REPORT 3 OF THE COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND PUBLIC HEALTH (November 2020) Dietary Supplements: Update on Regulation, Industry, and Product Trends (Reference Committee E)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Objective. Patients and physicians expect the dietary supplements they purchase and recommend to be safe, quality products that are accurately labeled with their contents. Many dietary supplements, principally vitamins and minerals, are key components of modern evidence-based medicine for many conditions. However, illegal, fraudulent, adulterated and misbranded products can put patients at risk and adverse events (AEs) should be accurately collected. Additionally, confusion exists, for both patients and their caregivers, related to the regulation of dietary supplements and herbal products. The Council on Science and Public Health initiated this report to bring renewed attention to this important topic that affects many patients and to offer recommendations to strengthen AMA policy related to the dietary supplements.

Methods. English-language articles were selected from a search of the PubMed database through February 2020 using the search term "dietary supplement(s)." Additional articles were identified from a review of the references cited in retrieved publications. Searches of selected medical specialty society and international, national, and local government agency websites were conducted to identify clinical guidelines, position statements, and reports.

Results. While millions of patients use dietary supplements regularly, the current regulatory structure in place for dietary supplements does not offer adequate protection to the public. In the 26 years since the passage of the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act (DSHEA), the dietary supplement industry has been reshaped by a complex global supply chain, the Internet, and newly discovered ingredients of unknown safety. An estimated 75,000 new supplement products have been introduced since 1994, and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has received adequate safety data for fewer than 250 new ingredients. The FDA also has no way to determine what ingredient are contained in the tens of thousands of products on the market. Furthermore, with violations identified in over half of inspected dietary supplement manufacturers, more effective enforcement tools are required to protect the health of patients. All patients would benefit from a regulatory framework that promotes product safety and provides appropriate tools and resources for the FDA to maintain appropriate oversight.

Conclusion. The advancement of a safe and transparent dietary supplement marketplace will require a trustworthy supply chain and will involve robust AE, drug interaction, and tainted product reporting. Unethical individuals and companies engage in the manufacture and distribution of intentionally adulterated, misbranded, and improperly labeled dietary supplement products and pose significant risks to patient health and safety. The reliance on an industry that self regulates is insufficient and ineffective at protecting the health of patients. As the dietary supplement industry continues to grow and patients continue to use dietary supplements, efforts to revise and modernize FDA oversight of the industry and the DSHEA itself are necessary. A mandatory product registry would be a simple, low-burden way for the FDA and patients to obtain a complete picture of the marketplace and better protect public health by providing greater transparency, enabling prioritization of limited agency resources, and enhancing efforts to respond to emerging safety concerns. Additionally, both physician and patient education are paramount to understand this industry and the risks associated with dietary supplement products.

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND PUBLIC HEALTH

CSAPH Report 3, November 2020

Subject: Dietary Supplements: Update on Regulation, Industry, and Product Trends

Presented by: Kira A. Geraci-Ciardullo, MD, MPH, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee E

INTRODUCTION

 Patients and physicians expect the dietary supplements they purchase and recommend to be safe, quality products that are accurately labeled with their contents. Many dietary supplements, principally vitamins and minerals, are key components of modern evidence-based medicine for many conditions. However, illegal, fraudulent, adulterated and misbranded products can put patients at risk. Adverse events (AEs) can occur with use of dietary supplements, and when they do, they should be accurately collected, tabulated and analyzed. Additionally, confusion exists, for both physicians and patients, related to the regulation of dietary supplements and herbal products.

The Council on Science and Public Health initiated this report to bring renewed attention to this important topic that affects many patients and to offer recommendations to strengthen American Medical Association (AMA) policy related to the dietary supplements.

BACKGROUND

 The dietary supplement industry has grown from approximately 4,000 products in 1994 to as many as 90,000 in 2017, according to some estimates. 1.2 Surveys indicate that over half of Americans consume dietary supplement products. 3.4 Additionally, with the recent surge in the cannabidiol (CBD) market, which absent a clear regulatory pathway already includes a substantial number of products sold as dietary supplements, the number of products sold is expected to continue to increase. The economic value of the industry is projected to reach nearly \$60 billion in the United States, and nearly \$200 billion worldwide, by 2025. 5,6

 As the industry grows and more individuals are using dietary supplement products, a renewed focus on the risks associated with these products and the regulatory processes involved in bringing them to market is warranted. This report will provide an overview of the current regulatory framework for dietary supplements and comment on research-related activities, the dietary supplement industry, and product trends.

METHODS

 English-language articles were selected from a search of the PubMed database through February 2020 using the search term "dietary supplement(s)" alone and coupled with "drug interactions" and "regulation." Additional articles were identified from a review of the references cited in retrieved publications. Searches of selected medical specialty society and international, national, and local government agency websites were conducted to identify clinical guidelines, position statements, and reports.

DIETARY SUPPLEMENT REGULATION

Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act

4 5

The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) defines a dietary supplement as a product, taken orally, containing a dietary ingredient intended to supplement the diet. Dietary ingredients include vitamins, minerals, herbs or other botanicals, amino acids, and substances such as enzymes, organ tissues, glandulars, and metabolites. Dietary supplements can also be extracts or concentrates of the listed items. Dietary supplements come in many forms, including tablets, capsules, powders, energy bars, and liquids and are available for purchase over-the-counter in stores throughout the United States and via the Internet. Herbal supplements are considered a type of dietary supplement and are included in this definition.

 Since, by statutory definition, dietary supplements are only intended to supplement the diet, they are not therapeutic medications and are not intended to treat, diagnose, mitigate, prevent, or cure diseases. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) oversees not only "conventional" food products and medications but also dietary supplements. Dietary supplements are regulated by the FDA differently from foods and differently from drugs. Whether a product is classified as a dietary supplement, conventional food, or drug is based on its intended use, and most often, classification as a dietary supplement is determined by the information that a manufacturer provides. Medications go through a rigorous FDA approval process before entering the market; drugs are considered unsafe until evidence shows they are safe. Dietary supplements do not undergo this approval process and are considered safe until proven unsafe.

FDA regulates the processing, manufacturing, labeling, and packaging of dietary supplements through the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act (DSHEA), enacted as an amendment to the FD&C Act in 1994. Dietary supplement companies are responsible for having evidence that their products are safe, and that the label claims are truthful and not misleading. As long as the product does not contain a "new dietary ingredient (NDI)," the company does not have to provide safety evidence to the FDA before the product is marketed. The term NDI means a dietary ingredient that was not marketed in the United States in a dietary supplement before October 15, 1994; however, no authoritative list of ingredients marketed before October 15, 1994 exists. ¹⁰ Therefore, manufacturers and distributors, and not federal regulators, are responsible for determining if an ingredient is an NDI.

Under DSHEA, manufacturers and distributors of dietary supplements and dietary ingredients are prohibited from marketing products that are adulterated or misbranded. Manufacturers are responsible for labeling their products before marketing to ensure that they meet all the requirements of DSHEA and FDA regulations. The FDA is responsible for taking action against any adulterated or misbranded dietary supplement product only after it reaches the market and a violation is found. The FDA pursues enforcement actions on dietary supplement products for the following reasons:

- Safety: The presence of unsafe ingredients or composition is generally determined from postmarket surveillance, such as monitoring adverse event reports (AERs), to identify potential concerns.¹¹
- Manufacturing violations: Manufacturers must follow current good manufacturing practice (cGMP) to ensure the identity, purity, strength, and composition of their products.
- Marketing and misbranding (shared authority with the Federal Trade Commission (FTC)): Once a dietary supplement is on the market, it is the responsibility of the FDA to monitor product labels and package insert information to make sure that the information is accurate

and that any claims made are truthful and not misleading. The FDA, however, has limited resources to effectively do this.

