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Physicians’ motivations and requirements for adopting digital clinical tools
1

Introduction: Digital Health Tools

What **attracts** physicians to digital tools?  

What are their **requirements** for adoption?
Key findings

1. Where is the digital divide?
Most US physicians are using a few digital tools today and expect to use more in the near future. Heavier users tend to be PCPs and physicians in large and complex practices. Age is less of a factor than practice size and setting.

2. What’s the appeal of digital tools?
Physicians want digital healthcare tools to **do what they do better**
- Improve practice efficiency
- Increase patient safety
- Improve diagnostic ability
- Reduce burnout
- Improve physician patient relationship

3. What do physicians require for adoption?
Physicians require digital tools to fit within their **existing systems** and practices
- Coverage for liability
- Data privacy is assured by experts
- Linked to EMR
- Billing/reimbursement

4. How do they want to be involved?
Whether employees or owners physicians want to be **part of the decision making** but they look to others as well
- IT experts for technical issues such as data safety
- Practice leaders for buying decisions
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Study background
Digital Health Tools: What attracts physicians? What are their requirements for adoption?

Objective

Interest in digital healthcare tools is high among developers, regulators, insurers as well as some patients and physicians. However, given the challenges of implementing electronic patient records there is a need for rigorous understanding of physicians’ motivations and their requirements for successful integrating these technologies into their practices.

Action

The AMA will use this study as it develops principles and best practices to

- Support its advocacy on behalf of physicians
- Affect the trajectory of the digital health marketplace
- Connect the voice of the physician to new technologies being developed
Study methodology

- The AMA contracted with TNS, the largest custom research company, to study US physicians’ enthusiasm, needs and requirements for digital tools in general and seven tools in particular.
- The study and analysis was conducted under the direction of Lynne Thomson, PhD.
- Working in concert with the AMA TNS developed and administered a 15 minute online survey.

TNS used WebMD to recruit a sample of 1,300 practicing US physicians. Physicians were incentivized: $45/PCP, $55/Specialist.

Requirements for participation:
- Age 28-65
- Practicing physicians including those focused on research, academia or public health
- Full-owner, part-owner or employee of a practice (not an independent contractor)
- Provide a minimum of 20 hours of direct patient care each week.

- Stakeholder review and market scan completed.
- Literature review.
- Eight thought-leader interviews.
- Qualitative pre test of questionnaire.
- Quantitative fieldwork conducted July 7-18, 2016.

Final sample, physician Segments & Sub-groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Physicians</th>
<th>PCPs</th>
<th>Specialists</th>
<th>Age &lt;40</th>
<th>Age 41-50</th>
<th>Age 51+</th>
<th>Solo Practice</th>
<th>Group Practice</th>
<th>Other Practice</th>
<th>AMA Members</th>
<th>Non-Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=1300</td>
<td>N=650</td>
<td>N=650</td>
<td>N=289</td>
<td>N=449</td>
<td>N=562</td>
<td>N=196</td>
<td>N=879</td>
<td>N=225</td>
<td>N=359</td>
<td>N=941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survey instrument

Definitions

Digital healthcare: Digital health encompasses a broad scope of tools that engage patients for clinical purposes; collect, organize, interpret and use clinical data; and manage outcomes and other measures of care quality. This includes, but is not limited to, digital solutions involving telemedicine and telehealth, mobile health (mHealth), wearables (e.g., Fitbit), remote monitoring, apps, and others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7</th>
<th>Specific tools</th>
<th>Remote monitoring for efficiency</th>
<th>Remote monitoring and management for improved care</th>
<th>Clinical decision support</th>
<th>Patient engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tele-visits/ virtual visits</td>
<td>Point of care/workflow enhancement</td>
<td>Consumer access to clinical data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EHR app store: Imagine that you could improve or extend the features in your EHR by purchasing apps from an app store that would securely integrate into the EHR workflow. This would be a special app store just for clinicians, not an existing store (i.e., Google Play, iTunes). The apps would add capabilities like improved data visualization, decision support, improved documentation in the patient record and integration with other tools and services.

