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This report by the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs (CEJA) was developed in response to Resolutions 922-I-09 and 928-I-09, which were both referred. Resolution 922-I-09, “Mandatory H1N1 Vaccine for Health Care Workers,” which was presented by the American Association of Public Health Physicians, asks our CEJA and the Council on Science and Public Health jointly study and issue guidance on mandatory H1N1 vaccination for health care workers. Resolution 928-I-09, “Mandatory Immunization of Health Care Workers Against Seasonal and 2009 H1N1 Influenza,” which was presented by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, asks our American Medical Association (AMA) to reaffirm its support for universal influenza vaccination of health care workers and support universal immunization of health care workers against seasonal and 2009 H1N1 influenza through mandatory vaccination programs except under certain defined circumstances. The resolution further asked the AMA to support policies that require health care workers who are not vaccinated to wear masks or be reassigned from direct patient care.

INFECTIOUS DISEASE & PATIENT WELFARE

Nosocomial infection is a major problem for patient safety.1 Such infections result in prolonged hospital stay, long-term disability, antimicrobial resistance, additional financial burden, high costs for patients and their families, and excess deaths.2 Influenza outbreaks in particular can have serious implications on patient morbidity and mortality. In the United States, an average season of influenza results in tens of thousands of deaths and as many as 200,000 hospitalizations due to influenza-related causes.2 The burden of nosocomial infection is increased in high-risk patients such as the elderly, infants and children, pregnant women, those admitted to ICUs, and people who are chronically ill or immunocompromised.3,4 Physicians and other health care workers play a role in both preventing and transmitting nosocomial infection.

Health care workers’ constant contact with patients and infective material puts them at risk of exposure to and possible transmission of disease, including vaccine-preventable disease.3–8 Health care workers are at no greater risk of infection than the general population for certain vaccine-preventable diseases (such as tetanus, diphtheria, pneumococcal disease). Some diseases (such as tuberculosis, hepatitis A, meningococcal disease, typhoid fever, vaccinia) put health care workers...
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at increased risk in certain circumstances (such as outbreaks or when worker has come in direct
close contact with disease). Still others (such as influenza, hepatitis B, measles, mumps, rubella, and
varicella) put health care workers at significant risk of acquiring and transmitting to their patient.5

For vaccine-preventable diseases, the most effective way to reduce transmission from health care
worker to patient is immunization.8 Immunizing health care workers has the double benefit of
directly protecting the health care worker and indirectly protecting the patients with whom they
come in contact.5,9 For example, studies continue to show that immunizing health care workers for
influenza reduces patient morbidity and mortality in both acute and long-term care settings.8-12

Immunization also creates herd immunity, protecting patient and health care workers who cannot
be vaccinated or for whom vaccine is unlikely to trigger a sufficient antibody response.9

Immunization helps to maintain the critical workforce during disease outbreaks, during which
health care workers are the first line of defense.9 In addition, by being vaccinated, physicians and
other health care workers set an example to their peers, patients, and the public concerning the
importance of immunization.9

Most health care facilities require workers to be vaccinated against varicella, measles, mumps, and
rubella.9 Health care workers are also expected to take part in comprehensive infection control
measures that reduce the risk of infectious disease transmission, including good hand hygiene and
respiratory control etiquette and the use of personal protective equipment.9

FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENTS OF IMMUNIZATION POLICIES

Despite documented benefits for patient safety and efforts by government agencies, regulatory
groups, and such professional societies as the AMA to promote influenza vaccination among health
care workers, immunization rates remain low—around 40%, 3,9,13 although there is evidence that
immunization rates were higher during the 2009-2010 influenza season.14 According to the Centers
for Disease Control (CDC), the ideal is “vaccination of 100% of employees who do not have
medical contraindications.”15

A range of options is available to any institution contemplating a vaccine policy, including
voluntary immunization, routine universal immunization that permits exemptions on medical or
religious or philosophical grounds, or requiring health care workers to be immunized except when
that is medically contraindicated. While the CDC acknowledges that policies that work best to
achieve this coverage may vary among facilities, studies have demonstrated that coordinated
campaigns of education and outreach to address concerns and vaccination can lead to higher rates
of immunization among health care workers.15