Some penalties and enforcement actions exist which the FDA is able to pursue in instances of safety and cGMP violations. These include administrative actions such as warning letters, civil penalties such as product recalls and injunctions, and criminal penalties including both misdemeanor offenses of up to 1 year in prison and \$500,000 in fines, and felony offenses of up to 3 years in prison and \$500,000 in fines. Enforcement of penalties for marketing and misbranding is a shared authority with FTC, and the FDA cannot impose penalties if the only violation is misbranding. Civil penalties under the FDA include injunctions and product recalls, and under FTC include administrative actions, injunctions, and fines for consumer relief and recovery of illegal profits.

Dietary Supplement Labeling

 Dietary supplement marketing, labeling, and advertising are all covered by regulations enforced by both the FDA and the FTC. Unlike drugs, supplements are not intended to treat, diagnose, prevent, or cure diseases. The FTC acts as the primary regulator of dietary supplement advertising and the FDA possesses primary enforcement responsibility for dietary supplement claims made in "labeling."

The Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990 gave the FDA the discretion to regulate health claims for foods and dietary supplements and DSHEA made manufacturers responsible for ensuring dietary supplements have appropriate labeling that reflects safety and efficacy. Three classes of claims can legally be used on the labels of dietary supplements:¹²

1. Health claims: Statements that relate the consumption of a dietary ingredient to a reduced risk of a disease or health-related condition. Health claims require authorization by the FDA, but once a claim has been approved, it may be used by all manufacturers according to the regulations established by the FDA (example: "Adequate calcium throughout life, as part of a well-balanced diet, may reduce the risk of osteoporosis.").

2. Nutrient content claims: Provide information on the level of a nutrient in a product in absolute terms or relative to another component and help ensure that descriptive terms, such as high or low, are used consistently for all types of dietary supplement products and are meaningful to consumers. Most nutrient content claim regulations apply only to those nutrients that have an established Daily Value (DV). Percentage claims for dietary supplements are used to describe the percentage level of a dietary ingredient in a dietary supplement and may refer to dietary ingredients for which there is no established DV. Nutrient content claims require FDA review before they can be used on a product (example: "40% omega-3 fatty acids, 10 mg per capsule").

3. Structure/function claims: Describe the effect a substance has on the structure or function of the body. These types of claims are not pre-approved by the FDA, but manufacturers must have substantiation that the claim is truthful and not misleading and must submit a notification with the text of the claim to FDA no later than 30 days after marketing the dietary supplement with the claim. If a dietary supplement label includes such a claim, it must state in a disclaimer (using wording that is specified in the DSHEA) that the FDA has not evaluated the claim. The disclaimer must also state that the dietary supplement product is not intended to "diagnose, treat, cure or prevent any disease," because only a drug can legally make such a claim. Structure/function claims may not explicitly or implicitly link the claimed effect of the nutrient or dietary ingredient to a disease or to a state of health leading to a disease (example: "Helps improve memory.").

 Another class of claim, a disease claim, is a claim to diagnose, cure, mitigate, treat, or prevent disease; as noted, such claims are prohibited on dietary supplements and require FDA approval to be used on approved drug products (example: "Reduces the pain and stiffness associated with arthritis.").

Criteria for the rigor of evidence needed to support a claim have not been established; scientific evidence may be provided by just one article assessing a compound *in vitro* that has not achieved recognition or agreement.¹³ Importantly, studies have shown consumers are generally unaware of, or ignore, DSHEA disclaimers; studies also note that these disclaimers fail to communicate that patients should use caution in interpreting the efficacy claims manufacturers make for their dietary supplement and they have little reliable impact on patients' beliefs about the risk and effectiveness of dietary supplements. Furthermore, there is evidence that consumers erroneously believe that the labels of dietary supplements will include warnings of adverse effects where appropriate.^{13,14}

While DSHEA, via statutory authority granted to the FDA, applies exclusively to the labeling of dietary supplements, the FTC is primarily responsible for the regulation of dietary supplement advertisements. The FTC regulates "unfair methods of competition" in commerce and "unfair or deceptive" practices under Sections 5 and 12 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTCA). Two principles apply in FTC enforcement of advertisements: ads have to be (1) truthful and not misleading and (2) substantiated. Additionally, the FTC has the authority to compel manufacturers to submit their evidence in substantiation of the claims they make in advertisements. Cease and desist orders not only prohibit further deceptive practice but may require companies to pay a fine of \$16,000 per ad per day in the instance of future violations. Cases have been rare; over the last decade, the FTC reports that is has filed 120 cases challenging health claims made for dietary supplements.¹⁵

Supplement Facts Panel

In May 2016, a final rule was published in the Federal Register detailing Revision of the Nutrition and Supplement Facts Labels. ¹⁶ The Supplement Facts label is the black and white box located on dietary supplement product containers that is intended to provide the chemical composition of a dietary supplement. Because of changes and evolution of the American diet and advancements in nutrition science, federal requirements for the label are being updated. Notable changes include updates to DV to reflect the current American diet; a change from reporting some vitamins in International Units (IU) to more commonly used measures of milligrams (mg) and micrograms (mcg); listing of folic acid as folate (measured in mcg of dietary folate equivalents); and listing of the amount of added sugar and percent DV. The deadline for large manufacturers to reflect the label changes was January 1, 2020. Smaller manufacturers have until January 1, 2021 to comply with these changes. A resource has been developed to educate consumers about the changes. ¹⁷

The FDA requires manufacturers to list all of the ingredients in a dietary supplement on the Supplement Facts panel of the product, along with the amount of each by weight, except when the ingredients are part of a "proprietary blend." A proprietary blend (or "complex," matrix," or "formulation") is a collection of ingredients often unique to a particular product and sometimes given a fanciful name. The specific amount of each individual ingredient in a proprietary blend does not have to be listed; however, the absence of a stated amount for each ingredient can have significant implications for patients and physicians, especially if the blend contains stimulant or stimulant-like ingredients or if the ingredient has a supplement-drug interaction with a patient's medication.

DSHEA Modernization and Product Registries

The FDA recently announced efforts to strengthen the regulation of dietary supplements by modernizing and reforming their oversight. 19 The Agency established a Dietary Supplement Working Group at the FDA to identify opportunities across the Agency to modernize the oversight of dietary supplements, develop a new rapid-response tool to alert the public of tainted or recalled products, foster the submission of NDI notifications so they can evaluate the safety of a new ingredient before it becomes available to consumers, update the compliance policy regarding NDIs, and engage in conversations to modernize DSHEA. A public meeting was held in May 2019, attended by a representative from the AMA, to discuss Responsible Innovation in Dietary Supplements; the stated purpose of the public meeting was to give interested parties an opportunity to present ideas for facilitating responsible innovation in the dietary supplement industry while preserving the FDA's ability to protect the public from unsafe, misbranded, or otherwise unlawful dietary supplements.²⁰ The FDA has not yet released any reports or guidance from the public meeting. Many stakeholders testified in support of appropriate enforcement tools and policies. which may include mandatory recall and related authorities over products that are marketed as dietary supplements but contain drugs or drug analogues; the utilization of risk-based inspections for dietary supplement manufacturing facilities; and strengthening of AER systems.