Questions

Overall Involvement in Digital Health
- Impact of on ability to provide care
- Overall motivators/attractants
- Overall functional requirements

Specific digital tools
- Familiarity
- Current use
- Relevance for practice
- Enthusiasm
- Timeline for incorporating into practice
- Ideal level of involvement in Digital Health, in general

Individual tool deep dives
(Ask for up to two relevant solutions, not currently being used)
- Overall motivators/attractants towards solution
- Rank of top 3 motivators/attractants
- Overall functional requirements of solution adoption
- Rank of top 3 functional requirements
- Level of disruption caused by solution
- Ideal level of involvement with decision to incorporate solutions

EHR app store evaluation
- Current use of EHR
- Interest in purchasing from app store (definition above)
- Decision maker for app store purchases
- Importance of app selection criteria

Physician profile
- Age, gender, state, specialty, practice type, practice ownership, years in practice, hours of patient care, professional organization membership
- Enthusiasm for tech in professional setting
- Influence on tech decision making
Foundation concepts to understand tech adoption

Disruptive innovation

Disruptions that overturn markets are a hot topic across business and technology; however, rigorous study of tech adoption shows that fitting into current goals and processes is critical to adoption.

New technologies are adopted rapidly – or at all – to the extent that they
- Solve a problem users readily recognize
- Fit within existing physical environments and processes
- Leverage analogs to telegraph what it does and how I work it

Once a new technology is firmly in place it can facilitate disruption, but the promise of disruption is unlikely to lead to adoption.

Innovation and Diffusion by Bronwyn H Hal, National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 10212

Crossing the chasm

Tech adoption tends to follow a normal curve. Many innovations start strong but stall at 15% penetration; they never cross to the mainstream market.

Innovations with penetration > 15% have significant potential to become mainstream. Those ≤15% are still works in progress.

Crossing the Chasm, Geoffrey Moore, 1991
Physicians’ perceptions of digital health

- What’s attractive about them
- What do physicians require for adoption
- How do they want to be involved in decisions on adoption and deployment
Most physicians see potential for digital tools to improve patient care

“How much of an advantage do digital health solutions give to your ability to care for your patients?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty</th>
<th>Physician Age</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>AMA Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCPs (50%)</td>
<td>&lt;40 (22%)</td>
<td>41-50 (35%)</td>
<td>51+ (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialists (50%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee (50%)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sole owner (25%)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part owner (25%)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Member (72%)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q16. Considering the overall impact, how much of an advantage do digital health solutions give to your ability to care for your patients?*

Base: Total Physicians (n=1300), PCPs (n=650), Specialists (n=650), Age <40 (n=289), Age 41-50 (n=449), Age 51+ (n=562), Solo Practice (n=196), Group Practice (n=879), Other Practice (n=225), AMA Member (n=359), Non-Member (n=941) *Statistically significantly difference at 95% confidence interval
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Physicians are attracted to digital health tools they believe will improve current practices

Younger and female physicians are also optimistic digital tools will improve practice for physicians and patients

### What Attracts Physicians to Digital Health Tools?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Not Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improves work efficiency</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases patient safety</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves diagnostic ability</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helps to reduce stress and burn-out</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves the patient-physician relationship</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases patient adherence</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases patient convenience</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves resource allocation for staff</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allows me to see more patients</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allows me to provide care to my patients remotely</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides a new stream of revenue</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of the latest technologies</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiates my practice from others</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients demand it</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The broad appeal of digital tools is improving efficiency, patient safety and diagnostic ability

Younger and female physicians also look to tools to help reduce burn-out, improve the patient-physician relationship and increased adherence and convenience for patients

Physicians are less interested in doing something different – seeing more patients or uncovering a new revenue stream

Q17. When thinking about incorporating digital health solutions into your practice, how important would each factor be?
Base: Total Physicians (n=1300)
Physicians need tools to fit within current systems
Look to tech experts to insure privacy, security

What Requirements Must be Met by Digital Health Tools?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>5 - Very Important</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3, 2, 1 - Not Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is covered by my standard malpractice insurance</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data privacy/security is assured by my EHR vendor</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is well integrated with my EHR</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data privacy is assured by my own practice/hospital</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can be reimbursed for time spent using it</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is supported by my EHR vendor</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is proven to be as good or superior to traditional care</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intuitive; requires no special training</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is the standard of care</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its safety and efficacy is validated by the FDA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its safety and efficacy have been demonstrated in peer reviewed publications</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The leaders within my practice/area of specialty recommend it</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other physicians I know are using it</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Malpractice coverage, data privacy and workflow integration are essential
Physicians should be able to be reimbursed for time spent
Tools should be easy to use and as effective as current methods of care.

Q18: How important are each of the attributes below in facilitating the adoption of digital health solutions into your practice?
Base: Total Physicians (n=1300)
Physicians want to be part of the decision making process, but only owners expect to be responsible

“How involved would you want to be in the adoption of digital health solutions into your practice?”

