

REPORT 2 OF THE COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND PUBLIC HEALTH (A-17)
Emerging Drugs of Abuse are a Public Health Threat
(Reference Committee E)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Objective. Emerging drugs of abuse are a public health threat that needs actionable solutions from multiple stakeholders. Drug poisoning is the leading cause of injury death in the United States and drug poisoning deaths are at the highest level ever recorded. The Council on Science and Public Health initiated this report to bring attention to this public health issue and offer recommendations to address it.

Methods. English-language articles were selected from a search of the PubMed database through January 2017 using the search term “emerging drugs of abuse,” coupled with “synthetic cannabinoid,” “synthetic cathinone,” “stimulant,” “novel synthetic opioid,” “fentanyl,” “empathogen,” “psychedelic,” “dissociative,” “depressant,” and “public health;” and the search term “public health approach” in combination with “addiction” (not “gambling”), “substance misuse,” and “drugs.” Additional articles were identified from a review of the references cited in retrieved publications. Searches of selected medical specialty society and international, national, and local government agency websites were conducted to identify clinical guidelines, position statements, and reports.

Results. New psychoactive substances (NPS) are quickly emerging, transient, and difficult to track. Although some coordinated public health responses have been used to combat NPS outbreaks, most strategies and solutions to address illicit drug use remain compartmentalized and disconnected, and are lacking the necessary information and data sharing capability. A need for a multifaceted, collaborative multiagency approach to substance use exists. Increased NPS surveillance and early warning systems informed by laboratories and epidemiologic surveillance tools resulting in actionable information that can quickly reach law enforcement, public health officials, physicians, and vulnerable populations are solutions to mitigate the growing NPS problem.

Conclusion. The rate of NPS development and emergence is dramatically outpacing our ability to identify and regulate the compounds. Regulators agree that NPS will continue to pose a global threat to health and overdoses and deaths will continue to occur. Agreement also exists around the world that risks need to be highly publicized and education should be directed to correcting the perceptions that these substances are benign. Those who experiment with NPS have the ability to communicate and share experiences rapidly and globally using the Internet, which exacerbates the threat. Drug overdose deaths in the United States involving synthetic opioid drugs such as fentanyl and carfentanil have more than doubled between 2010 and 2015 and are expected to continue increasing. Continuing progress in eliminating the threat of NPS in the United States will require a comprehensive, multidisciplinary effort. Physicians, public health officials, law enforcement, first responders, and forensic laboratories all need to collaborate to decrease morbidity and mortality related to emerging drugs of abuse. Data systems need to be adaptable and utilized cooperatively by federal, state, and local agencies to derive actionable intelligence, and intelligence must be used in real-time to alert stakeholders of drug-related incidents. The frequent emergence of new NPS with unknown dangers and a potentially high death toll, especially NPS opioids, are a distinct challenge that will require a concerted and coordinated effort and response to mitigate risks to the public health and improve outcomes.

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND PUBLIC HEALTH

CSAPH Report 2-A-17

Subject: Emerging Drugs of Abuse Are a Public Health Threat

Presented by: Bobby Mukkamala, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee E
(Rebecca Hierholzer, MD, Chair)

1 INTRODUCTION

2
3 “New psychoactive substance(s)” (NPS) refers to emerging designer drugs of abuse. The term was
4 standardized by the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and is used by the U.S.
5 Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) and the enforcement agencies of other countries who
6 monitor the development of such drugs.¹ A recent report from the UNODC confirms that NPS have
7 become a phenomenon of transnational organized crime with a significant global impact; 102
8 countries have reported the emergence of NPS.² The ease of global e-commerce allows for
9 anonymity and circumvention of law enforcement and public health controls.

10
11 The term “new” in NPS does not necessarily refer to novel chemical entities that have been newly
12 synthesized; it also includes substances in existing pharmacological classes that are subject to
13 abuse, but are not currently scheduled under international drug control conventions or federal or
14 state statutes. For example, many NPS were designed as research tools or as candidates for drug
15 approval that subsequently failed; synthetic pathways are often published in journals or found in
16 patent applications. These compounds are ingested with the intent to mimic the effects of a wide
17 range of psychoactive substances, including prescription opioids, cannabinoids, stimulants,
18 hallucinogens, and central nervous system (CNS) depressants. NPS are sold as “legal highs” and
19 alternatives to established drugs of abuse or as ways to “beat drug tests.”³ NPS may be 100 times
20 more potent (or more) than existing pharmaceuticals but few, if any, have undergone formal
21 pharmacological or toxicological testing.

22
23 Various classes of NPS have been associated with occurrences of adverse public health events
24 around the United States. Heroin adulterated with the synthetic opioid carfentanil was linked to 174
25 opioid overdoses in six days in Cincinnati, Ohio.⁴ Synthetic cannabinoids have been connected to
26 the mass intoxication of individuals in a New York City neighborhood referred to as a “Zombie”
27 outbreak.⁵ With the increasing availability of NPS not only via the Internet, but in gas stations,
28 convenience stores, adult stores, and smoke shops, effective prevention and treatment interventions
29 will require broad cross-disciplinary approaches and cooperation among many stakeholders. The
30 Council on Science and Public Health initiated this report to bring attention to this public health
31 threat and offer recommendations to address it.

32 CURRENT AMA POLICY

33
34
35 AMA Policy H-95.940, “Addressing Emerging Trends in Illicit Drug Use,” supports (1) assessing,
36 monitoring, and disseminating information on emerging trends in illicit drug use; (2) developing

© 2017 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Action of the AMA House of Delegates 2017 Annual Meeting: Council on Science and Public Health Report 2 Recommendations Adopted in lieu of Res. 507 , and Remainder of Report Filed.

1 continuing medical education on emerging drugs of abuse and; (3) expedited federal efforts to
2 deem emerging drugs illegal. AMA policy recognizes substance use disorders, including addiction,
3 as diseases and a public health hazard and supports a federal drug policy that is weighted more
4 toward demand reduction rather than a law enforcement approach to address this problem (Policies
5 H-95.976, H-95.975, H-95.981, H-95.983).

6 7 METHODS

8
9 English-language articles were selected from a search of the PubMed database through January
10 2017 using the search term “emerging drugs of abuse,” coupled with “synthetic cannabinoid,”
11 “synthetic cathinone,” “stimulant,” “novel synthetic opioid,” “fentanyl,” “empathogen,”
12 “psychedelic,” “dissociative,” “depressant,” and “public health;” and the search term “public health
13 approach” in combination with “addiction” (not “gambling”), “substance misuse,” and “drugs.”
14 Additional articles were identified from a review of the references cited in retrieved publications.
15 Searches of selected medical specialty society and international, national, and local government
16 agency websites were conducted to identify clinical guidelines, position statements, and reports.

17 18 NEW PSYCHOACTIVE SUBSTANCES (NPS)

19 20 *NPS Regulation*

21
22 NPS exist in a gray area between legal and illegal, and constitute an international policy challenge.
23 A control framework has been developed by the UNODC to identify chemical classes, structural
24 analogues, and specific substances that are prohibited from manufacture, distribution, and sale.⁶⁻⁸
25 Establishing new controls in a timely manner is challenging because only a limited number of NPS
26 have been reviewed and addressed by international drug convention members, each of which has
27 their own national control regulations that may differ.

