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INTRODUCTION

At the 2018 Interim Meeting, the American Medical Association (AMA) House of Delegates (HOD) referred Resolution 204-I-18, “Restriction on IMG Moonlighting.” Resolution 204 was introduced by the Resident and Fellow Section.

Resolution 204 asks that our AMA advocate for changes to federal legislation allowing physicians with a J-1 visa in fellowship training programs the ability to moonlight.

This report provides a brief background on the J-1 visa program and discusses the issues that are raised when considering changes to federal legislation that would allow physicians with a J-1 visa in fellowship training programs the ability to moonlight.

BACKGROUND

The U.S. generally requires citizens of foreign countries to obtain a U.S. visa prior to entry. Based on the purpose of travel, an individual may receive one of two types of visas: immigrant and non-immigrant. Immigrant visas are issued to individuals who wish to live in the U.S. permanently, while non-immigrant visas are issued to individuals with permanent residence outside the U.S. who wish to be in the U.S. temporarily for tourism, business, temporary work, or other specified purposes.

The Exchange Visitor (J) non-immigrant visa category is for individuals approved to participate in work- and study-based exchange visitor programs. The first step in pursuing an exchange visitor visa is to apply through a designated sponsoring organization in the U.S. Physicians may be sponsored for J-1 status by the Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG) for participation in accredited clinical programs or directly associated fellowship programs. These sponsored physicians have J-1 “alien physician” status and pursue graduate medical education or training at a U.S. accredited school of medicine or scientific institution, or pursue programs involving observation, consultation, teaching, or research. The J-1 classification is explicitly reserved for educational and cultural exchange.

J-1 status physicians are participants in the U.S. Department of State (DoS) Exchange Visitor Program. The primary goals of the Exchange Visitor Program are to allow participants the opportunity to engage broadly with Americans, share their culture, strengthen their English language abilities, and learn new skills or build skills that will help them in future careers.
According to the DoS, for Calendar Year 2018, there were 2,738 new J-1 physicians participating in the exchange program. For CY 2018 the top three “sending countries” for J-1 physicians were: Canada 689; India 489; and Pakistan 248. The top three “receiving U.S. states” for J-1 physicians were: New York 556; Michigan 182; and Texas 163.¹

DISCUSSION

A J-1 visa holder may only perform the curricular activity listed on his/her Form DS-2019, or as provided for in the regulations for the specific category for which entry was obtained and with the approval of the Sponsor’s Responsible or Alternate Responsible Officer. As a result, J-1 physician participants are not currently permitted to engage in any work outside of their approved program of graduate medical education. If the proposed activity by the J-1 physician falls outside of the normal scope and/or is not a required component of the training program, then it is deemed to be “work outside of the approved training program” and not permitted for J-1 physicians.

In June 1999, the U.S. Information Agency issued a statement of policy on the Exchange Visitor Program. In the statement of policy, the agency specifically comments on the ability of J-1 physicians to moonlight, stating that, “…a foreign medical graduate is not authorized to ‘moonlight’ and is without work authorization to do so. A foreign medical graduate may receive compensation from the medical training facility for work activities that are an integral part of his or her residency program. The foreign medical graduate is not authorized to work at other medical facilities or emergency rooms at night or on weekends. Such outside employment is a violation of the foreign medical graduate’s program status and would subject the foreign medical graduate to termination of his or her program.”²

The Administration has further outlined its rationale on this issue in a formal Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) and later a final rule which strengthens the program’s oversight by requiring management reviews for Private Sector Program sponsors of, for instance, alien physicians. The final rule confirmed the policy prohibiting moonlighting as outlined in 22 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §62.16:

22 CFR (§62.16) – Employment
(a) An exchange visitor may receive compensation from the sponsor or the sponsor’s appropriate designee, such as the host organization, when employment activities are part of the exchange visitor's program.
(b) An exchange visitor who engages in unauthorized employment shall be deemed to be in violation of his or her program status and is subject to termination as a participant in an exchange visitor program.
(c) The acceptance of employment by the accompanying spouse and dependents of an exchange visitor is governed by Department of Homeland Security regulations.