Q40. Ideally, how involved would you want to be in the adoption of digital health solutions into your practice?

Base: Total Physicians (n=1300), PCPs (n=650), Specialists (n=650), Solo owner (n=329), Part owner (n=319), Employee (n=652)

*Statistically significantly different at 95% confidence interval
Physicians want extended capabilities in their EHRs but look to practice leaders to make buying decisions

Apps that extend your EHR system’s capabilities and are securely integrated into the EHR workflow

Likelihood for practice to buy?

Who will make the buying decision?

Q33. How likely are you or your practice to purchase apps that extend your EHR system's capabilities and are securely integrated into the EHR workflow?

Q34. If there were an app store for your EHR system...?

Base: Use EHR: Total Physicians (n=1192), PCPs (n=601), Specialists (n=591), Solo owner (n=329), Part owner (n=319), Employee (n=652)

*Statistically significantly difference at 95% confidence interval
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Physicians’ perceptions of seven digital health tools

- Current use and enthusiasm for specific tools
- Profile of digital users
- Plans for adoption for tools not yet utilized
Nearly half of all physicians are enthusiastic about new digital solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Works in Progress/Early Adopters</th>
<th>Established Solutions/Early Majority</th>
<th>Mainstream Innovation/Late Majority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tele-visits/virtual visits</td>
<td>Clinical decision support</td>
<td>Point of care/workflow enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote monitor for efficiency</td>
<td>Patient engagement</td>
<td>Consumer access to clinical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote monitor &amp; mgmt for improved care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q20. Which, if any, of these do you currently incorporate into your practice?
Q22. Which, if any, of the solutions below are you enthusiastic about?
Base: Total Physicians (n=1300)
*Descriptions of digital solutions can be found on Slide 9
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### Digital Health

---

Younger physicians are slightly more likely to use more digital tools. Tenure is a small predictor of use but not enthusiasm.

#### Practice Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Using Now Avg=1.9</th>
<th>Enthusiastic to use Avg=3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solo practice</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single specialty</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-specialty</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med School/Fac</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Years in Practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Using Now Avg=1.9</th>
<th>Enthusiastic to use Avg=3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-27</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28+</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Tech Enthusiasm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Using Now Avg=1.9</th>
<th>Enthusiastic to use Avg=3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skeptical/Heista</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eager/Early</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Using Now Avg=1.9</th>
<th>Enthusiastic to use Avg=3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Physician Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Using Now Avg=1.9</th>
<th>Enthusiastic to use Avg=3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28-40</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-65</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Technology Enthusiasm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Using Now Avg=1.9</th>
<th>Enthusiastic to use Avg=3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skeptical/Heista</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eager/Early</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Member Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Setting</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Using Now Avg=1.9</th>
<th>Enthusiastic to use Avg=3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMA member</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-member</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Q20. Which, if any, of these do you currently incorporate into your practice?
Q22. Which, if any, of the solutions below are you enthusiastic about?

*Base: Total Physicians (n=1300)

*Hospital includes Ambulatory Surgery Centers and Urgent Care
Physicians anticipate rapid adoption, minimal disruption from digital tools

### Physicians’ Anticipation of Adoption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Works in Progress/Early Adopters</th>
<th>Established Solutions/Early Majority</th>
<th>Mainstream Innovation/Late Majority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tele-visits/virtual visits</td>
<td>Remote monitoring for efficiency</td>
<td>Point of care/workflow enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote monitoring for</td>
<td>Remote monitoring for improved care</td>
<td>Consumer access to clinical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improved care</td>
<td>Clinical decision support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clinical support</td>
<td>Patient Engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthcare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Within the Year

- **Beyond a Year**
  - Tele-visits/virtual visits: 11%
  - Remote monitoring for efficiency: 15%
  - Remote monitoring for improved care: 15%
  - Clinical decision support: 9%
  - Patient Engagement: 10%
  - Point of care/workflow enhancement: 14%
  - Consumer access to clinical data: 4%

#### Not using, not enthusiastic to use

- **Within the Year**
  - Tele-visits/virtual visits: 53%
  - Remote monitoring for efficiency: 53%
  - Remote monitoring for improved care: 53%
  - Clinical decision support: 46%
  - Patient Engagement: 44%
  - Point of care/workflow enhancement: 37%
  - Consumer access to clinical data: 36%

