skills and increase education through publicly available resources such as the
49 American Association of Medical College’s “Guidelines for Use of Medical Interpreter Services”
50 (New HOD Policy); and be it further

1 RESOLVED, That our AMA work with the Commission for Medical Interpreter Education,
2 National Hispanic Medical Association, National Council of Asian Pacific Islander Physicians,
3 National Medical Association, Association of American Indian Physicians, and other relevant
4 stakeholders to develop a cohesive Continuing Medical Education module offered through the
5 AMA Ed Hub for physicians to effectively and appropriately use interpreter services to ensure
6 optimal patient care. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Moderate - between \$5,000 - \$10,000

Date Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. Flores G, Abreu M, Barone CP, Bachur R, Lin H. Errors of Medical Interpretation and Their Potential Clinical Consequences: A Comparison of Professional Versus Ad Hoc Versus No Interpreters. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2012 Nov; 60(5): 545-553.
2. Juckett G, Unger K. Appropriate Use of Medical Interpreters. *Am Fam Physician.* 2014 Oct 1; 90(7): 476-480.
3. Tran JH, Luan Erfe BM, Kirwan CJ, Mejia NI. Challenges and opportunities caring for neurology outpatients across language differences. *Neurol Clin Pract.* 2019 April 17; 9(3): 208-217.
4. Maley JH. Hemoptysis or Hematemesis? – The Importance of Professional Medical Interpretation A Teachable Moment. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2018 June; 178(6): 841-842.
5. Showstack RE, Guzman K, Chesser AK, Woods NK. Improving Latino Health Equity Through Spanish Language Interpreter Advocacy in Kansas. *Hispanic Health Care International.* 2019; 17(1): 18-22.
6. VanderWielen LM, Enurah AS, Rho HY, et al. Medical interpreters: improvements to address access, equity, and quality of care for limited-English-proficient patients. *Acad Med.* 2014;89(10):1324-1327. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000000296
7. Yawman D, McIntosh S, Fernandez D, Auinger P, Allan M, Weitzman M. The Use of Spanish by Medical Students and Residents at One University Hospital. *Academic Medicine.* 2006;81(5):468-473. doi:10.1097/01.ACM.000022280.05953.19
8. Diamond LC, Schenker Y, Curry L, Bradley EH, Hernandez A. Getting By: Underuse of Interpreters by Resident Physicians. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2009;24(2):256-262. doi:10.1007/s11606-008-0875-7
9. Flores G, Laws MB, Mayo SJ, et al. Errors in Medical Interpretation and Their Potential Clinical Consequences in Pediatric Encounters. *Pediatrics.* 2003;111(1):6-14. doi:10.1542/peds.111.1.6
10. Jacobs EA, Lauderdale DS, Meltzer D, Shoery JM, Levinson W, Thisted RA. Impact of Interpreter Services on Delivery of Health Care to Limited-English-proficient Patients. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2001 July; 16:468-474.
11. Taira BR, Torres J, Nguyen A, Guo R, Samra S. Language Assistance for the Care of Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Patients in the Emergency Department: A Survey of Providers and Staff. *J Immigr Minor Health.* 2020 Jan 2; [Epub ahead of print].
12. Fung C, Lagha RR, Henderson P, Gomez AG. Working with interpreters: how student behavior affects quality of patient interaction when using interpreters. *Med Educ Online.* 2010 Jun 1; 15.
13. Himmelstein J, Wright WS, Wiederman MW. U.S. medical school curricula on working with medical interpreters and/or patients with limited English proficiency. *Adv Med Educ Pract.* 2018;9:729-733. Published 2018 Sep 28. doi:10.2147/AMEP.S176028
14. National Standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services in Health Care: Final Report. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, OPHS Office of Minority Health; 2001.
15. Karliner LS, Pérez-Stable EJ, Gildengorin G. The language divide. The importance of training in the use of interpreters for outpatient practice. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2004;19(2):175-183. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2004.30268.x
16. Mazori A, Maron M, Osterbur M, et al. Enhancing Medical Student-Interpreter Collaboration in an Urban Free Clinic. *Family Medicine.* doi:10.22454/FamMed.2019.229662
17. McEvoy M, Santos MT, Marzan M, Green EH, Milan FB. Teaching Medical Students How to Use Interpreters: A Three Year Experience. *Medical Education Online.* 2009;14(1):4507. doi:10.3402/meo.v14i.4507
18. Thompson DA, Hernandez RG, Cowden JD, Sisson SD, Moon M. Caring for Patients With Limited English Proficiency: Are Residents Prepared to Use Medical Interpreters? *Academic Medicine.* 2013;88(10):1485-1492. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3182a3479d
19. Lim SC, Mustapha FI, Aagaard-Hansen J, Calopietro M, Aris T, Bjerre-Christensen U. Impact of continuing medical education for primary healthcare providers in Malaysia on diabetes knowledge, attitudes, skills and clinical practices. *Med Educ Online.* 2020; 25(1).
20. Guidelines for Use of Medical Interpreter Services. <https://www.aamc.org/system/files/c/2/70338-interpreter-guidelines.pdf>.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Certified Translation and Interpreter Services D-385.957