 Of note, a recent survey conducted by the Pew Charitable Trusts found that most American adults believe the FDA should do more to ensure the safety of dietary supplements. ^{21,22} Experts in the field of dietary supplements and their regulatory structure support modernization and reform of DSHEA to include stronger safeguards resulting in access to quality products. ²³

Currently, the FDA has no mechanism to know what dietary supplement products are on the market. Because dietary supplement manufacturers are not required to submit product information, the FDA has limited knowledge about the products on the market, including their ingredients and the conditions under which they were manufactured. Several experts and organizations support the concept of an FDA product listing regime--if it can be effective at identifying and removing dangerous dietary supplement products from the marketplace.²⁴ Some features have been proposed for a mandatory product registry to safeguard the public and inform physicians, investigators, and regulators including linking each product to a unique identifier such as a stock keeping unit (SKU) barcode or QR code and the ability to flag all products produced by manufacturers who have received warning letters from the FDA.²³ Proponents of an FDA-managed, mandatory product registry also support giving the FDA additional authorities to decline to add labels to the registry if the label lists a prohibited ingredient as well as FDA-required investigations of products labeled as containing NDIs for which no evidence of safety exists or for products which have reports of undisclosed ingredients.

The Supplement OWL® (Online Wellness Library) dietary supplement product listing is an industry-wide initiative developed with the intent to create a more complete picture of the marketplace and is led by the Council for Responsible Nutrition, joined by the American Botanical Council, the American Herbal Products Association, the Consumer Healthcare Products Association, the Natural Products Association, and the United Natural Products Alliance. However, the Supplement OWL is voluntary for manufacturers and is only a list of products; it lacks all of the safety provisions recommended by experts and listed above to ensure a safer marketplace of dietary supplements.²⁵

DIETARY SUPPLEMENT RESEARCH

DSHEA granted the authority to establish regulations regarding dietary supplement manufacturing, regulate health claims and labeling of dietary supplements, and create governmental bodies to encourage research on supplements, such as centers and offices at the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Additionally, the FDA created a Botanical Safety Consortium, a public-private partnership that will gather leading scientific minds from industry, academia, and government to promote scientific advances in evaluating the safety of botanical ingredients and mixtures in dietary supplements.

National Institutes of Health

The NIH supports research and provides educational materials on dietary supplements. ²⁶ The NIH Office of Dietary Supplements (ODS) provides scientific information about dietary supplements with the stated mission to strengthen knowledge and understanding of dietary supplements. ²⁷ ODS hosts a website containing information about dietary supplement ingredients and co-funds research grants with several other NIH centers, including the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH). ODS also supports the Dietary Supplement Label Database (DSLD) which includes label derived information from dietary supplement products marketed in the U.S. ²⁸ DSLD was developed to serve the research community and as a resource for health care providers and the public. It also contains archived labels from products that have been removed from the market. Research suggests that even these NIH resources do not provide accurate information about the contents of dietary supplements for researchers or clinicians. ²⁹

DIETARY SUPPLEMENT INDUSTRY

Product Supply Chain and Quality

patient health and safety. 31-34

 Beyond oversight by FDA and related agencies, the dietary supplement industry, can, and should, play an active and influential role in addressing dietary supplement quality and the problems associated with bad actors within the industry. The FDA inspected 656 dietary supplement production facilities in fiscal year 2017 and found violations in over half of them. The most common violations include failing to establish the identity, purity, strength, or composition of the final product. Many companies do follow cGMP, adequately self-regulate, and make every effort to produce quality products, yet it is well documented in literature that unethical individuals and companies continue to engage in the manufacture and distribution of low quality, intentionally adulterated, or misbranded products labeled as dietary supplements that pose significant threats to

The supply chain behind the manufacture and distribution of dietary supplements can involve multiple ingredient suppliers, brokers, and contract manufacturers, both inside and outside of the United States. Because the supply chain is long and involves many links, problems with dietary supplement products can arise at various points and it can be difficult to track the lineage of ingredients and the identities of parties involved in the production of a single product.

Within the industry supply chain, ingredient providers, brokers, product manufacturers, distributors and product marketers all have the responsibility to self-regulate through qualifying and validating their suppliers, ensuring a secure supply chain, testing ingredients and finished products, identifying and removing high-risk products from product assortments, and implementing other mechanisms to assure that ingredients and final products do not contain undisclosed illegal ingredients with the potential to harm patients. Makers of fraudulent products ignore legal

obligations and FDA lacks the resources for more frequent inspections, substantive surveillance, and enforcement of the law.³⁵

A regulatory framework that helps promote safe, quality dietary supplement products is necessary. Experts have suggested efforts are needed from both the FDA and industry to increase manufacturer awareness of cGMP regulations and quality standards, including quality control specifications for the identity, purity, strength, and composition of finished dietary supplements as well as their ingredients. Wider use of the public standards developed by the United States Pharmacopeial Convention (USP) or other public compendial standards, along with following cGMP, has been recommended for dietary supplements.³⁶ USP has also developed a General Chapter, <2251> Adulteration of Dietary Supplements with Drugs and Drug Analogs to assist manufacturers.37

Product Testing

With the large increase in dietary supplement manufacturers and the subsequent rise in dietary supplement safety concerns, several companies have started independent product certification services to provide an additional level of security and risk minimization for consumers who rely on dietary supplement products. Many companies test products to verify they contain the labeled dose(s) of the active ingredient(s) and not to contain microbes, heavy metals, other toxins, and/or substances that are banned by athletic organizations. Testing labs include ConsumerLab.com, USPharmacopeia, NSF International, and UL.

Additional resources exist for patients and physicians who are seeking more information about products, product ingredients, or products with reported violations (Box 1). USP provides a list of products they have independently verified for quality,⁴⁰ NSF has a listing of products that are NSF Certified for Sport®,⁴¹ and the U.S. Antidoping Agency (USADA) hosts a resource for dietary supplement safety education and awareness, Supplement 411.⁴³ Additionally, other, more comprehensive resources exist, but may require a paid subscription. An example is the Natural Medicines Research Collaboration Natural Medicines database, which claims to contain over 1200 monographs on natural ingredients, including vitamins, herbs, minerals, non-herbal supplements, naturally sourced chemical compounds, and foods; Natural Medicines provides monographs that include information on a variety of topics including interactions for both health care professionals and patients.⁴⁴

DIETARY SUPPLEMENT PRODUCT TRENDS

General Trends

 Not all dietary supplements lack evidence of efficacy. Many products considered dietary supplements are an important part of patient health care, including products to treat vitamin and mineral deficiencies and supplementation during pregnancy. However, many products that have medical benefits are commonly overused among the general population in an attempt to improve or maintain health and use in these ways provides little benefit. Studies have noted that dietary supplement use was not associated with mortality benefits in a nationally representative sample of U.S. adults, that supplement use itself does not have direct health benefits, and in some cases excess intake might increase harmful effects, including cancer and mortality. 38,45 Only approximately a quarter of patients who are using dietary supplements are doing so based on the recommendation of their physician. 46 Additionally, a study commissioned by the FTC found that the majority of patients in the United States are overly optimistic about the results they can

51 achieve¹³

Investigators have also commented on significant misperceptions of understanding related to the safety and efficacy of dietary supplements and FDA authorities. Investigators found that patients incorrectly believe that dietary supplements are approved by the government; that dietary supplements have been tested for safety and effectiveness; that the content of all dietary supplements are analyzed; and that manufacturers are required to disclose known adverse effects.¹⁴ Each of these beliefs is a misconception.