28
29 In early 2016, the European Union’s European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction
30 (EMCDDA) was monitoring more than 560 NPS, more than double the number of total drugs
31 controlled under the UN conventions. In 2015, 100 of the compounds monitored by EMCDDA
32 were detected for the first time, and more than 380 (70%) of those monitored were detected within
33 the last 5 years.¹⁹ In October 2015, the Chinese government controlled 116 new substances;
34 carfentanil also is now a controlled substance in China.¹⁰ The Japanese National Institutes of
35 Health Sciences is a leader in surveying and identifying NPS; as of April 2015 Japan had scheduled
36 858 synthetic cannabinoids (SCs), making them illegal.^{11,12}

37
38 In the United States, NPS are regulated using a rulemaking process under the Controlled
39 Substances Act (CSA).¹³ This rulemaking process can be initiated by United States Attorney
40 General, at the request of the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
41 with the concurrence of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the National Institute
42 on Drug Abuse (NIDA), or on the petition of any interested party. Most NPS are temporarily
43 placed onto schedule I of the CSA when they are first properly determined to be biologically active
44 and a threshold of data is obtained by the DEA. Temporary scheduling is effective for two years,
45 which can be extended for an additional year if proceedings to permanently control the substance
46 are initiated. After scientific and medical evaluation and a period of public comment, a final rule
47 regarding substance scheduling can be issued. State policy makers have added specific chemicals
48 and their analogues to their controlled substance schedules, and have created civil and criminal
49 penalties that target NPS manufacturers and sellers.

50

1 Two standard approaches to identifying NPS for regulation exist in the United States. A
 2 neurochemical approach is used by the DEA, certain states (Iowa, Maryland, Texas), and other
 3 jurisdictions (District of Columbia). To assign a substance to schedule I using this approach, the
 4 substance must demonstrate receptor binding characteristics and be active in functional assays
 5 similar to an existing member of designated chemical classes. This approach theoretically
 6 eliminates the need to continually update schedules each time a new compound is discovered; it is
 7 limited to the binding site(s) recognized by the statute in each jurisdiction and by uncertainty about
 8 the level of proof necessary to satisfy the statutory requirement. The alternative method for
 9 regulation is the analogue approach, which requires that a substance be both substantially similar
 10 structurally to an existing Schedule I or II controlled substance, and that it has, or is intended to
 11 have, a substantially similar effect on the body as the scheduled substance. This approach covers
 12 every molecule as long as it is structurally similar to at least one schedule I or II substance. No
 13 clear guidance exists on what constitutes “substantially similar,” and some substances have failed
 14 this test because of “lack of structural similarity,” despite otherwise having the pharmacologic
 15 attributes of an NPS.¹⁴ The legal and scientific communities recognize the need to clarify and
 16 simplify language around scheduling and also have identified a “language barrier” surrounding this
 17 issue as a challenge to overcome.

18

19 *NPS Epidemiology*

20

21 NPS usage is difficult to capture and is likely underreported because these drugs emerge quickly,
 22 may have a transient period of use, and are difficult to individually track and identify. Experts warn
 23 that because of the dynamic nature of the NPS market, many of the existing epidemiological
 24 indicators of drug use are poorly suited to measure or monitor the use of emerging substances. For
 25 example, including specific questions about the use of NPS in national surveys is difficult because
 26 these surveys often take years to plan and poison center data is often limited by the absence of
 27 analytical confirmation and reliance on secondary reporting of clinical features.¹⁵

28

29 *NPS Pharmacology*

30

31 Up to thirteen categories of NPS have been described by global authorities based on chemical
 32 structure.¹ Not all drugs in a chemical class produce the same pharmacological effects; for
 33 example, the phenethylamine category includes central nervous stimulants, d-lysergic acid
 34 diethylamide (LSD)-like hallucinogens, and 3,4-methylenedioxy-methamphetamine (MDMA)-like
 35 stimulant empathogen-entactogens (drugs that produce feelings of empathy, openness, and being
 36 touched). Furthermore, the same pharmacological effect can be produced by drugs from different
 37 categories; for example, many synthetic cathinones, substituted phenethylamines, and piperazines
 38 are central nervous system stimulants.

39

40 This report will focus on six broad categories based on pharmacological and clinical effects:
 41 synthetic opioids, synthetic cannabinoids, stimulants, hallucinogens (psychedelics and
 42 dissociatives), CNS depressants, and others (Table 1).

43

44 Synthetic Opioids. Serious adverse events, overdoses and deaths have been increasingly attributed
 45 to NPS opioids in recent years, the vast majority of which are fentanyl analogues (Table 1).¹⁶⁻³³
 46 From 2014 to 2015, the death rate from synthetic opioids other than methadone increased by 72%
 47 in the United States, most likely illicitly manufactured fentanyl, and potentially other NPS
 48 opioids.³⁴ Fentanyl, its analogues, and other synthetic opioids are particularly concerning because
 49 they have recently been linked to numerous clusters of deaths around the United States.
 50 Carfentanil was linked to 174 opioid overdoses in six days in Cincinnati;⁴ a cluster of deaths has
 51 been attributed to acetylfentanyl in Rhode Island;³⁵ illicit fentanyl has been marketed as cocaine

1 and resulted in an overdose cluster in Connecticut;³⁶ counterfeit Norco®
2 (hydrocodone/acetaminophen) contaminated with fentanyl in Sacramento led to over 50 overdoses
3 and 12 deaths;^{37,38} and counterfeit Norco® in San Francisco (that was actually fentanyl and
4 promethazine, which potentiates the CNS depressant effects of opioids) resulted in another public
5 health threat.³⁹

6
7 NPS synthetic opioids are generally selective mu-opioid receptor (MOR) agonists and former
8 candidates for regulatory approval as therapeutic agents. The potency of these compounds varies
9 greatly with some analogues having only slightly higher potency than morphine and others having
10 significantly greater potency. For example U-47,700 is 7.5 times more potent, while carfentanil is
11 10,000 times more potent than morphine.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Knowledge about the majority of fentanyl analogues
12 and other recent opioid-like NPS is limited because they have not been studied in humans. Even
13 studying them in model systems is difficult because of their extraordinary potency which places
14 researchers who handle them at high risk for harm from accidental exposure.⁴⁰

15
16 China and Mexico are the primary source countries for many NPS opioids.^{3,43-46} These compounds
17 are being substituted for heroin and other opioids (such as hydrocodone), are being used to
18 adulterate heroin and other non-opioid drugs of abuse, and are being sold on the street. Not only are
19 they desired by those seeking relief from opioid withdrawal, they are gaining popularity as drugs of
20 choice among recreational opioid users.^{32,36} The DEA expects the designer NPS market,
21 particularly designer fentanyls, to continue to expand as novel products attract new users.³ In its
22 2016 annual Emerging Threat Report, 60% of the NPS opioids were identified for the first time.⁴⁷
23 Public warnings have been issued cautioning the public and law enforcement officials about the
24 danger of the potency of NPS opioids and the fact that high or multiple doses of naloxone may be
25 needed to reverse their effects in the event of an overdose.^{36,44} A recent review details the structure-
26 activity relationships of fentanyl-related compounds and derivatives,⁴⁸ which unregulated
27 laboratories in China continue to develop.⁴⁹