Currently, 42 CFR §415.208 provides substantial regulations for the services of moonlighting residents who are not foreign nationals. Again, the particular purpose of the J-1 program is to increase mutual understanding between the people of the U.S. and the people of other countries by means of educational and cultural exchanges. Thus, because J-1 physicians are foreign nationals participating in an educational/cultural exchange program offered by the DoS, they are not permitted to moonlight or receive additional compensation outside of the J-1 visa program.

DoS’ final rule states that strict oversight of the exchange program is critical as an affirmative step “to protect the health, safety and welfare of foreign nationals.” When problems occur, “the U.S. Government is often held accountable by foreign governments for the treatment of their nationals,
regardless of who is responsible.” Any changes to program policy that may weaken protections could have “direct and substantial adverse effects on the foreign affairs of the U.S.”

In accordance with the DoS policy, the AMA also has strong and lengthy policy outlining the rights of residents/fellows and limiting duty hours to ensure patient safety and an optimal learning environment for these physicians.

Those in support of Resolution 204 argue that moonlighting will improve access to care for underserved populations in certain areas around the U.S. facing a physician shortage. Allowing J-1 physicians to moonlight would provide these physicians with an increased opportunity to provide care to underserved populations while at the same time garner increased training and education during their time in the U.S. However, under the current program’s purpose and restrictions, as set out by the Administration, this activity is not possible without significant changes to the J-1 program.

Both the DoS and ECFMG ultimately desire that the J-1 visa program remain as a training/education program for which participants are paid. According to the DoS and ECFMG, if the alien physician program shifts to something other than a training/education program, then it will receive increased scrutiny (as is the case regarding the au pair and summer work travel programs) and could potentially be absorbed into the current immigration discussions between the U.S. Congress and the Administration. While the Board understands and appreciates the intent of the sponsors of Resolution 204, we conclude that the focus of the J-1 program should remain on the training and education of the physicians in the program and that our AMA should not pursue changes that could create a risk to those physicians and potentially the entire program.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends that our American Medical Association not adopt Resolution 204-I-18, “Restriction on IMG Moonlighting,” and that the remainder of the report be filed.

Fiscal Note: Less than $500
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RELEVANT AMA POLICY

CME Report on Duty Hours, CME Report 5, A-14

Policy H-255.970, “Employment of Non-Certified IMGs”
Our AMA will: (1) oppose efforts to employ graduates of foreign medical schools who are neither certified by the Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates, nor have met state criteria for full licensure; and (2) encourage states that have difficulty recruiting doctors to underserved areas to explore the expanded use of incentive programs such as the National Health Service Corps or J1 or other visa waiver programs. Citation: (Res. 309, A-03; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 2, A-13)