Experts on the subject of dietary supplements note that patients may not be aware of the lack of efficacy of products and respond to advertisements, recommendations from friends and family, and longstanding habits of use. Consistently, mainstream media produces articles related to popular dietary supplements. At the beginning of each new year, it is common to see many lists about dietary supplement trends for the year ahead, whether based on evidence of efficacy or not. Lists of dietary supplement trends for 2020 include bone marrow, berberine, nootropic products, collagen peptides, and cannabidiol (CBD).^{47,48} Brain enhancement (nootropic) dietary supplement products are an emerging and increasing problem, as many contain unapproved pharmaceutical products.⁴⁹ The Council on Science and Public Health recently commented on this emerging issue in CSAPH report 9-A-16, Increasing Awareness of Nootropic Use.⁵⁰

Commonly Adulterated Products

Adulteration of dietary supplements is usually either economic adulteration, when a less expensive ingredient is used in place of a more expensive ingredient listed on the label, or pharmaceutical adulteration, when an active pharmaceutical is included in a product and not listed on the label.³⁴ Adding to the complexity and safety risks associated with adulteration, pharmaceutical adulteration includes the use of not only FDA-approved drugs, or drugs formerly approved by the FDA and withdrawn, but also drugs used in other countries (and never FDA-approved), and experimental drugs minimally or never tested in humans.^{51,52}

Dietary supplements are associated with an estimated 23,000 emergency department visits each year, and many of these visits are due to products that are adulterated with pharmaceutical drugs. The most commonly adulterated dietary supplements are those marketed as weight loss, sexual enhancement, or sports supplements.^{33,34,53-57} Many times, active pharmaceuticals are identified in dietary supplements even after FDA warnings to the manufacturer.^{52,58} The drug ingredients in these dietary supplements have the potential to cause AEs related to accidental misuse, overuse, interaction with other medications, or with other pharmaceuticals within the supplement, and related to underlying health conditions in the user.⁵⁹

 Additionally, extensive efforts have been made to silence physician-researchers investigating adulterated dietary supplements. Despite research being vetted through peer review and published in reputable journals, dietary supplement manufacturers have attempted to intimidate researchers with strategic lawsuits against public participation (SLAPP), which attempt to suggest research was biased, unethical, or vindictive, instead of publishing rebuttals to challenge the research. Although anti-SLAPP laws exist and are intended to prevent people from using courts, and even the threat of a lawsuit, to intimidate people who are exercising their First Amendment rights, some courts have allowed these lawsuits go to trial to not undermine a supplement company's constitutional right to a jury trial.

Cannabidiol

CBD is a major cannabinoid in marijuana and does not appear to have any psychoactive effects similar to those caused by Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). Most cannabinoid compounds are

derived from the plant genus cannabis. Various breeds or strains of cannabis for medicinal use have a significant variety in the ratios of CBD-to-THC and are known to contain other non-psychotropic cannabinoids. "Marijuana" is listed as a Schedule 1 controlled substance under the Controlled Substances Act (CSA); CBD and other components of cannabis are also Schedule 1 compounds by definition because they are considered "derivatives" or "components" of marijuana.

Hemp, however, is excluded from this rule since the Agricultural Improvement Act (the 2018 Farm Bill) removed hemp-derived products from Schedule I status under the CSA.⁶² The Farm Bill defined hemp as a strain of the cannabis plant containing no more than 0.3% THC. The 2018 Farm Bill does not legalize CBD generally and CBD remains a Schedule 1 substance under the CSA. The 2018 Farm Bill does create exceptions to this Schedule 1 status in certain situations: any cannabinoid that is derived from hemp will be legal, if and only if that hemp is produced in a manner consistent with the Farm Bill, associated federal regulations, association state regulations, and is produced by a licensed grower. All other cannabinoids, produced in any other setting, remain a Schedule I substance under the CSA and are illegal. The one exception is pharmaceutical-grade CBD products that have been approved by the FDA, of which there is one. Epidiolex from GW Pharmaceuticals, a purified 98% oil-based CBD extract of known and constant composition, is FDA approved to treat rare forms of epilepsy, and is Schedule V.

The legal landscape of CBD remains complex. As states have legalized cannabis use for medical purposes and for any purpose, a variety of non-FDA approved or regulated products have become more mainstream. Among these products are CBD oils or other products rich in CBD. CBD products are used by the public for a variety of purported indications, including seizure reduction, as an anti-inflammatory, and for alleviating anxiety. Often, CBD products are (incorrectly) called CBD-only products; many states define "CBD-only" as containing less than 0.3% THC (the same as hemp). For many products, it is difficult to determine if the product is hemp-derived (Schedule 1 exempt) or not, and variability in CBD and THC content is common. Recently, an analysis of twenty popular CBD products and found that only three contained what was listed on the labels.⁶³

The FDA has taken the position that CBD cannot be legally sold in either supplements or foods and has repeatedly said it needs more data to better understand the risks and benefits of CBD. 64,65 The FDA has estimated it could take between three and five years to complete a rulemaking process that would allow CBD to be added to food and dietary supplements. If it is eventually permitted, FDA will need to establish science-based standards for dosing, composition, nomenclature, product claims, and numerous other manufacturing and marketing issues to further the goals of protecting the public and providing more clarity to industry and the public. 66,67 To further progress the knowledge related to CBD, the FDA has re-opened a public docket indefinitely for the submission of scientific data related to CBD. 67

The FDA has focused its limited enforcement resources on removing CBD products that make claims of curing or treating disease, leaving many CBD products on the market as both foods and dietary supplements available for sale. Some experts believe this is an opportunity for the FDA to reform and improve oversight of dietary supplements and ingredients to create clear, reasonable pathways for low-dose CBD and other new substances to be safely introduced into supplements and food. 65

Widespread agreement exists that additional research is needed regarding CBD, both for efficacy and long-term safety. Currently, CBD and hemp oils remain a widely available but unproven therapeutic option for many patients. CBD became the top selling "dietary supplement" in the United States in 2018 according to a recent report from the American Botanical Council.⁶³ Experts note that physicians should remain open to the possible future role CBD products may play in the

management of a variety of difficult to treat diseases, ⁶⁸ yet use caution and consider of the risks present in patients' use of CBD products and the possibility of product contamination. ⁶⁹

ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING, INTERACTIONS, AND PRODUCT REPORTING

Post-market surveillance is a key part of identifying safety problems associated with both pharmaceutical products and dietary supplement products. The FD&C Act defines a dietary supplement AE as "any health-related event associated with the use of a dietary supplement that is adverse" (e.g., headache, abdominal pain, allergic reaction, rash, and dizziness or lightheadedness). A serious adverse event (SAE) is defined as an AE that "results in death, a life-threatening experience, inpatient hospitalization, a persistent or significant disability or incapacity, or a congenital anomaly or birth defect; or requires, based on a reasonable medical judgement, a medical or surgical intervention to prevent an outcome described above."⁷

While few of the many high-quality studies evaluating dietary supplements and ingredients looking for positive health benefits from their use have found such results, several studies have produced evidence of harm. He has been reported that less than 40 percent of patients reveal use of dietary supplements to their health care professionals and no metrics could be located related to how many health care professionals directly ask patients about dietary supplement use. The US Government Accountability Office estimates that a small fraction of the estimated 50,000 adverse reactions each year from dietary supplements are reported to the FDA. The lack of reporting, along with the poor quality of the information received in the few reports make it nearly impossible for the FDA to find and remove dangerous supplements. To,71