28
29 Synthetic Cannabinoids. SCs are the largest category among NPS and have become colloquially
30 known by the names of previously “branded” products K2 and Spice (Table 1). SC products
31 typically contain one or more compounds dissolved in a solvent and sprayed on a plant material,
32 sometimes with flavorings such as bubblegum or strawberry, which is then smoked. The laced
33 plant material is often placed in branded packets, labeled as “not for human consumption” in order
34 to circumvent drug laws, and sold as “herbal incense.”³ These products also are being increasingly
35 sold in liquid forms for e-cigarette cartridges.^{3,50} The chemical structures of SCs vary greatly and
36 new derivatives are emerging constantly. SCs have been associated with clusters of outbreaks of
37 adverse events including severe delirium and “zombielike” altered mental status.^{5,51,52}

38
39 A wide variety of SC chemical compounds exist that likely activate multiple pharmacological
40 pathways causing diverse and unexpected adverse effects.^{53,54} SCs are mainly cannabinoid receptor
41 1 (CB₁) agonists intended to mimic the effects of Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), however, some
42 also have affinity for the peripheral cannabinoid receptor 2, CB₂.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ Most SCs are full agonists, as
43 opposed to the partial agonist activity of THC. They have higher affinity for cannabinoid receptors
44 and act more rapidly at these receptors than does THC. Cannabis or cannabis plant extracts contain
45 other cannabinoids including cannabidiol (CBD), which appears to possess anxiolytic or
46 antipsychotic properties that can attenuate the psychotomimetic properties of THC. Because SCs
47 exist in pure form, they generally result in more intense psychotomimetic effects than does use of
48 herbal cannabis.⁵⁷ It is noteworthy that SCs are associated with severe psychosis, agitation, and
49 intense sympathomimetic effects.⁵⁸ Additionally, many SCs have potent active metabolites which
50 can cause prolonged adverse effects.⁵⁸ Considering the potency of the compounds, the risks of

1 misuse and addiction are a concern.⁵⁴ Recent reviews summarize structure-activity, epidemiology,
2 pharmacodynamics, metabolism, clinical implications, and adverse effects of SCs.^{12,55,58-63}

3 Stimulants. The category of NPS stimulants contains many classes of chemical structures with
4 varying pharmacological effects and varying potency (Table 1). Convention has been to compare
5 them to relatively well-studied stimulants.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ Some compounds mimic amphetamine (classic
6 psychostimulants) to produce arousal and stimulation. Others mimic MDMA (“Molly”), are
7 empathogen-entactogens, and are used mainly to enhance sociability.⁶⁵ Still other NPS stimulants
8 are intended to mimic cocaine or methylphenidate.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸

9
10 A number of agents among the NPS stimulants commonly known as “bath salts” (usually synthetic
11 cathinones) or “plant food” are sold as “research chemicals,” and are labeled as “not for human
12 consumption” in attempts to circumvent drug laws.⁶⁶ These chemicals are usually powders,
13 crystalline mixtures, or pressed into tablets. Often NPS stimulants are mixed with cocaine or
14 methamphetamine and many have become substitutes for MDMA, unbeknownst to users. Some
15 common NPS stimulants in the news recently have been the different “bath salts,”
16 methylenedioxypropylamphetamine (MDPV), mephedrone, and alpha-PVP (“Flakka”).⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ It is not
17 uncommon for users to be consuming multiple NPS stimulants in a product and to be unaware of
18 the identity of the compound(s) they are using.

19
20 NPS stimulants alter synaptic concentrations of the neurotransmitters dopamine, norepinephrine,
21 and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT, otherwise known as serotonin) by inhibiting and/or inducing
22 transport (reuptake) proteins to varying degrees.⁶⁵ The pharmacologic properties of NPS stimulants
23 account for their potential to trigger patterns of misuse and addiction.⁷² Adverse effects of NPS
24 stimulants are reported to be similar to those of other stimulants.⁶⁵ However, their use may lead to
25 serotonin syndrome, violence, homicidal combative behavior, self-mutilation, coma, and
26 death.^{64,66,73} Recent reviews summarize the neuropharmacology and adverse effects of NPS
27 stimulants.^{64,65,74,75}

28
29 Hallucinogens. Two distinct subcategories of NPS hallucinogens have emerged: psychedelics
30 which are designed to have LSD-like activity, and dissociative agents which are purported to have
31 phencyclidine (PCP) or ketamine-like pharmacologic effects (Table 1).

32
33 In addition to being LSD analogues, many NPS psychedelics are also members of the
34 phenethylamine or tryptamine chemical classes and have multiple pharmacologic profiles. For
35 example, some phenethylamines such as the NBOMe-series of drugs are stimulants as well as
36 psychedelics.⁶⁴ This pharmacologic effect has been described as MDMA and LSD fusing together,
37 thus producing new psychedelic substances.⁷⁶ NPS psychedelics generally affect extracellular
38 serotonin concentrations.^{64,65} As a result, serotonin syndrome and sympathomimetic toxicity are
39 concerns.

40
41 Full pharmacologic profiles of many NPS psychedelics have not yet been elucidated; however,
42 some analytical and animal model behavioral characterizations are beginning to emerge for
43 individual compounds.^{77,78} Receptor studies performed on individual NPS psychedelics reveal
44 varied pharmacodynamic properties with respect to receptor affinity and activation of signaling
45 pathways; drug users anecdotally recognize, respond to, and report on these differences.^{64,79,80} A
46 litany of over 230 psychedelic compounds, including synthesis instructions, bioassays, and dosages
47 exists in two books, PiHKAL and TiHKAL, published by psychopharmacologist Alexander
48 Shulgin (Shulgin is credited with discovering most of the cataloged psychedelic compounds).^{81,82}
49 Because the effects of these drugs vary dramatically, users can theoretically choose the experience
50 they desire based on onset, duration, and relative potency to a compound such as LSD. Some of

1 these inherent properties lead to dangers; for example, in the case of Bromo-DragonFLY, very high
 2 potency coupled with delayed onset has resulted in re-dosing and subsequent toxicity.⁸³ Note that
 3 Shulgin has attained cult-hero status among users of these compounds; his books frequently glorify
 4 use of these products for recreational purposes – the neologism PiHKAL stands for
 5 “phenylethyamines I have known and loved,” and TiHKAL stands for “tryptamines I have known
 6 and loved.”

