Whereas, The Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) is soliciting suggestions for improving the current Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) in the Quality Payment Program (QPP) to reduce administrative burdens as part of their “Patients Over Paperwork” Initiative; and

Whereas, Physicians are asked to participate in Certification/Maintenance of Certification by the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) including the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM); and

Whereas, The CMS-stated goal of MIPS is to improve quality of care and the MOC program goals are to maintain and improve quality of care with emphasis on knowledge base, and

Whereas, Both MIPS and MOC take a significant amount of time away from patient care, and have increased the administrative burden and stress on the practicing physician; and

Whereas, Our AMA, the state medical associations, and the national specialty societies all agree on the importance of reducing the hassle factor for physicians; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association recommend to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and physician certifying boards, such as the American Board of Medical Specialties, that maintenance of certification (MOC) participation count toward satisfying the quality category of the Merit-Based Incentive Payment Program (MIPS) (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association also recommend that successful reporting in the quality category of the Merit-Based Incentive Payment Program (MIPS) count toward satisfying the practice performance assessment section of a certifying board’s MOC requirements) (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA study MOC and Medicare MIPS reciprocity and work with the state and national specialty societies to develop a plan to reduce quality measure duplication and administrative burdens in both the MIPS and MOC programs. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Not yet determined

Received: 04/29/19
RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Maintenance of Certification and Osteopathic Continuous Certification D-275.954