Thus educational programs that center on a message of patient safety can be effective in dispelling
myths—for example, that health care workers are not at risk of influenza or that the influenza
vaccine is unsafe or ineffective—and increase immunization rates. During the 2009-2010
influenza season, Veterans Health Administration health care facilities vaccinated 64% of
employees through the system-wide “Infection: Don’t Pass it On” campaign.16 Strong support
from senior medical staff and leaders at health care institutions is also associated with higher
acceptance of vaccination among health care workers,6,9,17 and convenient access to vaccines
provided at no cost has been shown to substantially improve vaccine coverage.5,17,18 At a
minimum, accredited health care institutions are required by Joint Commission standards to offer
influenza vaccination to staff.7,9,19
Though controversial, a highly effective approach to achieving high vaccination coverage among health care workers is a mandatory vaccination policy, exempting only those with a medical contraindication.\textsuperscript{9,14} The CDC estimates that in 2009, employer requirements or recommendations for vaccination were associated with an eightfold and fourfold greater likelihood of vaccination for 2009 H1N1, respectively.\textsuperscript{14} Hospitals and health care systems that have required vaccination of health care workers often have achieved coverage rates of over 90%.\textsuperscript{9}

Efforts to increase vaccination coverage among health care workers using mandatory vaccination policies are supported by various national accrediting and professional organizations, including the World Medical Association, American College of Physicians, Infectious Diseases Society of America, Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America, National Foundation for Infectious Diseases, National Patient Safety Foundation, and National Quality Forum.\textsuperscript{6,8,9,13,15,20-22} All of these organizations allow exemptions for a medical contraindication,\textsuperscript{6,8,9,13,15,20-22} while only some support exemptions for religious or philosophical objections.\textsuperscript{6,7,21}

Health care institutions and physician groups have begun to implement policies that require influenza vaccination as a condition of employment. For example, BJC Healthcare in St. Louis (BJC) made influenza vaccination a condition of employment prior to the 2008-2009 season—and provided vaccines for free at multiple locations.\textsuperscript{9,23} Those employees who were neither vaccinated nor exempted for medical or religious objections by a certain date were suspended.\textsuperscript{9,23} Those employees who were granted an exemption were encouraged to wear an isolation mask while providing patient care during the flu season.\textsuperscript{9,23} BJC implemented the condition as part of an aggressive patient safety initiative marketed through managers, educational materials, letters to employees, articles on the institution’s intranet site, and town hall meetings.\textsuperscript{9,23} As a result, BJC’s influenza vaccination rate greatly increased, to 98.4% from less than 80% the previous year.\textsuperscript{9,23}

Other institutions require immunization for influenza, but allow health care workers to opt out so long as they justify their intent to refrain from vaccination—often in writing—to the institution. Some institutions restrict the patient care activities of employees who have not been immunized for influenza. Some, like Johns Hopkins Health System, have implemented both policies. The health system requires all staff, students, volunteers, and personnel who have direct patient contact to receive the influenza vaccine or complete an online questionnaire identifying their reasons for declining vaccination.\textsuperscript{20} Vaccinated staff wear a yellow ID badge clip, while nonvaccinated staff must wear a mask when they come within three feet of patients.\textsuperscript{20}

Pursuant to their power to protect the public health, states have regulations that promote the vaccination of health care workers against influenza.\textsuperscript{24} The state’s power to mandate vaccinations in the interest of the public health has been established since 1905.\textsuperscript{26} Many states simply require hospitals to have a vaccination policy, some direct health care facilities to offer influenza vaccination to their employees, while still other states require that health care workers receive influenza vaccination or indicate a religious, medical, or philosophic reason for not being vaccinated.\textsuperscript{26} California, for example, requires employees of general acute care hospitals to be vaccinated annually against influenza or to sign a written declination explaining their refusal,\textsuperscript{26-28} while Maine requires designated health facilities to adopt a policy that recommends and offers annual immunization to health care personnel who provide direct care for residents of the facility.\textsuperscript{26} Alabama requires hospitals to establish vaccination requirements for employees that are consistent with current CDC and OSHA recommendations.\textsuperscript{26}
ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Confronting the ethical challenges posed by infectious disease requires physicians to strike a prudent balance among multiple interests and values. Patient welfare, respect for individual liberties and decision-making autonomy, and fair implementation must all play a role in strategies to prevent transmission of disease.

Primacy of patient interests is one of the cornerstones of medical ethics. As the preamble to the Principles of Medical Ethics notes, as members of the medical profession, physicians “must recognize responsibility to patients first and foremost....” It is also well established that physicians must not place their patients at undue risk of harm, including risk of infectious disease (E-9.13, Physicians and Infectious Diseases [AMA Policy Database]). Physicians’ ethical obligation to subordinate their personal interests to those of patients is even greater in times of health crises, such as epidemic or pandemic (E-9.067, Physician Obligation in Disaster Preparedness and Response).

Physicians also have well-recognized responsibilities to the community, including the ethical obligation to promote the health of the public (Preamble; Principle VII; E-2.25, The Use of Quarantine and Isolation as Public Health Interventions; E-9.067). Finally, physicians have a responsibility to protect their own health and well-being, grounded in their professional commitment to ensure adequate availability of care (Principle X; E-9.067).