#### Among those not yet using but classifying the tool as relevant to their practices

- **Some disruption, not discouraging**
  - Tele-visits/virtual visits: 50%
  - Remote monitoring for efficiency: 38%
  - Remote monitoring for improved care: 51%
  - Clinical decision support: 52%
  - Patient Engagement: 53%
  - Point of care/workflow enhancement: 53%
  - Consumer access to clinical data: 50%

- **No disruption**
  - Tele-visits/virtual visits: 29%
  - Remote monitoring for efficiency: 54%
  - Remote monitoring for improved care: 34%
  - Clinical decision support: 34%
  - Patient Engagement: 31%
  - Point of care/workflow enhancement: 35%
  - Consumer access to clinical data: 35%

- **Substantial disruption**
  - Tele-visits/virtual visits: 21%
  - Remote monitoring for efficiency: 8%
  - Remote monitoring for improved care: 15%
  - Clinical decision support: 14%
  - Patient Engagement: 16%
  - Point of care/workflow enhancement: 12%
  - Consumer access to clinical data: 15%

Q23. When would you expect to start incorporating this solution into your own practice?
Base: Total Physicians
Q30. This digital health solution would...?
Base: Total Physicians, Evaluated Solution: Each solution (n=351)
Elements that attract physicians to digital health overall are consistent across all tools:

- Improves work efficiency
- Increases patient safety
- Improves diagnostic ability
- Increases patient adherence
- Improves patient-physician relationship
- Increases patient convenience
- Improves resource allocation for staff
- Allows me to provide care remotely
- Helps reduce stress, burn-out
- Allows me to see more patients
- Differentiates my practice
  - Patients demand it
- Demonstrates awareness of technologies

Digital Health Study
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Likewise, there is consistency in what would be required to adopt specific digital health tools.

- Safety/efficacy demonstrated in peer reviewed pub.
- It is the standard of care
- Safety/efficacy validated by FDA
- Leaders recommend it
- Other physicians are using
- Well integrated with EHR
- As good as traditional care
- Can be reimbursed for time spent using
- Requires no special training
- Covered by standard malpractice
- Data security assured by EHR vendor
- Supported by EHR vendor
- Data privacy assured by own practice

Niche Need

Requirement

Low Priority

Secondary Driver

Ranked 1st/2nd/3rd

Importance (T2B)
Thank you
Appendix
Key definitions in the study

**Digital tools in general**
Digital health encompasses a broad scope of tools that engage patients for clinical purposes; collect, organize, interpret and use clinical data; and manage outcomes and other measures of care quality. This includes, but is not limited to, digital solutions involving telemedicine and telehealth, mobile health (mHealth), wearables (e.g., Fitbit), remote monitoring, apps, and others.

**Seven specific tools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote monitoring for efficiency</td>
<td>Smart versions of common clinical devices such as thermometers, blood pressure cuffs, and scales that automatically record readings in the patient record so you do not have to type it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote monitoring and management for improved care</td>
<td>Apps and devices for use by chronic disease patients for daily measurement of vital signs such as weight, blood pressure, blood glucose, etc. Readings are visible to patients and transmitted to the physician’s office. Alerts are generated as appropriate for missing or out of range readings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical decision support</td>
<td>Clinical decision support - Modules used in conjunction with the EHR or apps that integrate with the EHR that highlight potentially significant changes in patient data (e.g., gain or loss of weight, change in blood chemistry)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient engagement</td>
<td>Solutions to promote patient wellness and active participation in their care for chronic diseases (e.g., adherence to treatment regimens)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tele-visits/virtual visits</td>
<td>An audio/video connection used to see patients remotely (i.e., simple acute illness, adjusting therapy, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point of care/workflow enhancement</td>
<td>Communication and sharing of electronic clinical data to consult with specialists, make referrals and/or transitions of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer access to clinical data</td>
<td>Secure access allowing patients to view clinical information such as routine lab results, receive appointment reminders and treatment prompts, and to ask for prescription refills, appointments and to speak with their physician</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EHR app store**
Imagine that you could improve or extend the features in your EHR by purchasing apps from an app store that would securely integrate into the EHR workflow. This would be a special app store just for clinicians, not an existing store (i.e., Google Play, iTunes). The apps would add capabilities like improved data visualization, decision support, improved documentation in the patient record and integration with other tools and services.
Details by individual tools
Tele-visits / Virtual Visits
An audio/video connection used to see patients remotely (i.e., simple acute illness, adjusting therapy, etc.)