Policy H-310.907, “AMA Duty Hours Policy”
Our AMA adopts the following Principles of Resident/Fellow Duty Hours, Patient Safety, and Quality of Physician Training: 1. Our AMA reaffirms support of the 2003 Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) duty hour standards. 2. Our AMA will continue to monitor the enforcement and impact of duty hour standards, in the context of the larger issues of patient safety and the optimal learning environment for residents. 3. Our AMA encourages publication and supports dissemination of studies in peer-reviewed publications and educational sessions about all aspects of duty hours, to include such topics as extended work shifts, handoffs, in-house call and at-home call, level of supervision by attending physicians, workload and growing service demands, moonlighting, protected sleep periods, sleep deprivation and fatigue, patient safety, medical error, continuity of care, resident well-being and burnout, development of professionalism, resident learning outcomes, and preparation for independent practice. 4. Our AMA endorses the study of innovative models of duty hour requirements and, pending the outcomes of ongoing and future research, should consider the evolution of specialty- and rotation-specific duty hours requirements that are evidence-based and will optimize patient safety and competency-based learning opportunities. 5. Our AMA encourages the ACGME to: a) Decrease the barriers to reporting of both duty hour violations and resident intimidation. b) Ensure that readily accessible, timely and accurate information about duty hours is not constrained by the cycle of ACGME survey visits. c) Use, where possible, recommendations from respective specialty societies and evidence-based approaches to any future revision or introduction of resident duty hour rules. d) Broadly disseminate aggregate data from the annual ACGME survey on the educational environment of resident physicians, encompassing all aspects of duty hours. 6. Our AMA recognizes the ACGME for its work in ensuring an appropriate balance between resident education and patient safety, and encourages the ACGME to continue: a) Offer incentives to programs/institutions to ensure compliance with duty hour standards. b) Ensure that site visits include meetings with peer-selected or randomly selected residents and that residents who are not interviewed during site visits have the opportunity to provide information directly to the site visitor. c) Collect data on at-home call from both program directors and resident/fellow physicians; release these aggregate data annually; and develop standards to ensure that appropriate education and supervision are maintained, whether the setting is in-house or at-home. d) Ensure that resident/fellow physicians receive education on sleep deprivation and fatigue. 7. Our AMA supports the following statements related to duty hours: a) Resident physician total duty hours must not exceed 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period (Note: Total duty hours’ includes providing direct patient care or supervised patient care that contributes to meeting educational goals; participating in formal educational activities; providing administrative and patient care services of limited or no educational value; and time needed to transfer the care of patients). b) Scheduled on-call assignments should not exceed 24 hours. Residents may remain on-duty for an additional 4 hours to complete the transfer of care, patient follow-up, and education; however, residents may not be assigned new patients, cross-coverage of other providers' patients, or continuity clinic during that time. c) Time spent in the hospital by residents on at-home call must count towards the 80-hour maximum weekly hour limit, and on-call frequency must not exceed every third night averaged over four weeks. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-third-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one-day-in-seven free of duty, when averaged over four weeks. d) At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each resident. e) Residents are permitted to return to the hospital while on at-home call to care for new or established patients. Each episode of this type of care, while it must be included in the 80-hour weekly maximum, will not initiate a new "off-duty period." f) Given the different education and patient care needs of the various specialties and changes in resident responsibility as training progresses, duty hour requirements should allow for flexibility for different disciplines and different training levels to ensure appropriate resident
education and patient safety; for example, allowing exceptions for certain disciplines, as appropriate, to the 16-hour shift limit for first-year residents, or allowing a limited increase to the total number of duty hours when need is demonstrated. g) Resident physicians should be ensured a sufficient duty-free interval prior to returning to duty. h) Duty hour limits must not adversely impact resident physician participation in organized educational activities. Formal educational activities must be scheduled and available within total duty hour limits for all resident physicians. i) Scheduled time providing patient care services of limited or no educational value should be minimized. j) Accurate, honest, and complete reporting of resident duty hours is an essential element of medical professionalism and ethics. k) The medical profession maintains the right and responsibility for self-regulation (one of the key tenets of professionalism) through the ACGME and its purview over graduate medical education, and categorically rejects involvement by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, The Joint Commission, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, and any other federal or state government bodies in the monitoring and enforcement of duty hour regulations, and opposes any regulatory or legislative proposals to limit the duty hours of practicing physicians. l) Increased financial assistance for residents/fellows, such as subsidized child care, loan deferment, debt forgiveness, and tax credits, may help mitigate the need for moonlighting. At the same time, resident/fellow physicians in good standing with their programs should be afforded the opportunity for internal and external moonlighting that complies with ACGME policy. m) Program directors should establish guidelines for scheduled work outside of the residency program, such as moonlighting, and must approve and monitor that work such that it does not interfere with the ability of the resident to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational program. n) The costs of duty hour limits should be borne by all health care payers. o) The general public should be made aware of the many contributions of resident/fellow physicians to high-quality patient care and the importance of trainees' realizing their limits (under proper supervision) so that they will be able to competently and independently practice under real-world medical situations. 8. Our AMA is in full support of the collaborative partnership between allopathic and osteopathic professional and accrediting bodies in developing a unified system of residency/fellowship accreditation for all residents and fellows, with the overall goal of ensuring patient safety.