These considerations support a professional ethical obligation on the part of physicians to take all reasonable actions to prevent the transmission of disease, including accepting immunization for vaccine-preventable diseases. A variety of factors influence the relative strength of that obligation, such as how readily a given disease is transmitted; the medical risk the disease represents for patients, professional colleagues, and the intimates of all parties; risk of occupational exposure; the safety and efficacy of available vaccine(s); appropriateness and effectiveness of immunization relative to alternative strategies for disease prevention; medical value of vaccination to the individual; and potential contraindications to vaccination for the individual physician or health care worker.

At the same time, physicians have a right to expect that their personal liberties and autonomy as decision makers will be respected and that they will be treated fairly. For example, the Code of Medical Ethics recognizes that—within certain limits—physicians may choose whom they will treat and in what environments they will practice medicine. (Principle VI; E-10.05, Potential Patients). Thus physicians should be able to expect that they will not be put at undue or unnecessary risk by being required to accept immunization that is medically contraindicated in their individual circumstances. They should also be able to expect that strongly held personal values will be respected when they decline in good faith to be vaccinated on religious or philosophical grounds.

But like the responsibility to accept immunization, physicians’ autonomy as individuals is not unlimited. Arguably, in entering the profession of medicine physicians accept certain constraints on their behavior and decisions as individuals in exchange for the privileges of professional status (E-9.067). For example, physicians are expected to accept some level of personal risk in providing care for patients (E-9.067; E-9.131, HIV-Infected Patients and Physicians). In the context of preventing the transmission of infectious disease, it is reasonable to require that physicians who decline to be vaccinated take other precautions to protect patients, such as wearing...
a mask or refraining from close patient contact. Such requirements carry particular weight in the context of highly infective diseases that carry the risk of becoming epidemic or pandemic or that pose significant medical risk to vulnerable populations with whom the physician comes in contact.

As respected professionals and leaders in health care institutions, physicians are in a position to be role models for the public, their patients, and their colleagues and fellow employees by setting the example of being immunized for vaccine-preventable diseases. Within their institutions, physician-leaders can also take responsibility for promoting immunization policies that are scientifically well grounded, balanced, and procedurally fair. When it has been determined that vaccination will be required absent medical contraindications or religious/philosophical objections, leaders of the medical staff must ensure that there is an appropriate process in place to review an individual physician’s justification for declining vaccination and to communicate the individual’s decision to colleagues. As we have seen, experience to date indicates that the programs that are most successful in promoting immunization among physicians and other health care workers combine vigorous efforts to educate staff and address concerns and possible misconceptions, strongly promote acceptance of immunization and make it easy for individuals to be vaccinated, and set clear expectations for how unvaccinated individuals will interact with patients. The most successful programs also set meaningful consequences for those who decline to be vaccinated and communicate them clearly.

As professionals committed to promoting the welfare of individual patients and the health of the public and to safeguarding their own and their colleagues’ well-being, physicians have an ethical responsibility to take appropriate measures to prevent the spread of infectious disease. In the context of vaccine-preventable diseases, this includes the obligation to accept immunization, absent contraindication, against highly transmissible diseases that pose significant medical risk to patients, the public, and fellow health care workers. They should expect that when the policies of health care institutions do not recognize refusals of immunization on religious or philosophical grounds, those policies will be transparent and will be communicated to physicians and other staff in advance.

RECOMMENDATION

The Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs recommends that the following be adopted in lieu of Resolution 922-I-09 and Resolution 928-I-09, and that the remainder of this report be filed:

As professionals committed to promoting the welfare of individual patients and the health of the public and to safeguarding their own and their colleagues’ well-being, physicians have an ethical responsibility to take appropriate measures to prevent the spread of infectious disease in health care settings. Conscientious participation in routine infection control practices, such as hand washing and respiratory precautions is a basic expectation of the profession. In some situations, however, routine infection control is not sufficient to protect the interests of patients, the public, and fellow health care workers.

In the context of a highly transmissible disease that poses significant medical risk for vulnerable patients or colleagues, or threatens the availability of the health care workforce, particularly a disease that has potential to become epidemic or pandemic, and for which there is an available, safe, and effective vaccine, physicians have an obligation to:
(a) Accept immunization absent a recognized medical, religious, or philosophic reason to not be immunized.

(b) Accept a decision of the medical staff leadership or health care institution, or other appropriate authority to adjust practice activities if not immunized (e.g., wear masks or refrain from direct patient care). It may be appropriate in some circumstances to inform patients about immunization status.

(New HOD/CEJA Policy)

Fiscal Note: Staff cost estimated at less than $500 to implement.
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4. For a list of current vaccine-preventable diseases, go to http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd-vac/vpd-list.htm.