7
 8 NPS dissociative drugs are primarily analogues of PCP and ketamine (“Special K”) and as such are
 9 principally uncompetitive N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonists.^{64,84,85} Many of the
 10 known PCP and ketamine analogues were developed through legitimate research and their
 11 structures exist in peer-reviewed and patent literature. However, re-emergence of NPS dissociative
 12 agents has occurred through online forums – with forum members collaboratively planning,
 13 synthesizing, and characterizing rationally designed compounds, including methoxetamine, with
 14 online “research chemical” vendors subsequently selling the compounds.^{79,80,84} Little data on
 15 behavioral and psychological effects exist; however, anecdotal reports note effects comparable to
 16 PCP and ketamine although with varying degrees of intensity and duration.⁸⁶ Inconsistent data on
 17 withdrawal symptoms have been recorded; anecdotal reports of “cravings” have emerged.⁶⁴ Little
 18 toxicological data exist, although some case studies have been presented.⁸⁷ A recent publication
 19 reviews the non-medical use of dissociative drugs.⁸⁴

20
 21 CNS Depressants. NPS CNS depressants consist mainly of benzodiazepine analogues (Table 1).
 22 The first compounds to emerge as NPS benzodiazepines were phenazepam (“Bonsai”) and
 23 etizolam. Because these drugs are controlled substances in a few European countries, entrepreneurs
 24 derived subsequent NPS from failed therapeutic drug candidates in old pharmaceutical research to
 25 circumvent drug laws in many countries.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ Similar to marketed benzodiazepines, NPS
 26 benzodiazepines have active metabolites that are also marketed as NPS (for example, the active
 27 metabolite of flunitrazepam, norflunitrazepam, is marketed on drug forums as fonazepam). A
 28 diverse range of possible modifications and the potential for development of families of novel NPS
 29 benzodiazepines has public health officials concerned about this emerging class.⁸⁹ NPS
 30 benzodiazepines have been offered as research chemicals on the Internet; investigators and public
 31 health officials speculate these are consumed not only to induce a state of intoxication, but also for
 32 self-medication of anxiety disorders.⁸⁸

33
 34 Similar to classic benzodiazepines, NPS benzodiazepines bind to the ionotropic gamma-
 35 aminobutyric acid (GABA_A) receptor.^{64,89} NPS benzodiazepines remain one of the least-well-
 36 characterized categories of NPS. Similarity to established agents is unclear; drug disposition and
 37 elimination rates are largely unknown, which takes on increasing importance in the context of
 38 multiple dosing, use by naïve patients, and/or use in combination with alcohol and other drugs. One
 39 study evaluated pharmacokinetic properties of a single dose of flubromazepam and noted a very
 40 long half-life of more than 100 hours and detectable urinary metabolites for more than 28 days
 41 post-ingestion;⁹¹ the activity of metabolites was not assessed in this study. Also of note is that
 42 many of these compounds have a high potency compared to traditional benzodiazepines, which
 43 could lead to unintentional overdoses; there is also concern about their use in drug-facilitated
 44 crimes, including sexual assault and robbery.⁹² Additionally, complex metabolic pathways and
 45 shared metabolites could complicate clinical investigation and analytical findings.

46
 47 Others. Other emerging drugs (including botanicals and other classes of psychoactive drugs that do
 48 not fit neatly into the aforementioned categories) are being sold on the gray market and cataloged
 49 on online drug forums. Etaqualone, first synthesized in 1963, has become a popular “research
 50 chemical” for sale over the Internet and is watched by the DEA.^{1,93} It is an analogue of

1 methaqualone (brand name Quaalude) and is a GABA_A receptor agonist resulting in sedative and
2 hypnotic effects. Several other methaqualone analogues cited in literature could emerge as NPS.⁹³

3 *Mitragyna speciosa* is a deciduous tree indigenous to Thailand and other Southeast Asian
4 countries. Over 25 alkaloids have been isolated from *M. speciosa* including mitragynine and 7-
5 hydroxymitragynine, which are believed to be the primary pharmacologic constituents. Kratom is
6 the colloquial name of the dried plant material of *M. speciosa*. Its active components are not
7 classified as opioids but have been identified as partial MOR agonists and competitive kappa- and
8 delta-opioid receptor antagonists.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ *M. speciosa* leaves are often chewed fresh, but dried leaves in
9 powder form are also available and are swallowed, brewed into a tea, or smoked. In low doses
10 kratom is reported to have stimulant effects, while at high doses it can have sedative-narcotic
11 effects. Kratom has been available for purchase as an herbal preparation, and there have been
12 reports of adulteration of kratom products with O-desmethyltramadol and 7-
13 hydroxymitragynine.^{97,98} Traditionally *M. speciosa* has been used by Southeast Asian laborers to
14 alleviate fatigue or as a mood enhancer and/or analgesic. More recently, in addition to recreational
15 use, kratom has been touted as an antidepressant, anxiolytic, anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and
16 alternative to methadone or buprenorphine for medication-assisted treatment of opioid use
17 disorder.^{94,95} Pharmacological studies evaluating kratom are limited, but are beginning to emerge.⁹⁹
18 The DEA recommended kratom for inclusion on schedule I of the CSA in early 2016, but public
19 opposition led to reconsideration. The 8-factor analysis used in the decision not to add kratom to
20 schedule I of the CSA concluded that kratom has substantially lower harmfulness and abuse
21 potential than opioids and that its consumption is primarily motivated by its perceived benefits as a
22 natural “home remedy” and alternative to conventional medicines for a variety of ailments.⁹⁵
23

24 Ayahuasca is a brew of two plants, *Psychotria viridis*, which contains *N,N*-dimethyltryptamine
25 (DMT), primarily a serotonin modulator, and *Banisteriopsis caapi*, which has monoamine oxidase
26 inhibiting (MAOI) properties and is orally active.¹⁰⁰ Ayahuasca administration is characterized by a
27 modified state of awareness where users experience deep introspection and increased insight,
28 dream-like imagery, enhanced emotions, and recollection of personal memories.^{100,101} Ayahuasca
29 use originated as an Amazonian medicinal, spiritual, and cultural practice, but the experience has
30 since spread into non-indigenous syncretistic and recreational practices worldwide. The
31 globalization of ayahuasca has raised both public health and legal concerns.^{102,103} Although DMT is
32 on the UNODC international conventions scheduled list, no plants containing the drug are currently
33 included on the list.⁶⁻⁸ Some reports suggest that ayahuasca may have therapeutic effects for the
34 treatment of substance use disorders and psychotherapeutic interventions.^{101,104} Recent reviews
35 discuss the pharmacology and therapeutic potentials of ayahuasca.^{101,105}
36

37 Dietary supplements (DS) sold for weight-loss purposes are among the most adulterated DS on the
38 market and are the third most prevalent group of supplements that require recalls of products
39 containing unapproved pharmaceutical ingredients.¹⁰⁶ Several synthetic NPS stimulants have
40 appeared in DS over the last several years, perhaps in an effort to replace Ephedra after it was
41 banned.¹⁰⁷ Many are added to DS in the guise of a plant ingredient on the label. Some of the more
42 noteworthy stimulants include the structurally similar 1,3-dimethylamylamine (DMAA) and 1,3-
43 dimethylbutylamine (DMBA), which are labeled as geranium and Pouchong Tea, respectively, and
44 have been associated with adverse health effects;¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹³ β-methylphenethylamine (BMPEA), often
45 labeled *Acacia rigidula*, is the subject of an FDA study;^{114,115} and *N,α*-diethyl-phenylethylamine
46 (*N,α*-DEPEA), a methamphetamine analogue isomer which was labeled as dendrobium, has been
47 the subject of several news stories.¹¹⁶ A recent review discusses several NPS sympathomimetic
48 stimulants that have been detected in DS.¹⁰⁷
49

50 NPS MARKET

1
2 A UN World Drug Report on the world drug problem, published before a special session of the
3 General Assembly, includes a detailed market analysis for each class of NPS; noteworthy are the
4 numerous ways and forms in which they are marketed and the many different user groups engaged
5 with NPS.¹¹⁷ The UNODC and the DEA agree that the market for NPS will continue to expand and
6 that the Internet is transforming the drug trade and allowing for global access to these emerging
7 compounds.

