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INTRODUCTION

At the 2007 Annual Meeting of the AMA House of Delegates, Board of Trustees Report 11, “Recommendations to Modify AMA Policy to Ensure Inclusion for Transgender Physicians, Medical Students, and Patients,” was adopted. The report asks the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs (CEJA) to consider revising certain ethics policies to ensure protection and equality relating to gender identity issues.

DISCUSSION

The three ethics Opinions identified in BOT Report 11, E-9.03, “Civil Rights and Professional Responsibility,” E-10.05, “Potential Patients,” and E-9.12, “Patient-Physician Relationship: Respect for Law and Human Rights,” all advocate for the broad protection of civil liberties among patients and physicians. This ideal stems primarily from Principles I, IV, and IX of the Principles of Medical Ethics: physicians must “be dedicated to providing competent medical care, with compassion and respect for human dignity and rights” (Principle I), “respect the rights of patients, colleagues, and other health professionals” (Principle IV), and “support access to medical care for all people” (Principle IX). Taken collectively, these Principles illuminate physicians’ duty to promote human well-being without discrimination.

In its report, the Board of Trustees recognized challenges faced by transgender individuals that are unique to this group. Transgender individuals often view themselves and/or are perceived by others as the most marginalized sector of the gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender (GLBT) community. As a result, transgender individuals are at risk for adverse health outcomes, as well as discrimination in medical education and employment.
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However, the BOT Report noted that transgender individuals could not refer to AMA policy when dealing with discrimination because there was no explicit protection for this group. Although other members of the GLBT community can claim protection though the “sexual orientation” category of AMA policy, transgender individuals cannot. The BOT Report remedied this deficiency by amending appropriate HOD policies, but could only recommend amendment to ethics Opinions.

CONCLUSION

The Council agrees with the Board of Trustees that respecting the rights of our patients and colleagues is extremely important. The fact that any group still experiences discrimination indicates that our current policies do not perfectly meet this goal. Therefore, it is appropriate to amend our ethics policy to ensure that all individuals’ rights are protected.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs recommends:

(1) That Opinion E-9.03, “Civil Rights and Professional Responsibility,” be amended as follows.

E-9.03 Civil Rights and Professional Responsibility

Opportunities in medical society activities or membership, medical education and training, employment, and all other aspects of professional endeavors should not be denied to any duly licensed physician because of race, color, religion, creed, ethnic affiliation, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, or handicap. (IV)
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(Modify HOD/CEJA Policy)

(2) That Opinion E-10.05, “Potential Patients,” be amended as follows.

E-10.05 Potential Patients

(1) Physicians must keep their professional obligations to provide care to patients in accord with their prerogative to choose whether to enter into a patient-physician relationship. (2) The following instances identify the limits on physicians' prerogative: (a) Physicians should respond to the best of their ability in cases of medical emergency (Opinion 8.11, "Neglect of Patient"). (b) Physicians cannot refuse to care for patients based on race, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, or any other criteria that would constitute invidious discrimination (Opinion 9.12, "Patient-Physician Relationship: Respect for Law and Human Rights"). nor can they discriminate against patients with infectious diseases (Opinion 2.23, "HIV Testing"). . . Greater medical necessity of a service engenders a stronger obligation to treat. (I, VI, VIII, IX)

(Modify HOD/CEJA Policy)


E-9.12 Patient-Physician Relationship: Respect for Law and Human Rights

The creation of the patient-physician relationship is contractual in nature. Generally, both the physician and the patient are free to enter into or decline the relationship. A physician may decline to undertake the care of a patient whose medical condition is not within the physician's current competence. However, physicians who offer their services to the public may not decline to accept patients because of race, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, or any other basis that would constitute invidious discrimination. Furthermore, physicians who are obligated under pre-existing contractual arrangements may not decline to accept patients as provided by those arrangements. (I, III, V, VI)


(Modify HOD/CEJA Policy)

(4) That the remainder of the report be filed.

Fiscal Note: Staff cost estimated at less than $500 to implement.