Our AMA will:
1. Continue to monitor the evolution of Maintenance of Certification (MOC) and Osteopathic Continuous Certification (OCC), continue its active engagement in discussions regarding their implementation, encourage specialty boards to investigate and/or establish alternative approaches for MOC, and prepare a yearly report to the House of Delegates regarding the MOC and OCC process.
2. Continue to review, through its Council on Medical Education, published literature and emerging data as part of the Council's ongoing efforts to critically review MOC and OCC issues.
3. Continue to monitor the progress by the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) and its member boards on implementation of MOC, and encourage the ABMS to report its research findings on the issues surrounding certification and MOC on a periodic basis.
4. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to continue to explore other ways to measure the ability of physicians to access and apply knowledge to care for patients, and to continue to examine the evidence supporting the value of specialty board certification and MOC.
5. Work with the ABMS to streamline and improve the Cognitive Expertise (Part III) component of MOC, including the exploration of alternative formats, in ways that effectively evaluate acquisition of new knowledge while reducing or eliminating the burden of a high-stakes examination.
6. Work with interested parties to ensure that MOC uses more than one pathway to assess accurately the competence of practicing physicians, to monitor for exam relevance and to ensure that MOC does not lead to unintended economic hardship such as hospital de-credentialing of practicing physicians.
7. Recommend that the ABMS not introduce additional assessment modalities that have not been validated to show improvement in physician performance and/or patient safety.
8. Work with the ABMS to eliminate practice performance assessment modules, as currently written, from MOC requirements.
9. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that all ABMS member boards provide full transparency related to the costs of preparing, administering, scoring and reporting MOC and certifying examinations.
10. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that MOC and certifying examinations do not result in substantial financial gain to ABMS member boards, and advocate that the ABMS develop fiduciary standards for its member boards that are consistent with this principle.
11. Work with the ABMS to lessen the burden of MOC on physicians with multiple board certifications, particularly to ensure that MOC is specifically relevant to the physician's current practice.
12. Work with key stakeholders to (a) support ongoing ABMS member board efforts to allow multiple and diverse physician educational and quality improvement activities to qualify for MOC; (b) support ABMS member board activities in facilitating the use of MOC quality improvement activities to count for other accountability requirements or programs, such as pay for quality/performance or PQRS reimbursement; (c) encourage ABMS member boards to enhance the consistency of quality improvement programs across all boards; and (d) work with specialty societies and ABMS member boards to develop tools and services that help physicians meet MOC requirements.
13. Work with the ABMS and its member boards to collect data on why physicians choose to maintain or discontinue their board certification.
14. Work with the ABMS to study whether MOC is an important factor in a physician's decision to retire and to determine its impact on the US physician workforce.
15. Encourage the ABMS to use data from MOC to track whether physicians are maintaining certification and share this data with the AMA.
16. Encourage AMA members to be proactive in shaping MOC and OCC by seeking leadership positions on the ABMS member boards, American Osteopathic Association (AOA) specialty certifying boards, and MOC Committees.
17. Continue to monitor the actions of professional societies regarding recommendations for modification of MOC.
18. Encourage medical specialty societies' leadership to work with the ABMS, and its member boards, to identify those specialty organizations that have developed an appropriate and relevant MOC process for its members.
19. Continue to work with the ABMS to ensure that physicians are clearly informed of the MOC requirements for their specific board and the timelines for accomplishing those requirements.
20. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to develop a system to actively alert physicians of the due dates of the multi-stage requirements of continuous professional development and performance in practice, thereby assisting them with maintaining their board certification.
21. Recommend to the ABMS that all physician members of those boards governing the MOC process be required to participate in MOC.
22. Continue to participate in the National Alliance for Physician Competence forums.
23. Encourage the PCPI Foundation, the ABMS, and the Council of Medical Specialty Societies to work together toward utilizing Consortium performance measures in Part IV of MOC.
24. Continue to assist physicians in practice performance improvement.
25. Encourage all specialty societies to grant certified CME credit for activities that they offer to fulfill requirements of their respective specialty board's MOC and associated processes.
26. Support the American College of Physicians as well as other professional societies in their efforts to work with the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) to improve the MOC program.
27. Oppose those maintenance of certification programs administered by the specialty boards of the ABMS, or of any other similar physician certifying organization, which do not appropriately adhere to the principles codified as AMA Policy on Maintenance of Certification.
28. Ask the ABMS to encourage its member boards to review their maintenance of certification policies regarding the requirements for maintaining underlying primary or initial specialty board certification in addition to subspecialty board certification, if they have not yet done so, to allow physicians the option to focus on maintenance of certification activities relevant to their practice.
29. Call for the immediate end of any mandatory, secured recertifying examination by the ABMS or other certifying organizations as part of the recertification process for all those specialties that still require a secure, high-stakes recertification examination.
30. Support a recertification process based on high quality, appropriate Continuing Medical Education (CME) material directed by the AMA recognized specialty societies covering the physician's practice area, in cooperation with other willing stakeholders, that would be completed on a regular basis as determined by the individual medical specialty, to ensure lifelong learning.
31. Continue to work with the ABMS to encourage the development by and the sharing between specialty boards of alternative ways to assess medical knowledge other than by a secure high stakes exam.
32. Continue to support the requirement of CME and ongoing, quality assessments of physicians, where such CME is proven to be cost-effective and shown by evidence to improve quality of care for patients.
33. Through legislative, regulatory, or collaborative efforts, will work with interested state medical societies and other interested parties by creating model state legislation and model medical staff bylaws while advocating that Maintenance of Certification not be a requirement for: (a) medical staff membership, privileging, credentialing, or recredentialing; (b) insurance panel participation; or (c) state medical licensure.
34. Increase its efforts to work with the insurance industry to ensure that maintenance of certification does not become a requirement for insurance panel participation.
35. Advocate that physicians who participate in programs related to quality improvement and/or patient safety receive credit for MOC Part IV.
36. Continue to work with the medical societies and the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member boards that have not yet moved to a process to improve the Part III secure, high-stakes examination to encourage them to do so.
37. Through its Council on Medical Education, continue to be actively engaged in following the work of the ABMS Continuing Board Certification: Vision for the Future Commission.
38. (a) Submit commentary to the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) Continuing Board Certification: Vision for the Future initiative, asking that junior diplomates be given equal opportunity to serve on ABMS and its member boards; and (b) work with the ABMS and member boards to encourage the inclusion of younger physicians on the ABMS and its member boards.
39. Continue studying the certifying bodies that compete with the American Board of Medical Specialties and provide an update in the Council on Medical Education's annual report on maintenance of certification at the 2019 Annual Meeting.

MIPS and MACRA Exemption H-390.838
Our AMA will advocate for an exemption from the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) and Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA) for small practices.

Reducing MIPS Reporting Burden D-395.999
Our AMA will work with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to advocate for improvements to Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) that have significant input from practicing physicians and reduce regulatory and paperwork burdens on physicians. In the interim, our AMA will work with CMS to shorten the yearly MIPS data reporting period from one-year to a minimum of 90-days (of the physicians choosing) within the calendar year.