AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 204

(I-19)

Introduced by New York

Subject: AMA Position on Payment Provisions in Health Insurance Policies

Referred to: Reference Committee B

(, Chair)

Whereas, Certain health insurance policies require payments be sent to patients rather than physicians; and

2 3 4

Whereas, These policies occur primarily in out-of-network care settings, making it more difficult for the physician to collect payment for service rendered to the patient; and

5 6 7

Whereas, Health insurance companies are more frequently inserting provisions into their plan documents that prevent a patient from assigning their benefits to their doctor; and

8 9 10

Whereas, Such 'anti-assignment' provisions significantly harm both doctor and patient, are fundamentally unfair and have benefit only for the insurance company; therefore be it

11 12 13

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association seek legislation to ban anti-assignment provisions in health insurance plans (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

14 15

- RESOLVED, That our AMA support legislation requiring health insurers to issue payment directly to the physician when the patient or patient representative signs an agreement which
- permits payment directly to the physician. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: not yet determined.

Received: 09/19/19

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Health Plan Payment of Patient Cost-Sharing D-180.979

Our AMA will: (1) support the development of sophisticated information technology systems to help enable physicians and patients to better understand financial obligations; (2) encourage states and other stakeholders to monitor the growth of high deductible health plans and other forms of cost-sharing in health plans to assess the impact of such plans on access to care, health outcomes, medical debt, and provider practice sustainability; (3) advocate for the inclusion of health insurance contract provisions that permit network physicians to collect patient cost-sharing financial obligations (eg, deductibles, co-payments, and co-insurance) at the time of service; and (4) monitor programs wherein health plans and insurers bear the responsibility of collecting patient co-payments and deductibles.

CMS Rep. 09, A-19;

Resolution: 204(I-19)

Page 2 of 2

Requiring Third Party Reimbursement Methodology be Published for Physicians H-185,975

Our AMA: (1) urges all third party pavers and self-insured plans to publish their payment policies, rules, and fee schedules; (2) pursues all appropriate means to make publication of payment policies and fee schedules a requirement for third party payers and self-insured plans; (3) will develop model state and federal legislation that would require that all third party payers and self-insured plans publish all payment schedule updates, and changes at least 60 days before such changes in payment schedules are enacted, and that all participating physicians be notified of such changes at least 60 days before changes in payment schedules are enacted; (4) seeks legislation that would mandate that insurers make available their complete payment schedules, coding policies and utilization review protocols to physicians prior to signing a contract and at least 60 days prior to any changes being made in these policies; (5) works with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, develop model state legislation, as well developing national legislation affecting those entities that are subject to ERISA rules; and explore the possibility of adding payer publication of payment policies and fee schedules to the Patient Protection Act; and (6) supports the following requirements: (a) that all payers make available a copy of the executed contract to physicians within three business days of the request; (b) that all health plan EOBs contain documentation regarding the precise contract used for determining the reimbursement rate; (c) that once a year, all contracts must be made available for physician review at no cost; (d) that no contract may be changed without the physician's prior written authorization; and (e) that when a contract is terminated pursuant to the terms of the contract, the contract may not be used by any other payer. Sub. Res. 805, I-95; Appended: Res. 117, A-98; Reaffirmation A-99; Appended: Res. 219, and Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 6, A-00; Reaffirmation I-01; Reaffirmed and Appended: Res. 704, A-03; Reaffirmation I-04; Reaffirmation A-08; Reaffirmation I-08; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 3, I-09; Reaffirmation A-14

Update on HSAs, HRAs, and Other Consumer-Driven Health Care Plans H-165.849

- 1. Our AMA opposes health plan requirements that require physicians to bill patients for out-of-pocket payments and do not allow physicians to collect these payments in a more efficient manner, such as collecting at point-of-service, establishing systems of electronic transfers from a patient's account, or offering cash discounts for expedited payment, particularly for patients enrolled in health savings accounts (HSAs), health reimbursement arrangements (HRAs), and other consumer-directed health care plans.
- 2. Our AMA will engage in a dialogue with health plan representatives (e.g., America's Health Insurance Plans, Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association) about the increasing difficulty faced by physician practices in collecting co-payments and deductibles from patients enrolled in high-deductible health plans.

CMS Rep. 3, I-05; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 1, A-15; Appended: BOT Action in response to referred for decision Res. 805, I-16; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 09, A-19;