Our AMA will: (1) work to relieve the burden of the costs associated with translation services implemented under Section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act; and (2) advocate for legislative and/or regulatory changes to require that payers including Medicaid programs and Medicaid managed care plans cover interpreter services and directly pay interpreters for such services, with a progress report at the 2017 Interim Meeting of the AMA House of Delegates.

Res. 703, A-17; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 7, A-21

Use of Language Interpreters in the Context of the Patient-Physician Relationship H-160.924

AMA policy is that: (1) further research is necessary on how the use of interpreters--both those who are trained and those who are not--impacts patient care; (2) treating physicians shall respect and assist the patients' choices whether to involve capable family members or friends to provide language assistance that is culturally sensitive and competent, with or without an interpreter who is competent and culturally sensitive; (3) physicians continue to be resourceful in their use of other appropriate means that can help facilitate communication--including print materials, digital and other electronic or telecommunication services with the understanding, however, of these tools' limitations--to aid LEP patients' involvement in meaningful decisions about their care; and (4) physicians cannot be expected to provide and fund these translation services for their patients, as the Department of Health and Human Services' policy guidance currently requires; when trained medical interpreters are needed, the costs of their services shall be paid directly to the interpreters by patients and/or third party payers and physicians shall not be required to participate in payment arrangements.

BOT Rep. 8, I-02; Reaffirmation: I-03; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 722, A-07; Reaffirmation: A-09; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 5, A-11; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 110, A-13; Reaffirmation: A-17

Patient Interpreters H-385.928

Our AMA supports sufficient federal appropriations for patient interpreter services and will take other necessary steps to assure physicians are not directly or indirectly required to pay for interpreter services mandated by the federal government.

Res. 219, I-01; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 8, I-02; Reaffirmation: I-03; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 722, A-07; Reaffirmation: A-09; Reaffirmation: A-10; Reaffirmation: A-14

Availability and Payment for Medical Interpreters Services in Medical Practices H-385.929

It is the policy of our AMA to: (1) the fullest extent appropriate, to actively oppose the inappropriate extension of the OCR LEP guidelines to physicians in private practice; and (2) continue our proactive, ongoing efforts to correct the problems imposed on physicians in private practice by the OCR language interpretation requirements.

BOT Rep. 25, I-01; Reaffirmation: I-03; Reaffirmed: Res. 907, I-03; Reaffirmation: A-09; Reaffirmation: A-17

Language Interpreters D-385.978

Our AMA will: (1) continue to work to obtain federal funding for medical interpretive services; (2) redouble its efforts to remove the financial burden of medical interpretive services from physicians; (3) urge the Administration to reconsider its interpretation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as requiring medical interpretive services without reimbursement; (4) consider the feasibility of a legal solution to the problem of funding medical interpretive services; and (5) work with governmental officials and other organizations to make language interpretive services a covered benefit for all health plans inasmuch as health plans are in a superior position to pass on the cost of these federally mandated services as a business expense.

Res. 907, I-03; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 722, A-07; Reaffirmation: A-09; Reaffirmation: A-10; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 5, A-11; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 110, A-13; Reaffirmation: A-17