



Stop Medicare payment cuts to protect seniors' access to health care

Congress must quickly pass legislation to reverse the 2.8% Medicare physician payment cuts that took effect on Jan. 1 following the release of the Calendar Year 2025 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule Final Rule. Failure to reverse these cuts will be harmful to the continued viability of physician practices and their ability to care for patients. Entering their fifth consecutive year of payment cuts for Medicare services, physicians are at a potential breaking point.

Why Congress must act now

- **Fifth consecutive year of cuts:** Physicians face a 2.8% cut in Medicare payments in 2025—the fifth consecutive year of reductions—while the cost of running a practice continues to rise.
- Impact of inflation: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) estimates that the Medicare Economic Index (MEI), which reflects practice cost inflation, will increase by 3.5% in 2025, yet physician payments continue to decline. Physicians' Medicare reimbursement has now been reduced by 33% since 2001 when adjusted for inflation in practice costs.¹
- **Erosion of access:** Payment cuts force many physicians to limit the number of Medicare patients they treat, jeopardizing access to care for millions of Medicare patients. These cuts will be felt hardest by small, independent practices, such as those in rural and underserved communities.

Proposed legislative solution

The bipartisan Medicare Patient Access and Practice Stabilization Act of 2025, H.R. 879, will:

- **1. Reverse the latest round of Medicare payment cuts** providing immediate financial relief to stabilize practices and preserve patient access.
- **2. Provide an inflationary update** to ensure payments in 2025 begin to reflect the rising costs of delivering care, a critical step toward sustainable reform.

Action request

Urge your House member to cosponsor **H.R. 879, the Medicare Patient Access and Practice Stabilization Act of 2025** to eliminate the pay cut that took effect on Jan. 1 and give Medicare clinicians a long overdue inflation-based update.

Urge your senator to introduce companion legislation to address these critical issues.

 $^{1. \\ &}quot;Medicare physician payment continues to fall further behind practice cost inflation," accessed on Feb. 3, 2025. \\ https://fixmedicarenow.org/sites/default/files/2025-01/Medicare%20Gap%20Chart_2025.pdf$

^{2. 2024} Medicare Trustees Report (page 196). Accessed on Jan. 24, 2025. https://www.cms.gov/oact/tr/2024





Medicare physician payment reform: The urgent need for comprehensive reform

Ensuring stabile and sustainable Medicare payments is essential both for protecting patient access to care and for supporting the physicians who treat America's seniors. For decades, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (MPFS) has faced growing instability, which, in turn, threatens access to care for millions of senior and disabled patients. The current Medicare physician payment system is plagued by stagnant payments, no annual inflationary updates and excessive administrative burdens. This has resulted in unsustainable conditions for physicians and their practices. Congress must act now to reform the Medicare payment system to prioritize patients, reflect the realities of modern medical practice and promote value-based care.

Key challenges

- **No inflationary updates:** Since 2001, Medicare physician payment rates, when adjusted for inflation, have declined 33%, thus forcing practices to operate on extremely thin margins as costs continue to rise. This directly threatens patient access to timely, high-quality care, particularly in underserved areas. Other Medicare providers, such as hospitals and Medicare Advantage plans, receive annual updates tied to inflation, but physicians are statutorily excluded from this adjustment.
- **Budget neutrality issues:** Flawed budget neutrality requirements lead to annual cuts, often triggered by inaccurate utilization projections, that further destabilize payments. CMS, however, is statutorily precluded from waiving budget neutrality requirements when releasing annual MPFS regulations.
- Administrative burdens: Programs like the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) add unnecessary complexity without demonstrable improvements in patient outcomes. This burdensome system diverts resources from patient care to check-the-box activities. A 2022 study in the Journal of the American Medical Association found MIPS scores are inconsistently related to performance, which "suggests that the MIPS program is approximately as effective as chance at identifying high versus low performance." ^{2,3}

Annual payment penalties mandated within MIPS disproportionately impact small and rural practices, limiting care options for patients in these communities. In fact, the 2022 Quality Payment Program Experience Report showed that 27% of small practices, nearly 50% of solo practitioners, and 18% of rural practices received a MIPS penalty in 2024.⁴ Of those, 13% of small practices, 27% of solo practitioners, and 2% of rural practices got the maximum negative penalty of nine percent,⁵ in addition to cuts imposed due to the lack of statutory inflation payment updates.

^{1. 2024} Medicare Trustees Report (page 196). Accessed on Jan. 24, 2025. https://www.cms.gov/oact/tr/2024

^{2.} Association Between Individual Primary Care Physician Merit-based Incentive Payment System Score and Measures of Process and Patient Outcomes. Accessed on Jan. 24, 2025. https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2799153

^{3.} GAO Report to Congressional Requesters: Small and Rural Practices' Experiences in Previous Programs and Expected Performance in the Merit-based Incentive Payment System. Accessed on Jan. 24, 2025. https://www.qao.gov/assets/gao-18-428.pdf

^{4. 2022} Quality Payment Program Experience Report. Accessed on Jan. 24, 2025. https://qpp-cm-prod-content.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/2817/2022ExperienceReport.pdf

^{5.} Ibid.

• Lack of alternative payment model (APM) options: While APMs offer potential for innovation in care delivery, there are insufficient models available to physicians in many specialties, particularly for specialists in rural settings. This limits opportunities to transition to value-based care and risks reducing patient choice. Until the APM pathway is more robust, we must ensure that the traditional Medicare program is stable.

Key challenges

The current state of private medical practice in the United States requires Congress to expeditiously enact the following policy changes:

- **1. Annual inflationary payment updates:** Tie Medicare payments to the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to reflect the rising costs of medical practice. A permanent, annual inflationary update would stabilize payments, enabling long-term planning and ensuring patient access to care.
- **2. Budget neutrality reforms:** Raising the current budget neutrality threshold, improving the accuracy of utilization projections, and limiting disruptive cuts caused by statutorily mandated budget neutrality requirements will create a more predictable payment system and protect access to care in vulnerable communities.
- **3. Simplify MIPS:** Congress must restructure MIPS to reduce administrative burdens, better align it with other CMS programs, and ensure fair performance thresholds while focusing on meaningful patient outcomes.
- **4. Expand APM availability:** Develop and implement a broader range of APMs to ensure all physicians, particularly specialists and those practicing in rural areas, can equally participate. In addition, extend APM incentive payments and maintain achievable revenue thresholds that will promote innovation and safeguard patient choice.

Action request

Urge your House member and senators to support legislation addressing critical inflationary updates, budget neutrality reforms, MIPS improvements, and expanded APM options to stabilize the MPFS and prioritize patient-centered reforms.

By addressing these critical issues, we can ensure that Medicare beneficiaries continue to have access to the care they need.