Policy H-310.912, “Residents and Fellows' Bill of Rights”
1. Our AMA continues to advocate for improvements in the ACGME Institutional and Common Program Requirements that support AMA policies as follows: a) adequate financial support for and guaranteed leave to attend professional meetings; b) submission of training verification information to requesting agencies within 30 days of the request; c) adequate compensation with consideration to local cost-of-living factors and years of training, and to include the orientation period; d) health insurance benefits to include dental and vision services; e) paid leave for all purposes (family, educational, vacation, sick) to be no less than six weeks per year; and f) stronger due process guidelines. 2. Our AMA encourages the ACGME to ensure access to educational programs and curricula as necessary to facilitate a deeper understanding by resident physicians of the US health care system and to increase their communication skills. 3. Our AMA regularly communicates to residency and fellowship programs and other GME stakeholders through various publication methods (e.g., the AMA GME e-letter) this Residents and Fellows' Bill of Rights. 4. Our AMA: a) will promote residency and fellowship training programs to evaluate their own institution's process for repayment and develop a leaner approach. This includes disbursement of funds by direct deposit as opposed to a paper check and an online system of applying for funds; b) encourages a system of expedited repayment for purchases of $200 or less (or an equivalent institutional threshold), for example through payment directly from their residency and fellowship programs (in contrast to following traditional workflow for reimbursement); and c) encourages training programs to develop a budget and strategy for planned expenses versus unplanned expenses, where planned expenses should be estimated using historical data, and should include trainee reimbursements for items such as educational materials, attendance at conferences, and entertaining applicants. Payment in advance or within one month of document submission is strongly recommended. 5. Our AMA encourages teaching institutions to explore benefits to residents and fellows that will reduce personal cost of living expenditures, such as allowances for housing, childcare, and transportation. 6. Our AMA adopts the following 'Residents and Fellows' Bill of Rights' as applicable to all resident and fellow physicians in ACGME-accredited training programs:
RESIDENTS AND FELLOWS' BILL OF RIGHTS
Residents and fellows have a right to:
A. An education that fosters professional development, takes priority over service, and leads to independent practice. With regard to education, residents and fellows should expect: (1) A graduate medical education experience that facilitates their professional and ethical development, to include regularly scheduled didactics for which they are released from clinical duties. Service obligations should not interfere with educational opportunities and clinical education should be given priority over service obligations; (2) Faculty who devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill their teaching and supervisory responsibilities; (3) Adequate clerical and clinical support services that minimize the extraneous, time-consuming work that draws attention from patient care issues and offers no educational value; (4) 24-hour per day access to information resources to educate themselves further about appropriate patient care; and (5) Resources that will allow them to pursue scholarly activities to include financial support and education leave to attend professional meetings. B. Appropriate supervision by qualified faculty with progressive resident responsibility toward independent practice. With regard to supervision, residents and fellows should expect supervision by physicians and non-physicians who are adequately qualified and which allows them to assume progressive responsibility appropriate to their level of education, competence, and experience. C. Regular and timely feedback and evaluation based on valid assessments of resident performance. With regard to evaluation and assessment processes, residents and fellows should expect: (1) Timely and substantive evaluations during each rotation in which their competence is objectively assessed by faculty who have directly supervised their work; (2) To evaluate the faculty and the program confidentially and in writing at least once annually and expect that the training program will address deficiencies revealed by these evaluations in a timely fashion; (3) Access to their training file and to be made aware of the contents of their file on an annual basis; and (4) Training programs to complete primary verification/credentialing forms and recredentialing forms, apply all required signatures to the forms, and then have the forms permanently secured in their educational files at the completion of training or a period of training and, when requested by any organization involved in credentialing process, ensure the submission of those documents to the requesting organization within thirty days of the request. D. A safe and supportive workplace with appropriate facilities. With regard to the workplace, residents and fellows should have access to: (1) A safe workplace that enables them to fulfill their clinical duties and educational obligations; (2) Secure, clean, and comfortable on-call rooms and parking facilities which are secure and well-lit; (3) Opportunities to participate on committees whose actions may affect their education, patient care, workplace, or contract. E. Adequate compensation and benefits that provide for resident well-being and health. (1) With regard to contracts, residents and fellows should receive: a. Information about the interviewing residency or fellowship program including a copy of the currently used contract clearly outlining the conditions for (re)appointment, details of remuneration, specific responsibilities including call obligations, and a detailed protocol for handling any grievance; and b. At least four months advance notice of contract non-renewal and the reason for non-renewal. (2) With regard to compensation, residents and fellows should receive: a. Compensation for time at orientation; and b. Salaries commensurate with their level of training and experience, and that reflect the cost of living differences based on geographical differences. (3) With Regard to Benefits, Residents and Fellows Should Receive: a. Quality and affordable comprehensive medical, mental health, dental, and vision care; b. Education on the signs of excessive fatigue, clinical depression, and substance abuse and dependence; c. Confidential access to mental health and substance abuse services; d. A guaranteed, predetermined amount of paid vacation leave, sick leave, maternity and paternity leave and educational leave during each year in their training program the total amount of which should not be less than six weeks; and e. Leave in compliance with the Family and Medical Leave Act. F. Duty hours that protect patient safety and facilitate resident well-being and education. With regard to duty hours, residents and fellows should experience: (1) A reasonable work schedule that is in compliance with duty-hour requirements set forth by the ACGME or other relevant accrediting body; and (2) At-home call that is not so frequent or demanding such that rest periods are significantly diminished or that duty-hour requirements are effectively circumvented. G. Due process in cases of allegations of misconduct or poor performance. With regard to the complaints and appeals process, residents and fellows should have the opportunity to defend themselves against any allegations presented against them by a patient, health professional, or training program in accordance with the due process guidelines established by the AMA. H. Access to and protection by institutional and accreditation authorities when reporting violations. With regard to reporting violations to the ACGME, residents and fellows should: (1) Be informed by their program at the beginning of their training and again at each semi-annual review of the resources and processes available within the residency program...
for addressing resident concerns or complaints, including the program director, Residency Training Committee, and the designated institutional official; (2) Be able to file a formal complaint with the ACGME to address program violations of residency training requirements without fear of recrimination and with the guarantee of due process; and (3) Have the opportunity to address their concerns about the training program through confidential channels, including the ACGME concern process and/or the annual ACGME Resident Survey.