Literature documents that concomitant use of dietary supplements and prescribed medications is common, problematic, and can result in life-threatening ADEs, hospitalizations, and fatalities.⁷² Adding to the risk for patients, dietary supplements often contain multiple active ingredients and are often inaccurately labeled. Myriad products and ingredients have been implicated in interactions and ADEs, yet investigators note underreporting, lack of case reports, and incomplete reports.^{23,72-76} In several instances, local public health departments, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), or the Department of Defense have been more successful at linking cases of illness to dietary supplement products than physician reporting and the FDA.⁷⁵

Suspected supplement-related AEs should be reported to the FDA.³⁸ All reporting by physicians is voluntary and also strongly recommended; the FDA gives extra credence to physician reports and the voluntary system of passive surveillance is the only opportunity the FDA has to detect harmful dietary supplements. The Safety Reporting Portal (SRP)⁷⁷ streamlines the process of reporting product safety issues to the FDA and the NIH, formerly done through FDA's Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS)⁷⁸ and MedWatch⁷⁹ Online Voluntary Reporting Form. The SRP can be used by manufacturers, health care professionals, researchers, public health officials, and patients.⁸⁰ Contaminated dietary supplement products can be also be reported via an FDA portal.⁸¹ Box 2 provides a list of resources for reporting dietary supplement safety issues.

 Some dietary supplements are known to cause clinically important interactions with drugs and should be avoided by most patients receiving any pharmacologic therapy. Many other dietary supplement products, however, are predicted to cause interactions based limited in vitro studies. Additionally, some dietary supplements have the potential to interfere with laboratory results. Risk-based and open conversation with patients is crucial in minimizing and appropriately

49 identifying interactions.

PATIENT-PHYSICIAN INTERACTION

Physicians or their office staff should include discussion of dietary supplements when reviewing medications with all patients. Reporting suspected AEs related to dietary supplements is critical, and dietary supplements should also be considered as source of unexplained AEs. Risk-based patient counseling of patients should include discussion about the variable quality of dietary supplements, the presence of unreputable products in the marketplace, and information on which products are commonly adulterated; additionally, physicians can ask patients to bring dietary supplement products with them to appointments for review and discussion.⁵² Physicians should also make an effort to evaluate any potential drug-supplement interactions based on the products patients are using or considering. Box 1 contains a list of resources for information about dietary supplement products.

When counseling patients about dietary supplements, it should be noted that supplementation is not a substitute for a healthful and balanced diet and, in most cases, provides little benefit. Targeted supplementation may be warranted for high-risk populations for whom nutritional requirements may not be met through diet alone, including people at certain life stages and those with specific risk factors.³⁸

CURRENT AMA POLICY AND ACTIVITIES

AMA currently has policy related to dietary supplements. Policy H-150.954, "Dietary Supplements and Herbal Remedies," notes AMA's support of the FDA MedWatch program, encourages the reporting of adverse events associated with dietary supplements, and urges manufacturers to investigate and include on the label any adverse effects, contraindications, and possible drug interactions. Policy D-150.991, "Herbal Products and Drug Interactions," supports FDA efforts to create a publicly accessible database of adverse event and drug interaction information on dietary supplements. Policy H-150.954 also urges for modifications to strengthen DSHEA, supports FDA and FTC enforcement efforts, and supports appropriate dietary supplement labeling. This policy also notes the AMA's support of educating patients and physicians about the risks associated with dietary supplements. Policy H-150.946, "Advertising for Herbal Supplements," states that the naming, packaging, and advertising of dietary supplement products be such that they cannot be confused with pharmaceutical products. Policy H-115.988, "Qualitative Labeling of All Drugs," supports efforts to require both active and inactive ingredients of over-the-counter and prescription drugs and dietary supplements to be listed on the manufacturer's label or package insert. Policy D-120.982, "Illegal Online Prescribing Operations," supports efforts that help the Drug Enforcement Administration and the FDA to better regulate and control the illegal online sales and distributions of drugs, dietary supplements, and herbal remedies.

 Additionally, the AMA is a member of the Dietary Supplement Quality Collaborative (DSQC), a group committed to the advancement of policies and initiatives designed to improve and maintain the quality and safety of products marketed as dietary supplements.⁸⁴ The DSQC supports policies and resources to advance innovation; help ensure safe, quality supplements; remove illegal and tainted products from the marketplace; and promote consumer education. To this end, through DSQC, AMA has contributed to the writing of a white paper seeking to educate stakeholders about the dangers of tainted dietary supplements and recommend solutions to aid in minimizing the risks associated with them.³⁵ The AMA has also been a signatory to letters requesting support for the FDA's Office of Dietary Supplement Programs.

SUMMARY

Millions of patients use dietary supplements yet today, the regulatory structure in place for dietary supplements does not adequately protect the public. In the 26 years since the passage of DSHEA, the dietary supplement industry has been reshaped by a complex global supply chain, the Internet, and newly discovered ingredients of unknown safety. An estimated 75,000 new supplement products have been introduced since 1994, and the FDA has received adequate safety data for fewer than 250 new ingredients. The FDA also has no way to determine what ingredients are present in the tens of thousands of products on the market.^{23,24}

Furthermore, with violations in over half of inspected dietary supplement manufacturers,³⁰ more effective enforcement tools are required to protect the health of patients. All patients will benefit from a regulatory framework that promotes product safety and provides appropriate tools and resources for the FDA to maintain appropriate oversight.³⁴

CONCLUSION

The advancement of a safe and transparent dietary supplement marketplace will require a trustworthy supply chain and will involve robust AE, drug interaction, and tainted product reporting. The reliance on an industry that self-regulates is insufficient and ineffective at protecting the health of patients. Unethical individuals and companies engage in the manufacture and distribution of intentionally adulterated, misbranded, and improperly labeled dietary supplement products and pose significant risks to patient health and safety. As the dietary supplement industry continues to grow and patients continue to use dietary supplement, efforts to revise and modernize the DSHEA and FDA oversight of the industry and are necessary. The FDA has no mechanism to know what dietary supplement products, containing what ingredients, are on the market. Some have suggested that a mandatory product registry would be a simple, low-burden way for the FDA and patients to obtain a complete picture of the marketplace and better protect public health by providing greater transparency, enabling prioritization of limited agency resources, and enhancing efforts to respond to emerging safety concerns. Additionally, both physician and patient education are paramount to understand this industry and the risks associated with dietary supplement products.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Council on Science and Public Health recommends that the following be adopted and the remainder of the report be filed:

. That Policy H-150.954, "Dietary Supplements and Herbal Remedies" be amended by addition and deletion to read as follows:

 (1) Our AMA supports efforts to enhance U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) resources, particularly to the Office of Dietary Supplement Programs, to appropriately oversee the growing dietary supplement sector and adequately increase inspections of dietary supplement manufacturing facilities.