8
9 Trafficking and selling NPS has a high profit margin. They are sold mostly on the surface Internet
10 by major online marketplaces that advertise their products and accept payment by major credit and
11 debit cards and online payment services or direct bank transfers through product websites. The
12 anonymity, low-cost, scope, and apparent reliability of these websites makes it a challenge for law
13 enforcement to seize the thousands of unmarked small packages being shipped to individuals all
14 over the world. Research has been conducted detailing online cryptomarkets, the anonymous global
15 Amazon-like marketplaces that seem to be a primary wholesale source of NPS on the deep web,
16 and suggests likely growth in the coming years in sales and continued resilience to law
17 enforcement.¹¹⁸

18 19 NPS TREATMENT CHALLENGES

20
21 NPS have been increasingly associated with hospital emergencies, acute adverse health
22 consequences, and drug-induced deaths.¹¹⁹ Individuals rarely know the dose and identity of the
23 drug they are taking. Furthermore, other considerations include variable purity and potency of the
24 active ingredient and the potential presence of adulterants or contaminants.

25
26 Treating NPS intoxication is limited by the lack of inexpensive and rapid screening tests to confirm
27 the presence of most NPS. Very few, if any, NPS are detected by standard immunoassay urine drug
28 screens, and with limited availability of reference standards, developing laboratory-validated
29 analytical methods is a challenge. Even when analytical methods are developed, the rapid
30 appearance of NPS on the market limits test reliability and the ability of laboratories to keep up.
31 For individuals with histories suggestive of drug misuse, particularly opioids and benzodiazepines,
32 physicians should be aware of this limitation and carefully assess “false-positive” urine drug testing
33 results, much like medical review officer protocols advise.^{32,92}

34
35 To add to these clinical challenges, some NPS (synthetic cannabinoids for example) have a short
36 detection window in biological fluids, doses are low, the compounds are extensively metabolized,
37 and little to no parent compound is excreted in urine.¹² Fentanyl and fentanyl analogues pose a
38 threat not only to users, but also to health care professionals, law enforcement personnel, and postal
39 service employees since minuscule amounts of the drug are lethal and can be inadvertently inhaled
40 or absorbed through the skin.³

41 42 NPS AND PUBLIC HEALTH

43
44 Public health approaches have been used to successfully address outbreaks of NPS overdoses.
45 When such approaches have been successful, pre-existing coordinated relationships among
46 multiple groups (law enforcement, emergency medical services personnel, forensic laboratories,
47 public health officials, social service providers, and hospital emergency department physicians and
48 personnel) have allowed for a rapid and comprehensive response to a given outbreak and its
49 sequelae.
50

1 For example, an extended pattern of SC use in Anchorage, Alaska was eventually contained
2 through the use of multiple collaborative interventions.⁵¹ In New York, New York, a “Zombie”
3 outbreak caused by a new NPS was identified and characterized within 17 days, including the
4 successful development of reference standards for the laboratory detection of emerging substances
5 and their metabolites. This was made possible because of close collaboration among medical
6 professionals who documented clinical histories, additional background and drug paraphernalia
7 provided by law enforcement, and reliable analysis performed by laboratories.⁵ Finally, a rapid and
8 controlled public health response involving multiple health care providers reduced the impact of an
9 outbreak of fentanyl laced cocaine in New Haven, Connecticut and mitigated more severe public
10 health consequences.³⁶

11
12 Although coordinated responses like the ones mentioned do exist, most strategies and solutions for
13 illicit drugs remain compartmentalized and disconnected; examples of such surveillance programs
14 are detailed below. A need for a multifaceted, collaborative multiagency approach to combat NPS
15 use exists. This approach, as well as increased NPS surveillance and early warning systems
16 informed by laboratories, and epidemiologic surveillance tools resulting in actionable information
17 that can quickly reach law enforcement, public health officials, emergency physicians, and
18 vulnerable populations will aid in mitigating the growing NPS problem.^{34,120}

19 20 *Surveillance*

21
22 Public health and law enforcement agencies are both tasked with protecting individuals, but have
23 different philosophies and use different methods. For example, the term “surveillance” in a public
24 health context refers to systematic collection, analysis, interpretation, and dissemination of data
25 regarding a health-related event; in law enforcement surveillance generally means the observation
26 of people or premises during the course of an investigation. Recently, law enforcement entities
27 have started to align their efforts more closely with public health objectives in an effort to combat
28 the public health threat posed by emerging drugs of abuse.

29
30 The Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) released a position statement in 2008
31 stating that the “identification and quantification of the determinants and human health
32 consequences of use and abuse of substances is an essential first step in prevention.”¹²¹ At that
33 time, substance abuse had no devoted categorization under the CSTE organizational structure and
34 was not addressed in previous capacity assessments. The position statement called for the
35 development of performance measures for addressing substance abuse within five years. In its 2013
36 National Assessment of Epidemiology Capacity, CSTE reported that less than 12 percent of states
37 had substantial capacity for substance abuse epidemiology (by this time, a formal CSTE category),
38 43 percent of states had no capacity, and most states had no plans to develop capacity despite the
39 fact that substance abuse problems contribute directly to the leading causes of death in the U.S.
40 CSTE noted part of the reason for states’ unwillingness to develop capacity in the area of substance
41 abuse was “turf issues” with other agencies and a perception among politicians that treatment-
42 based efforts are sufficient to combat the problem. CSTE recommends the development of a
43 strategy to increase the epidemiologic capacity to address substance abuse at the local, state, and
44 national levels and to encourage more effort to publicize successes and to expand the role of
45 epidemiology in the program area.¹²² Accordingly, in 2015, the SAMHSA Center for Behavioral
46 Health Statistics and Quality (CBHSQ) incorporated a Community Epidemiology Team with
47 deployment capacity to respond to local outbreaks related to drug use. In 2016, CBHSQ/SAMHSA
48 began phase two of this project in partnership with CSTE to identify and promote a core set of
49 behavioral health indicators intended to contribute to a national behavioral health surveillance
50 system capable of responding to community-level needs. CSTE has a capacity assessment
51 underway.