Policy H-310.979, “Resident Physician Working Hours and Supervision”
(1) Our AMA supports the following principles regarding the supervision of residents and the avoidance of the harmful effects of excessive fatigue and stress: (a) Exemplary patient care is a vital component for any program of graduate medical education. Graduate medical education enhances the quality of patient care in the institution sponsoring an accredited residency program. Graduate medical education must never compromise the quality of patient care. (b) Institutions sponsoring residency programs and the director of each program must assure the highest quality of care for patients and the attainment of the program's educational objectives for the residents. (c) Institutional commitment to graduate medical education must be evidenced by compliance with Section III.B.4 of the ACGME Institutional Requirements, effective July 1, 2007: The sponsoring institution's GME Committee must [m]onitor programs' supervision of residents and ensure that supervision is consistent with: (i) Provision of safe and effective patient care; (ii) Educational needs of residents; (iii) Progressive responsibility appropriate to residents' level of education, competence, and experience; and (iv) Other applicable Common and specialty/subspecialty specific Program Requirements. (d) The program director must be responsible for the evaluation of the progress of each resident and for the level of responsibility for the care of patients that may be safely delegated to the resident. (e) Each patient's attending physician must decide, within guidelines established by the program director, the extent to which responsibility may be delegated to the resident, and the appropriate degree of supervision of the resident's participation in the care of the patient. The attending physician, or designate, must be available to the resident for consultation at all times. (f) The program director, in cooperation with the institution, is responsible for maintaining work schedules for each resident based on the intensity and variability of assignments in conformity with Residency Review Committee (RRC) recommendations, and in compliance with the ACGME duty hour standards. (g) The program director, with institutional support, must assure for each resident effective counseling as stated in Section II.D.4.k of the Institutional requirements: "Counseling services: The Sponsoring Institution should facilitate residents' access to confidential counseling, medical, and psychological support services." (h) As stated in the ACGME Institutional Requirements (II.F.2.a-c), "The Sponsoring Institution must provide services and develop health care delivery systems to minimize residents' work that is extraneous to their GME programs' educational goals and objectives." These include patient support services, laboratory/pathology/radiology services, and medical records. (i) Is neither feasible nor desirable to develop universally applicable and precise requirements for supervision of residents. As stated in the ACGME Common Program Requirements (VI.B) "the program must ensure that qualified faculty provide appropriate supervision of residents in patient care activities." (j) Individual resident compensation and benefits must not be compromised or decreased as a result of these recommended changes in the graduate medical education system. (2) These problems should be addressed within the present system of graduate medical education, without regulation by agencies of government.


Our American Medical Association will actively participate in ongoing efforts to monitor the impact of resident duty hour limitations to ensure that patient safety and physician well-being are not jeopardized by excessive demands on post-residency physicians.

Res. 314, A-03 Reaffirmation A-12