(2) Our AMA supports the FDA having appropriate enforcement tools and policies related to dietary supplements, which may include mandatory recall and related authorities over products that are marketed as dietary supplements but contain drugs or drug analogues, the utilization of risk-based inspections for dietary

1 2	supplement manufacturing facilities, and the strengthening of adverse event reporting systems.
3 4 5	(3) Our AMA supports continued research related to the efficacy, safety, and long- term effects of dietary supplement products.
6 7 8 9 10 11	(4) Our AMA will work with the FDA to educate physicians and the public about FDA's MedWatch program Safety Reporting Portal (SRP) and to strongly encourage physicians and the public to report potential adverse events associated with dietary supplements and herbal remedies to help support FDA's efforts to create a database of adverse event information on these forms of alternative/complementary therapies.
13 14 15 16	(5) Our AMA strongly urges physicians to inquire about patients' use of dietary supplements and engage in risk-based conversations with them about dietary supplement product use.
17 18 19 20	(6) Our AMA continues to <u>strongly</u> urge Congress to modify <u>and modernize</u> the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act to require that: (a) dietary supplements and herbal remedies including the products already
21 22 23 24	 in the marketplace undergo FDA approval for evidence of safety and efficacy; (b) <u>dietary supplements</u> meet standards established by the United States Pharmacopeia for identity, strength, quality, purity, packaging, and
25 26 27 28 29 30	labeling; (c) FDA establish a mandatory product listing regime that includes a unique identifier for each product (such as a QR code), the ability to identify and track all products produced by manufacturers who have received warning letters from the FDA, and FDA authorities to decline to add
31 32 33 34 35	labels to the database if the label lists a prohibited ingredient or new dietary ingredient for which no evidence of safety exists or for products which have reports of undisclosed ingredients; and (d) regulations related to new dietary ingredients (NDI) are clarified to foster the timely submission of NDI notifications and compliance regarding NDIs by manufacturers; and
36 37 38 39 40	(7) Our AMA supports FDA postmarketing requirements for manufacturers to report adverse events, including drug interactions; and legislation that declares metabolites and precursors of anabolic steroids to be drug substances that may not be used in a dietary supplement.
41 42 43 44 45 46	(8) Our AMA will work with the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) to support enforcement efforts based on the FTC Act and current FTC policy on expert endorsements and supports adequate funding and resources for FTC enforcement of violations of the the FTC Act.
46 47 48 49	(9) Our AMA strongly urges that criteria for the rigor of scientific evidence needed to support a structure/function claim on a dietary supplement be established by the FDA and minimally include requirements for robust human studies supporting the

50

claim.

1		(10) Our AMA strongly urges dietary supplement manufacturers and distributors to
2		clearly label all products with truthful and not misleading information and for
3		supports that the product labeling of dietary supplements and herbal remedies to:
4		(a) that bear structure/function claims contain the following disclaimer as a
5		minimum requirement: "This product has not been evaluated by the
6		Food and Drug Administration and is not intended to diagnose, mitigate,
7		treat, cure, or prevent disease." This product may have significant
8		adverse side effects and/or interactions with medications and other
9		dietary supplements; therefore it is important that you inform your
10		doctor that you are using this product;
11		(a) not include structure/function claims that are not supported by evidence
12		from robust human studies;
13		(b) -should not contain prohibited disease claims-:
14		(c) eliminate "proprietary blends" and list and accurately quantify all
15		ingredients contained in the product;
16		(d) require advisory statements regarding potential supplement-drug and
17		supplement-laboratory interactions and risks associated with overuse and
18		special populations; and
19		(e) include accurate and useful disclosure of ingredient measurement.
20		
21		(11) Our AMA supports and encourages the FDA's regulation and enforcement of
22		labeling violations and FTC's regulation and enforcement of advertisement
23		violations of prohibited disease claims made on dietary supplements and herbal
24		remedies.
25		
26		(12) Our AMA urges that in order to protect the public, manufacturers be required to
27		investigate and obtain data under conditions of normal use on adverse effects,
28		contraindications, and possible drug interactions, and that such information be
29		included on the label.
30		
31		(13) Our AMA will continue its efforts to educate patients and physicians about the
32		possible ramifications <u>risks</u> associated with the use of dietary supplements and
33		herbal remedies- and supports efforts to increase patient, healthcare practitioner,
34		and retailer awareness of resources to help patients select quality supplements,
35		including educational efforts to build label literacy.
36	_	
37	2.	That Policy H-120.926, "Expedited Prescription Cannabidiol Drug Rescheduling," be
38		amended by addition and deletion to read as follows:
39		
40		Regulation of Cannabidiol Products
41		Our AMA will: (1) encourage state controlled substance authorities, boards of pharmacy,
42		and legislative bodies to take the necessary steps including regulation and legislation to
43		reschedule U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved cannabidiol products, or
44		make any other necessary regulatory or legislative change, as expeditiously as possible so
45		that they will be available to patients immediately after approval by the FDA and
46		rescheduling by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration; and (2) advocate that an FDA-
47		approved cannabidiol medication should be governed only by the federal and state
48		regulatory provisions that apply to other prescription-only products, such as dispensing
49		through pharmacies, rather than by these various state laws applicable to unapproved
50		cannabis products-; and (3) support comprehensive FDA regulation of cannabidiol products
51		and practices necessary to ensure product quality, including identity, purity, and potency.

3. That policy D-150.991, "Herbal Products and Drug Interactions," that notes our AMA's support of FDA efforts to create a publicly accessible database of adverse event and drug interaction information on dietary supplements, be reaffirmed.

Fiscal Note: Less than \$1000

REFERENCES

- 1. U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). *Memory Supplements: Clarifying FDA and FTC Roles Could Strengthen Oversight and Enhance Consumer Awareness.* May 2017.
- 2. Incze M. Vitamins and Nutritional Supplements: What Do I Need to Know? Vitamins and Nutritional Supplements. Vitamins and Nutritional Supplements. 2019.
- 3. Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Du M, White E, Giovannucci EL. Trends in Dietary Supplement Use Among US Adults From 1999-2012. *Jama*. 2016;316(14):1464-1474.
- 4. Council for Responsible Nutrition. Annual CRN Consumer Survey on Dietary Supplements: Consumer Intelligence to Enhance Business Outcomes.

 https://www.crnusa.org/resources/annual-crn-consumer-survey-dietary-supplements-consumer-intelligence-enhance-business. Published 2019. Accessed February 17, 2020.
- 5. Mikulic M. Total U.S. dietary supplements market size 2016-2024. https://www.statista.com/statistics/828481/total-dietary-supplements-market-size-in-the-us/. Published 2019. Accessed February 17, 2020.
- 6. Grand View Research. Dietary Supplements Market Worth \$194.63 Billion By 2025 | CAGR 7.8%. https://www.grandviewresearch.com/press-release/global-dietary-supplements-market. Published 2019. Accessed February 17, 2020.
- 7. 21 C.F.R. In.
- 8. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA 101: Dietary Supplements. https://www.fda.gov/consumers/consumer-updates/fda-101-dietary-supplements. Published 2015. Accessed February 17, 2020.
- 9. 21 C.F.R. § 111. In.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. New Dietary Ingredients in Dietary Supplements -Background for Industry. https://www.fda.gov/food/new-dietary-ingredients-ndi-notification-process/new-dietary-ingredients-dietary-supplements-background-industry. Published 2019. Accessed February 19, 2020.
- 11. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Dietary Supplements. https://www.fda.gov/food/dietary-supplements. Published 2019. Accessed February 19, 2020.
- 12. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Label Claims for Conventional Foods and Dietary Supplements. https://www.fda.gov/food/food-labeling-nutrition/label-claims-conventional-foods-and-dietary-supplements. Published 2018. Accessed February 19, 2020.
- 13. Starr RR. Too little, too late: ineffective regulation of dietary supplements in the United States. *American journal of public health.* 2015;105(3):478-485.
- 14. Dodge T. Consumers' perceptions of the dietary supplement health and education act: implications and recommendations. *Drug testing and analysis*. 2016;8(3-4):407-409.
- 15. U.S. Federal Trade Commission. Health Claims. https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/media-resources/truth-advertising/health-claims. Published 2020. Accessed March 11, 2020.
- 16. Food Labeling: Revision of the Nutrition and Supplement Facts Labels. In. Vol 81. Federal Register 2016.
- 17. Label Wise: A Guide to Understanding Supplement Facts. https://belabelwise.org/. Published 2020. Accessed February 24, 2020.
- 18. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Dietary Supplement Labeling Guide: Chapter IV. Nutrition Labeling (#34). https://www.fda.gov/food/dietary-supplements-guidance-documents-guidance-g