1
2 The National Drug Early Warning System (NDEWS) is funded by NIDA and administered by the
3 Center for Substance Abuse Research (CESAR) at the University of Maryland. CESAR monitors
4 emerging substance use trends. Its activities help enable health experts, researchers, and citizens to
5 better respond to potential outbreaks of illicit drug use and to identify increased use of NPS.¹²³
6 NDEWS builds on what was formerly the NIDA Community Epidemiology Work Group (CEWG),
7 monitoring not only local data from the CEWG program, but also incorporating a national
8 perspective to monitor emerging issues.

9
10 Role of the DEA. In the United States, the DEA is tasked with identifying new drugs of abuse and
11 determining the need to appropriately schedule and classify them in collaboration with the FDA
12 and NIDA. Temporarily scheduling a new NPS by the DEA requires a threshold of data regarding
13 that drug. In the current landscape of constantly emerging NPS, obtaining the relevant and
14 appropriate amount of data from users who have experienced adverse events and overdoses can be
15 challenging. Emergency department physicians are limited by drug testing capabilities at their
16 facilities and may not collect appropriate specimens for testing and positive identification of NPS.
17 Outbreaks may not be recognized, and medical examiner and coroner offices strained by increasing
18 cases may not perform comprehensive toxicology screens on all cases and may miss NPS
19 identifications. Additionally, reference materials may not be available in laboratories to identify
20 new emerging compounds.

21
22 As new NPS emerge, the DEA collaborates with the Chemistry and Drug Metabolism Section
23 (CDM) at NIDA. When data for regulation via the neurochemical approach are needed, CDM
24 obtains purified drug samples from the DEA and performs the appropriate assays. The data
25 obtained are quickly published to provide laboratories and regulating bodies around the world with
26 needed information. The CDM also collaborates with universities worldwide and governmental
27 forensic institutes, with the goal of circulating information to hospitals and laboratories as rapidly
28 as possible and to share information about chemical structures with commercial reference standard
29 manufacturers.¹²

30
31 The DEA Special Testing and Research Laboratory (STRL) has an Emerging Trends Program to
32 analyze NPS for enforcement and intelligence purposes. However, a formal identification is made
33 only when authenticated reference material is available for comparison. This is a limitation because
34 many NPS may go undetected. When reference material is not available, the drug is identified as
35 “substance unconfirmed.” Throughout periods in the same calendar year, the landscape of drugs
36 detected can change dramatically.^{124,125} STRL also has a Reference Materials Program through
37 which reference standards are synthesized and characterized. Information about NPS chemical
38 structures are subsequently shared with law enforcement, forensic, and public health communities.

39
40 The DEA National Forensic Laboratory Information System (NFLIS) systematically collects
41 results from federal, state, and local forensic laboratories to evaluate how substance use varies
42 geographically. More than 300 state and local forensic laboratories in the United States exist,
43 performing nearly two million drug analyses each year. The data in the most current yearly report
44 include 50 state systems and 101 local or municipal laboratories/laboratory systems (representing a
45 total of 277 individual laboratories) and federal data from DEA and U.S. Customs and Border
46 Protection laboratories.¹²⁶ An NFLIS special publication on 2C-phenethylamines (mostly NPS
47 stimulants and hallucinogens) reported a 295 percent increase in their identification from 2011 to
48 2015.¹²⁷ An NFLIS Brief reported a 15-fold increase in fentanyl reports submitted to laboratories
49 between 2013 and 2015 and that the majority of fentanyl drug reports resulted from clandestinely
50 produced and trafficked fentanyl, not fentanyl diverted from traditional pharmaceutical sources.¹²⁸

51

1 Complications from emerging drugs of abuse, such as acetylfentanyl, frequently surface initially in
2 emergency departments. Prompt recognition and treatment can help reduce morbidity and
3 mortality. The American College of Emergency Physicians published an information paper
4 highlighting the complexity of the NPS problem and providing a listing of surveillance sources for
5 healthcare providers.¹²⁹ The National Drug Control Strategy recommends the pursuit of innovations
6 in data collection that reach beyond traditional methods in an effort to keep up with the rapidly
7 evolving drug culture. For example, scanning social media and using Internet search tools to
8 understand local trends can augment local emergency department data, and technologies that
9 estimate drug use within communities in real-time can complement traditional epidemiological
10 survey studies.¹⁰

11 *Fusion Centers*

12
13
14 Data fusion involves the exchange and analysis of information, previously siloed, from multiple
15 sources such as law enforcement, public safety, public health/health care, and the private sector,
16 with the end goal of developing meaningful and actionable intelligence and information.
17 Additionally, updates can be provided based on re-evaluation of data in the context of new
18 information. Across the nation, fusion centers have been established to facilitate the sharing of
19 information among multiple agencies and to build intelligence capabilities. It should be noted that
20 fusion centers operate in accordance with existing state and federal privacy laws and
21 requirements.¹³⁰⁻¹³² Both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the
22 Association of State and Territorial Health Officials agree that reliable data are critical in order for
23 public health and law enforcement agencies to effectively carry out their mission.¹³³ Because these
24 organizations share a responsibility to protect the public, the CDC lists “information sharing” as
25 one of the 15 capabilities for national public health preparedness standards that are used to assist
26 public health departments in strategic planning.¹³⁴

27
28 Generally, fusion centers have focused on bioterrorism, but their applications also include
29 intelligence gathering and risk assessment for other hazards, including NPS, in order to protect the
30 security of the country. Drug-specific fusion centers are being developed to better understand the
31 scope of the drug problem in local communities and to enhance prevention, treatment, and
32 enforcement efforts.

33
34 In New Jersey, the Drug Monitoring Initiative (DMI) is a successful example of a drug-specific
35 fusion center.¹³⁵ The DMI was initiated by the NJ State Police in response to an exponential rise in
36 drug overdoses. The goal is to better understand the scope of the problem through continuous
37 statewide monitoring of drug activities. Continuous statewide monitoring of drug activities and
38 creation of an “information sharing environment” enables law enforcement, community services,
39 and public health experts to better understand trends, patterns, implications, and threats from illicit
40 drug activity on both the supply and demand side. This process allows for intelligence-led policing,
41 investigative support for law enforcement, and intelligence-led outreach for treatment and
42 prevention efforts. DMI also has established a list of best practices, including a monthly conference
43 call involving representatives from 48 states in order to provide information to other law
44 enforcement, public health, and fusion centers across the country. Additionally, a basic drug
45 recognition course is offered for law enforcement first responders and health partners so they are
46 informed about emerging drug trends and able to share the information. Four additional sites in the
47 United States are currently being modeled after DMI. See Appendix 1 for a summary sheet
48 outlining the DMI program.