This tool has not yet cross the chasm of adoption and enthusiasm is not universal. It could improve work efficiency and patient convenience and safety, but would have to be covered by standard liability systems and also allow for easy reimbursement.

Evaluation among Total Physicians

Drivers among Physicians where Tool is Relevant, but Not Yet Used

Most Attractive Elements
Above average importance & ranking
1. Increases patient convenience
2. Improves work efficiency
3. Allows me to provide care remotely
4. Improves patient-doc relationship
5. Increases patient safety
6. Provides a new stream of revenue

Key Functional Requirements
Above average importance & ranking
1. Covered by standard malpractice
2. Can be reimbursed for time spent
3. Requires no special training
4. Well integrated with EHR
5. As good as traditional care

Disruption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Disruption</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Great deal of disruption, would consider not using</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Great deal of disruption, but benefits outweigh inconvenience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Some disruption, but not discouraging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>No disruption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: Evaluated Solution: Total Physicians (n=351)
Remote Monitoring for Efficiency

Smart versions of common clinical devices such as thermometers, blood pressure cuffs, and scales that automatically record readings in the patient record so you do not have to type it.

This tool has not yet cross the chasm of adoption but there is some enthusiasm, driven by PCPs. It would need to be proven to improve efficiency and diagnostic ability while being well integrated into current data systems.

Evaluation among Total Physicians

Drivers among Physicians where Tool is Relevant, but Not Yet Used

**Most Attractive Elements**

- Improves work efficiency
- Improves diagnostic ability
- Increases patient safety
- Increases patient convenience
- Increases patient adherence
- Improves patient-doc relationship

**Key Functional Requirements**

- Well integrated with EHR
- Data security assured by EHR vendor
- As good as traditional care
- Requires no special training

**Disruption**

- Great deal of disruption, would consider not using
- Great deal of disruption, but benefits outweigh inconvenience
- Some disruption, but not discouraging
- No disruption

**Timeline of Adoption**

- Already using
- Immediately
- Next 6 months
- Next year
- Next 2-3 years
- Some other time

**Base:** Total Physicians, Excited About Solution: Remote Monitoring for Efficiency (n=591)
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Remote Monitoring & Management for Improved Care

Apps and devices for use by chronic disease patients for daily measurement of vital signs such as weight, blood pressure, blood glucose, etc. Readings are visible to patients and transmitted to the physician’s office. Alerts are generated as appropriate for missing or out of range readings.

This tool has not yet cross the chasm of adoption but there is some enthusiasm, driven by PCPs. Improved safety and adherence would motivate use, as long as it was easy to adopt and well integrated with current systems.

Evaluation among Total Physicians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty</th>
<th>Physician Age</th>
<th>Practice Type</th>
<th>AMA Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCPs (A)</td>
<td>&lt;40 (C)</td>
<td>Solo (F)</td>
<td>Member (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialists (B)</td>
<td>41-50 (D)</td>
<td>Group (G)</td>
<td>Non-Member (J)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51+ (E)</td>
<td>Other Type (H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drivers among Physicians where Tool is Relevant, but Not Yet Used

Most Attractive Elements
Above average importance & ranking
1. Increases patient safety
2. Increases patient adherence
3. Improves diagnostic ability
4. Improves work efficiency
5. Improves patient-doc relationship
6. Allows me to provide care remotely

Key Functional Requirements
Above average importance & ranking
1. Well integrated with EHR
2. Requires no special training
3. As good as traditional care
4. Safety demo’d in peer reviewed pub.

Timeline of Adoption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disruption</th>
<th>Already using</th>
<th>Immediately</th>
<th>Next 6 months</th>
<th>Next year</th>
<th>Next 2-3 years</th>
<th>Some other time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: Total Physicians, Excited About Solution: Remote Monitoring & Management for Improved Care (n=586)
Clinical Decision Support

Modules used in conjunction with the EHR or apps that integrate with the EHR that highlight potentially significant changes in patient data (e.g., gain or loss of weight, change in blood chemistry)

This tool is in the early stages of adoption and physicians are moderately enthusiastic. Attractive because it could increase patient safety and improve physicians’ current ways of working, the tool would have to work well with current data systems and be easy to use

Evaluation among Total Physicians

Drivers among Physicians where Tool is Relevant, but Not Yet Used

Most Attractive Elements
Above average importance & ranking

1. Increases patient safety
2. Improves diagnostic ability
3. Improves work efficiency
4. Increases patient adherence
5. Improves patient-doc relationship