- <u>regulatory-information/dietary-supplement-labeling-guide-chapter-iv-nutrition-labeling#4-34</u>. Published 2018. Accessed March 11, 2020.
- 19. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Statement from FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., on the agency's new efforts to strengthen regulation of dietary supplements by modernizing and reforming FDA's oversight. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/statement-fda-commissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-agencys-new-efforts-strengthen-regulation-dietary. Published 2019. Accessed February 24, 2020.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Public Meeting to Discuss Responsible Innovation in Dietary Supplements. https://www.fda.gov/food/workshops-meetings-webinars-food-and-dietary-supplements/public-meeting-discuss-responsible-innovation-dietary-supplements.
 Published 2019. Accessed February 24, 2020.
- 21. Pew Charitable Trusts. Q1141 Perceptions of Dietary Supplements and the FDA. https://www.pewtrusts.org/—
 /media/assets/2019/10/dietary_supplement_survey_topline_results.pdf. Published 2020.
 Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 22. Pew Charitable Trusts. Most Supplement Users Back Enhanced FDA Oversight of These Products. https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/articles/2019/10/01/most-supplement-users-back-enhanced-fda-oversight-of-these-products. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 23. Cohen PA, Bass S. Injecting Safety into Supplements Modernizing the Dietary Supplement Law. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2019;381(25):2387-2389.
- 24. Kapoor A, Sharfstein JM. Breaking the gridlock: Regulation of dietary supplements in the United States. *Drug testing and analysis*. 2016;8(3-4):424-430.
- 25. Council for Responsible Nutrition. The Supplement OWL®. https://www.supplementowl.org/index.html. Published 2020. Accessed March 2, 2020.
- 26. Kuszak AJ, Hopp DC, Williamson JS, Betz JM, Sorkin BC. Approaches by the US National Institutes of Health to support rigorous scientific research on dietary supplements and natural products. *Drug testing and analysis*. 2016;8(3-4):413-417.
- 27. National Institutes of Health. Office of Dietary Supplements. https://ods.od.nih.gov/. Published 2020. Accessed March 3, 2020.
- 28. National Institutes of Health. Dietary Supplement Label Database. http://dsld.nlm.nih.gov/dsld/. Published 2020. Accessed March 3, 2020.
- 29. Cohen PA, Sharfstein JM, Kamugisha BS, Vanhee C. Analysis of Ingredients of Supplements in the National Institutes of Health Supplement Database Marketed as Containing a Novel Alternative to Anabolic Steroids. *JAMA Network Open.* 2020(In press).
- 30. Cohen PA. Probiotic Safety—No Guarantees. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2018;178(12):1577-1578.
- 31. Cohen PA, Avula B, Khan IA. Variability in strength of red yeast rice supplements purchased from mainstream retailers. *European journal of preventive cardiology*. 2017:2047487317715714.
- 32. Lewis ZT, Shani G, Masarweh CF, et al. Validating bifidobacterial species and subspecies identity in commercial probiotic products. *Pediatric research*. 2016;79(3):445-452.
- 33. Geller AI, Shehab N, Weidle NJ, et al. Emergency Department Visits for Adverse Events Related to Dietary Supplements. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2015;373(16):1531-1540.
- 34. Cohen PA. The fda and adulterated supplements—dereliction of duty. *JAMA Network Open*. 2018;1(6):e183329.
- 35. Dietary Supplement Quality Collaborative. Briefing Paper on Products Illegally Marketed as Dietary Supplements and Proposed Multi-Stakeholder Responses.

 https://www.dsqcollaborative.org/sites/default/files/dsqc/PDF/dsqc_tainted_products_briefing_document.pdf. Published 2017. Accessed February 19, 2020.

- 36. Sarma N, Giancaspro G, Venema J. Dietary supplements quality analysis tools from the United States Pharmacopeia. *Drug testing and analysis*. 2016;8(3-4):418-423.
- 37. United States Pharmacopeial Convention. <1225> Validation of Compendial Procedures. In. *USP 43–NF 38.* 2016.
- 38. Manson JE, Bassuk SS. Vitamin and mineral supplements: What clinicians need to know. *Jama*. 2018;319(9):859-860.
- 39. ConsumerLab.com. ConsumerLab.com. https://www.consumerlab.com/. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 40. United States Pharmacopeia. USP Verified Dietary Supplements. <a href="https://www.quality-supplements.org/verified-products?fireglass_rsn=true#fireglass_params&tabid=ac62888d17482230&application_server_address=isolation1-us-east-1.wss.prod.fire.glass&popup=true&is_right_side_popup=false&start_with_session_counter=1. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 41. NSF International. NSF International Certified for Sport® Online Directory.

 <a href="https://www.nsfsport.com/certified-products/?fireglass_rsn=true#fireglass_params&tabid=a8eedd9075ff3414&application_server_address=isolation1-us-east-1.wss.prod.fire.glass&popup=true&is_right_side_popup=false&start_with_session_counter=1. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 42. UL. Dietary Supplements. https://crs.ul.com/en/industries/dietary-supplements/. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 43. United States Antidoping Agency. Supplement 411. https://www.usada.org/athletes/substances/supplement-411/. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 44. Therapeutic Reserach Center. Natural Medicines. https://naturalmedicines.therapeuticresearch.com/. Published 2020. Accessed March 26, 2020.
- 45. Chen F, Du M, Blumberg JB, et al. Association Among Dietary Supplement Use, Nutrient Intake, and Mortality Among U.S. Adults: A Cohort StudyDietary Supplement Use, Nutrient Intake, and Mortality Among U.S. Adults. 2019.
- 46. Cohen PA. The Supplement Paradox: Negligible Benefits, Robust Consumption. *Jama*. 2016;316(14):1453-1454.
- 47. Fullscript. 2020 Supplement Trends: 12 Products And Trends To Watch. https://fullscript.com/blog/2020-supplement-trends. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 48. Tibbits A. The Biggest Supplement Trends Of 2020, According To Nutritionists. https://www.thezoereport.com/p/the-biggest-supplement-trends-of-2020-according-to-nutritionists-20514377. Published 2020. Updated January 15. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 49. Cohen PA, Zakharevich I, Gerona R. Presence of Piracetam in Cognitive Enhancement Dietary Supplements. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2020;180(3):458-459.
- 50. Council on Science and Public Health. *Increasing Awareness of Nootropic Use*. American Medical Association;2016. 9-A-16.
- 51. Cohen PA, Travis JC, Keizers PHJ, Deuster P, Venhuis BJ. Four experimental stimulants found in sports and weight loss supplements: 2-amino-6-methylheptane (octodrine), 1,4-dimethylamylamine (1,4-DMAA), 1,3-dimethylamylamine (1,3-DMAA) and 1,3-dimethylbutylamine (1,3-DMBA). *Clinical toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa)*. 2018;56(6):421-426.
- 52. Cohen PA, Wen A, Gerona R. Prohibited stimulants in dietary supplements after enforcement action by the us food and drug administration. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2018.
- 53. Magee CD, Witte S, Kwok RM, Deuster PA. Mission Compromised? Drug-Induced Liver Injury From Prohormone Supplements Containing Anabolic-Androgenic Steroids in Two Deployed U.S. Service Members. *Military medicine*. 2016;181(9):e1169-1171.