Key Functional Requirements
Above average importance & ranking

1. Well integrated with EHR
2. Requires no special training
3. Covered by standard malpractice
4. As good as traditional care

Disruption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disruption Description</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great deal of disruption, would consider not using</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great deal of disruption, but benefits outweigh inconvenience</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some disruption, but not discouraging</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No disruption</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: Evaluated Solution: Total Physicians (n=351)
Patient Engagement

Solutions to promote patient wellness and active participation in their care for chronic diseases (e.g., adherence to treatment regimens)

This tool is in the early stages of adoption and physicians, particularly PCPs and those in Group practice, show some enthusiasm. Physicians would be motivated to use in order to increase patient safety and adherence as well as to improve current ways of working. The tool would have to work well with current data and liability systems and be easy to use.

Evaluation among Total Physicians

**Current State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty</th>
<th>Physician Age</th>
<th>Practice Type</th>
<th>AMA Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCPs (A)</td>
<td>&lt;40 (C)</td>
<td>Solo (F)</td>
<td>Member (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialists (B)</td>
<td>41-50 (D)</td>
<td>Group (G)</td>
<td>Non-Member (J)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51+ (E)</td>
<td>Other Type (H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Enthusiasm**

- 53 B
- 45
- 44
- 51 C
- 49
- 42
- 50 F
- 48
- 52
- 47

Base: Total Physicians (n=1300)

**Drivers among Physicians where Tool is Relevant, but Not Yet Used**

**Most Attractive Elements**

- Above average importance & ranking
  1. Increases patient adherence
  2. Increases patient safety
  3. Improves work efficiency
  4. Improves patient-doc relationship
  5. Improves diagnostic ability
  6. Increases patient convenience

**Key Functional Requirements**

- Above average importance & ranking
  1. Well integrated with EHR
  2. Covered by standard malpractice
  3. Requires no special training
  4. As good as traditional care
  5. Can be reimbursed for time spent

**Timeline of Adoption**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disruption</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>53</th>
<th>31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great deal of disruption, would consider not using</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great deal of disruption, but benefits outweigh inconvenience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some disruption, but not discouraging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No disruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: Total Physicians, Excited About Solution: Patient Engagement (n=632)

Digital Health Study
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Consumer Access to Clinical Data

Secure access allowing patients to view clinical information such as routine lab results, receive appointment reminders and treatment prompts, and to ask for prescription refills, appointments and to speak with their physician.

This tool has already moved into the later stages of adoption. Enthusiasm may be waning. Increases in patient safety and convenience, along with a more efficient workflow, attract physicians to this tool. Assurances of data security and liability coverage are necessary in order to encourage continued use.

Evaluation among Total Physicians

Drivers among Physicians where Tool is Relevant, but Not Yet Used

Most Attractive Elements
Above average importance & ranking

1. Increases patient safety
2. Increases patient convenience
3. Improves work efficiency
4. Improves patient-doc relationship
5. Increases patient adherence

Key Functional Requirements
Above average importance & ranking

1. Covered by standard malpractice
2. Data security assured by EHR vendor
3. Requires no special training
4. Well integrated with EHR
5. As good as traditional care
6. Can be reimbursed for time spent

Disruption

4 11 50 35
- Great deal of disruption, would consider not using
- Great deal of disruption, but benefits outweigh inconvenience
- Some disruption, but not discouraging
- No disruption

Timeline of Adoption

72 3 5 10 8 1
- Already using
- Immediately
- Next 6 months
- Next year
- Next 2-3 years
- Some other time

Base: Total Physicians, Excited About Solution: Consumer Access to Clinical Data (n=545)
Point-of-care / Workflow Enhancement

Communication and sharing of electronic clinical data to consult with specialists, make referrals and/or transitions of care

This tool has already moved into the later stages of adoption and enthusiasm remains high, particularly among PCPs. Attractive because it could increase patient safety and improve physicians’ current ways of working, the tool must work well with current data and liability systems in order to encourage continued use.

Evaluation among Total Physicians

Drivers among Physicians where Tool is Relevant, but Not Yet Used

Most Attractive Elements
Above average importance & ranking
1. Improves work efficiency
2. Increases patient safety
3. Improves diagnostic ability
4. Improves patient-doc relationship
5. Helps reduce stress, burn-out

Key Functional Requirements
Above average importance & ranking
1. Well integrated with EHR
2. Covered by standard malpractice
3. Requires no special training
4. As good as traditional care

Disruption

Base: Total Physicians, Excited About Solution: Point-of-care / Workflow Enhancement (n=694)