- 54. Cohen PA, Wang YH, Maller G, DeSouza R, Khan IA. Pharmaceutical quantities of yohimbine found in dietary supplements in the USA. *Drug testing and analysis*. 2016;8(3-4):357-369.
- 55. Cohen PA, Travis JC, Keizers PHJ, Boyer FE, Venhuis BJ. The stimulant higenamine in weight loss and sports supplements. *Clinical toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa)*. 2018:1-6.
- 56. Marcus DM. Dietary supplements: What's in a name? What's in the bottle? *Drug testing and analysis*. 2016;8(3-4):410-412.
- 57. Or F, Kim Y, Simms J, Austin SB. Taking Stock of Dietary Supplements' Harmful Effects on Children, Adolescents, and Young Adults. *J Adolesc Health*. 2019;65(4):455-461.
- 58. Cohen PA, Maller G, DeSouza R, Neal-Kababick J. Presence of Banned Drugs in Dietary Supplements Following FDA Recalls. *Jama*. 2014;312(16):1691-1693.
- 59. Tucker J, Fischer T, Upjohn L, Mazzera D, Kumar M. Unapproved pharmaceutical ingredients included in dietary supplements associated with us food and drug administration warnings. *JAMA Network Open.* 2018;1(6):e183337.
- 60. Bagley N, Carroll AE, Cohen PA. Scientific Trials—In the Laboratories, Not the Courts. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2018;178(1):7-8.
- 61. Carroll AE. Why a Lot of Important Research Is Not Being Done. New York Times Web site. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/04/upshot/health-research-lawsuits-chilling-effect.html. Published 2017. Accessed March 11, 2020.
- 62. Public Law 115-334. Agriculture Act of 2018. . In.
- 63. Rubin R. Cannabidiol Products Are Everywhere, but Should People Be Using Them? *Jama*. 2019.
- 64. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Regulation of Cannabis and Cannabis-Derived Products, Including Cannabidiol (CBD). https://www.fda.gov/news-events/public-health-focus/fda-regulation-cannabis-and-cannabis-derived-products-including-cannabidiol-cbd. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 65. Cohen PA, Sharfstein J. The Opportunity of CBD Reforming the Law. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2019;381(4):297-299.
- 66. Wang B. Throckmorton: FDA Needs More Data, Not Authority, For CBD Regulation. Inside Health Policy Web site. https://insidehealthpolicy.com/daily-news/throckmorton-fda-needs-more-data-not-authority-cbd-regulation. Published 2020. Accessed January 21, 2020.
- 67. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Advances Work Related to Cannabidiol Products with Focus on Protecting Public Health, Providing Market Clarity. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-advances-work-related-cannabidiol-products-focus-protecting-public-health-providing-market?utm_campaign=030520_PR_FDA%20Advances%20Work%20Related%20to%20Cannabidiol%20Products&utm_medium=email&utm_source=Eloqua. Published 2020. Accessed March 6, 2020.
- 68. VanDolah HJ, Bauer BA, Mauck KF. Clinicians' Guide to Cannabidiol and Hemp Oils. *Mayo Clinic proceedings*. 2019;94(9):1840-1851.
- 69. Rianprakaisang T, Gerona R, Hendrickson RG. Commercial cannabidiol oil contaminated with the synthetic cannabinoid AB-FUBINACA given to a pediatric patient. *Clinical Toxicology*. 2020;58(3):215-216.
- 70. Cohen P. How America's Flawed Supplement Law Creates the Mirage of Weight Loss Cures. *Harvard Public Health Review.* 2014.
- 71. United States Government Accountabily Office. *Dietary Supplements: FDA Should Take Further Actions to Improve Oversight and Consumer Understanding.* January 29 2009.
- 72. Awortwe C, Makiwane M, Reuter H, Muller C, Louw J, Rosenkranz B. Critical evaluation of causality assessment of herb-drug interactions in patients. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2018;84(4):679-693.
- 73. Qato DM, Alexander GC, Guadamuz JS, Lindau ST. Prevalence of Dietary Supplement Use in US Children and Adolescents, 2003-2014. *JAMA pediatrics*. 2018.

- 74. Qato DM, Wilder J, Schumm LP, Gillet V, Alexander GC. Changes in Prescription and Overthe-Counter Medication and Dietary Supplement Use Among Older Adults in the United States, 2005 vs 2011. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2016;176(4):473-482.
- 75. Cohen PA. Hazards of Hindsight Monitoring the Safety of Nutritional Supplements. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2014;370(14):1277-1280.
- 76. Chatham-Stephens K, Taylor E, Chang A, et al. Hepatotoxicity associated with weight loss or sports dietary supplements, including OxyELITE ProTM United States, 2013. *Drug testing and analysis*. 2017;9(1):68-74.
- 77. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. The Safety Reporting Portal. https://www.safetyreporting.hhs.gov/SRP2/en/Home.aspx?sid=d89fee1e-3356-4140-b57f-c82ca1331472. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 78. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Questions and Answers on FDA's Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS). https://www.fda.gov/drugs/surveillance/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 79. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. MedWatch Online Voluntary Reporting Form. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/medwatch/index.cfm. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 80. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. How to Report a Problem with Dietary Supplements. <a href="https://www.fda.gov/food/dietary-supplements/how-report-problem-dietary-supplements?fireglass_rsn=true#fireglass_params&tabid=a88e403e01278ee9&application_ser_ver_address=isolation1-us-east-1.wss.prod.fire.glass&popup=true&is_right_side_popup=false&start_with_session_counter=1. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 81. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Reporting Unlawful Sales of Medical Products on the Internet. <a href="https://www.fda.gov/safety/report-problem-fda/reporting-unlawful-sales-medical-products-internet?fireglass_rsn=true#fireglass_params&tabid=a6490c7daaafc331&application_server_a_ddress=isolation1-us-east-1.wss.prod.fire.glass&popup=true&is_right_side_popup=false&start_with_session_counter=1. Published 2020. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 82. Asher GN, Corbett AH, Hawke RL. Common Herbal Dietary Supplement-Drug Interactions. *American family physician*. 2017;96(2):101-107.
- 83. Li D, Radulescu A, Shrestha RT, et al. Association of Biotin Ingestion With Performance of Hormone and Nonhormone Assays in Healthy Adults. *Jama*. 2017;318(12):1150-1160.
- 84. Dietary Supplement Quality Collaborative. Dietary Supplement Quality Collaborative. https://www.dsqcollaborative.org/. Published 2020. Accessed February 19, 2020.

Box 1. Resources for dietary supplement product information.

- 1. USP verified products 40
- 2. NSF Certified for Sport® products 41
- 3. USADA Supplement 411 ⁴³
- 4. <u>Natural Medicines Research Collaboration Natural Medicines database</u> (paid subscription)⁴⁴

Box 2. Resources for reporting dietary supplement safety issues.

- 1. The Safety Reporting Portal (SRP) 77
- 2. Reporting Unlawful Sales of Medical Products on the Internet 81
- 3. How to Report a Problem with Dietary Supplements 80
- 4. FDA's Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) 78
- 5. MedWatch Online Voluntary Reporting Form 79