49 *Interventions Directed at Preventing or Reducing Harm*

50
51

1 Educational campaigns are effective at reducing harms from NPS.¹³⁶ Drug checking is another
2 harm reduction strategy utilized by drug users to evaluate the contents of pills or powders after
3 obtaining them. Some users will seek illicit drugs despite the known risks of substitution and
4 adulteration, for example as with MDMA. The availability of commercially available kits allows
5 users to distinguish MDMA from other compounds, such as bath salts, before use. Commercially
6 available drug checking kits, although limited by the methods used to check the drugs, are an
7 effective strategy to test contents of pills and powders for validity and/or the addition of
8 contaminants or adulterants. The rationale is that if prevention campaigns have failed, this harm
9 reduction strategy could result in more informed user decisions.^{119,137}

10
11 CONCLUSIONS

12
13 The rate of NPS development and emergence is dramatically outpacing our ability to identify and
14 regulate such substances. The UNODC and the DEA agree that NPS will continue to pose a global
15 threat to health, and overdoses, other serious adverse events, and deaths will continue to occur.
16 Agreement also exists around the world that risks need to be highly publicized and education
17 should be directed to correcting the perceptions that these substances are benign. Those who
18 experiment with NPS have the ability to communicate and share experiences rapidly and globally
19 using the Internet. As an example, the chemistry and subjective effects of the SCs contained in
20 “Spice” products were being discussed by users in online forums at least 2 years before they were
21 officially identified and characterized by a laboratory.¹³⁸ Drug overdose deaths in the United States
22 involving synthetic opioid drugs such as fentanyl and carfentanil have more than doubled between
23 2010 and 2015 and are expected to continue increasing.¹³⁹ Continuing progress in eliminating the
24 threat of NPS in the United States will require a comprehensive, multidisciplinary effort.
25 Physicians, public health officials, law enforcement, first responders, and forensic laboratories all
26 need to collaborate to decrease morbidity and mortality related to emerging drugs of abuse. Data
27 systems need to be adaptable and utilized cooperatively by federal, state, and local agencies to
28 derive actionable intelligence, and intelligence must be used in real-time to alert stakeholders of
29 drug-related incidents. The frequent emergence of new NPS with unknown dangers and high death
30 tolls, especially NPS opioids, are a distinct challenge that will require a concerted and coordinated
31 effort and response to improve outcomes.

32
33 RECOMMENDATIONS

34
35 The Council on Science and Public Health recommends that the following be adopted and the
36 remainder of the report be filed:

- 37
38 1. That Policy H-95.940, “Addressing Emerging Trends in Illicit Drug Use,” be amended by
39 addition and deletion as follows:

40
41 Addressing Emerging Trends in Illicit Drug Use

42 Our AMA: (1) recognizes that emerging drugs of abuse, especially new psychoactive
43 substances (NPS), are a public health threat;

44
45 ~~(1)~~(2) supports ongoing efforts of the National Institute on Drug Abuse, the Drug Enforcement
46 Administration, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Department of Justice, the
47 Department of Homeland Security, state departments of health, and poison control centers to
48 assess and monitor emerging trends in illicit drug use, and to develop and disseminate fact
49 sheets, ~~and~~ other educational materials, and public awareness campaigns;

50

- 1 (3) supports a collaborative, multiagency approach to addressing emerging drugs of abuse,
2 including information and data sharing, increased epidemiological surveillance, early warning
3 systems informed by laboratories and epidemiologic surveillance tools, and population driven
4 real-time social media resulting in actionable information to reach stakeholders;
- 5 (4) encourages adequate federal and state funding of agencies tasked with addressing the
6 emerging drug of abuse health threat;
7
- 8 ~~(2)~~ (5) encourages the development of continuing medical education on emerging trends in
9 illicit drug use; and ~~(3)~~
- 10
- 11 (6) supports efforts by the federal, state, and local government agencies to identify new drugs
12 of abuse and to institute the necessary administrative or legislative actions to deem such drugs
13 illegal in an expedited manner. (Modify Current HOD policy)
14
- 15 2. That our AMA participate as a stakeholder in a CDC/DEA taskforce for the development of a
16 national forum for discussion of NPS-related issues. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: \$1,000

REFERENCES

1. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction and Europol. *2016 EU Drug Markets Report: In-depth Analysis*. April 2016.
2. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. *Global Smart Update: Fentanyl and its analogues - 50 years on*. March 2017.
3. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration. *2016 National Drug Threat Assessment Summary*. November 2016. DEA-DCT-DIR-001-17.
4. Mettler K. 'This is unprecedented': 174 heroin overdoses in 6 days in Cincinnati. *Washington Post*. 2016. https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2016/08/29/this-is-unprecedented-174-heroin-overdoses-in-6-days-in-cincinnati/?utm_term=.b7d30cc34c21. Accessed January 12, 2017.
5. Adams AJ, Banister SD, Irizarry L, Trecki J, Schwartz M, Gerona R. "Zombie" Outbreak Caused by the Synthetic Cannabinoid AMB-FUBINACA in New York. *N Engl J Med*. 2016.
6. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. *The International Drug Control Conventions Schedules of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971, as at 13 November 2016*. November 2016. ST/CND/1/Add.2/Rev.2.
7. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. *The International Drug Control Conventions Schedules of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961 as amended by the 1972 Protocol, as at 18 May 2016*. May 2016. ST/CND/1/Add.1/Rev.2.
8. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. *The International Drug Control Conventions Tables of the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988, as at 6 October 2014* October 2014. ST/CND/1/Add.3/Rev.1.
9. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. The EU Early Warning System. 2016; <http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/themes/new-drugs/early-warning>. Accessed January 26, 2017.
10. Office of National Drug Control Policy. *National Drug Control Strategy*. Executive Office of the the President of the United States;2016.
11. Uchiyama N, Asakawa K, Kikura-Hanajiri R, Tsutsumi T, Hakamatsuka T. A new pyrazole-carboxamide type synthetic cannabinoid AB-CHFUPYCA [N-(1-amino-3-methyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-(cyclohexylmethyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide] identified in illegal products. *Forensic Toxicol*. 2015;33(2):367-373.
12. Diao X, Huestis MA. Approaches, Challenges, and Advances in Metabolism of New Synthetic Cannabinoids and Identification of Optimal Urinary Marker Metabolites. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;101(2):239-253.
13. 21 U.S.C. ch. 13 § 801 et seq.
14. National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws. *Neurochemical Approach to Scheduling Novel Psychoactive Substances in the United States*. February 2015.
15. Wood DM, Hill SL, Thomas SH, Dargan PI. Using poisons information service data to assess the acute harms associated with novel psychoactive substances. *Drug Test Anal*. 2014;6(7-8):850-860.
16. Coopman V, Cordonnier J, De Leeuw M, Cirimele V. Ocfentanil overdose fatality in the recreational drug scene. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2016;266:469-473.
17. Dussy FE, Hangartner S, Hamberg C, et al. An Acute Ocfentanil Fatality: A Case Report with Postmortem Concentrations. *J Anal Toxicol*. 2016;40(9):761-766.
18. Elliott SP, Brandt SD, Smith C. The first reported fatality associated with the synthetic opioid 3,4-dichloro-N-[2-(dimethylamino)cyclohexyl]-N-methylbenzamide (U-47700) and implications for forensic analysis. *Drug Test Anal*. 2016;8(8):875-879.

19. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. *AH-7921: Report on the risk assessment of 3,4-dichloro-N-[[1-(dimethylamino)cyclohexyl]methyl]benzamide (AH-7921) in the framework of the Council Decision on new psychoactive substances.* November 2013.
20. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. *MT-45: Report on the risk assessment of MT-45 in the framework of the Council Decision on new psychoactive substances.* September 2014.
21. Fort C, Curtis B, Nichols C, Niblo C. Acetyl Fentanyl Toxicity: Two Case Reports. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2016;40(9):754-757.
22. Kronstrand R, Thelander G, Lindstedt D, Roman M, Kugelberg FC. Fatal intoxications associated with the designer opioid AH-7921. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2014;38(8):599-604.
23. McIntyre IM, Gary RD, Joseph S, Stabley R. A Fatality Related to the Synthetic Opioid U-47700: Postmortem Concentration Distribution. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2016.
24. McIntyre IM, Trochta A, Gary RD, Wright J, Mena O. An Acute Butyr-Fentanyl Fatality: A Case Report with Postmortem Concentrations. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2016;40(2):162-166.
25. Papsun D, Krywaczyk A, Vose JC, Bundock EA, Logan BK. Analysis of MT-45, a Novel Synthetic Opioid, in Human Whole Blood by LC-MS-MS and its Identification in a Drug-Related Death. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2016;40(4):313-317.
26. Poklis J, Poklis A, Wolf C, et al. Two Fatal Intoxications Involving Butyryl Fentanyl. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2016;40(8):703-708.
27. Poklis J, Poklis A, Wolf C, et al. Postmortem tissue distribution of acetyl fentanyl, fentanyl and their respective nor-metabolites analyzed by ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2015;257:435-441.
28. Rojkiewicz M, Majchrzak M, Celinski R, Kus P, Sajewicz M. Identification and physicochemical characterization of 4-fluorobutyrfentanyl (1-((4-fluorophenyl)(1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)butan-1-one, 4-FBF) in seized materials and post-mortem biological samples. *Drug Test Anal.* 2016.
29. Staeheli SN, Baumgartner MR, Gauthier S, et al. Time-dependent postmortem redistribution of butyrfentanyl and its metabolites in blood and alternative matrices in a case of butyrfentanyl intoxication. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2016;266:170-177.
30. Takase I, Koizumi T, Fujimoto I, Yanai A, Fujimiya T. An autopsy case of acetyl fentanyl intoxication caused by insufflation of 'designer drugs'. *Leg Med (Tokyo).* 2016;21:38-44.
31. Vorce SP, Knittel JL, Holler JM, et al. A fatality involving AH-7921. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2014;38(4):226-230.
32. Mohr AL, Friscia M, Papsun D, Kacinko SL, Buzby D, Logan BK. Analysis of Novel Synthetic Opioids U-47700, U-50488 and Furanyl Fentanyl by LC-MS/MS in Postmortem Casework. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2016;40(9):709-717.
33. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. *EMCDDA–Europol Joint Report on a new psychoactive substance: N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenylethyl)piperidin-4-yl]acetamide (acetylfentanyl).* April 2014.
34. Rudd RA, Seth P, David F, Scholl L. Increases in Drug and Opioid-Involved Overdose Deaths — United States, 2010–2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016.
35. Lozier MJ, Boyd M, Stanley C, et al. Acetyl Fentanyl, a Novel Fentanyl Analog, Causes 14 Overdose Deaths in Rhode Island, March-May 2013. *J Med Toxicol.* 2015;11(2):208-217.
36. Tomassoni A, Hawk K, Jubanyik K, et al. Multiple Fentanyl Overdoses — New Haven, Connecticut, June 23, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2017;66(4):107-111.
37. County of Sacramento Health and Human Services Department. *Drug overdose health alert: fentanyl-contaminated street Norco.* March 25 2016.
38. Thomas M. Fentanyl: The Drug That's Ravaging Sacramento. *Pacific Standard.* 2016. <https://psmag.com/fentanyl-the-drug-that-s-ravaging-sacramento-70274ad409b5>. Accessed March 30, 2017.

39. Vo KT, van Wijk XM, Lynch KL, Wu AH, Smollin CG. Counterfeit Norco Poisoning Outbreak - San Francisco Bay Area, California, March 25-April 5, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65(16):420-423.
40. Feasel MG, Wohlfarth A, Nilles JM, Pang S, Kristovich RL, Huestis MA. Metabolism of Carfentanil, an Ultra-Potent Opioid, in Human Liver Microsomes and Human Hepatocytes by High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Aaps j.* 2016;18(6):1489-1499.
41. Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section Office of Diversion Control Drug Enforcement Administration. U-47700: *Background Information and Evaluation of 'Three Factor Analysis' (Factors 4, 5 and 6) for Temporary Scheduling.* November 2016.
42. UK Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (NPS Committee). U-47,700: *A review of the evidence of use and harm.* December 2016.
43. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration Public Affairs. DEA Report: Counterfeit Pills Fueling U.S. Fentanyl and Opioid Crisis. *Headquarters News.* 2016. <https://www.dea.gov/divisions/hq/2016/hq072216.shtml>. Accessed February 9, 2017.
44. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration Public Affairs. DEA Issues Carfentanil Warning to Police and Public. *Headquarters News.* 2016. <https://www.dea.gov/divisions/hq/2016/hq092216.shtml>. Accessed February 9, 2017.
45. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration Public Affairs. Acting Administrator Chuck Rosenberg Meets with Drug Control Officials in China. *Headquarters News.* 2017. <https://www.dea.gov/divisions/hq/2017/hq011317.shtml>. Accessed February 9, 2017.
46. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration Public Affairs. U.S. and Chinese Drug Enforcement Agencies Meet on Synthetic Opioid Efforts. *Headquarters News.* 2016. <https://www.dea.gov/divisions/hq/2016/hq092916.shtml>. Accessed February 9, 2017.
47. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration Special Testing and Research Laboratory. *Emerging Threat Report: Annual 2016.* 2016.
48. Vardanyan RS, Hrubby VJ. Fentanyl-related compounds and derivatives: current status and future prospects for pharmaceutical applications. *Future Med Chem.* 2014;6(4):385-412.
49. McLaughlin K. Underground labs in China are devising potent new opiates faster than authorities can respond. March 29, 2017: <http://www.sciencemag.org/news/2017/03/underground-labs-china-are-devising-potent-new-opiates-faster-authorities-can-respond>. Accessed April 3, 2017.
50. Peace MR, Krakowiak RI, Wolf CE, Poklis A, Poklis JL. Identification of MDMB-FUBINACA in commercially available e-liquid formulations sold for use in electronic cigarettes. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2017;271:92-97.
51. Springer YP, Gerona R, Scheunemann E, et al. Increase in Adverse Reactions Associated with Use of Synthetic Cannabinoids - Anchorage, Alaska, 2015-2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65(40):1108-1111.
52. Schwartz MD, Trecki J, Edison LA, Steck AR, Arnold JK, Gerona RR. A Common Source Outbreak of Severe Delirium Associated with Exposure to the Novel Synthetic Cannabinoid ADB-PINACA. *J Emerg Med.* 2015;48(5):573-580.
53. Huestis MA, Tyndale RF. Designer Drugs 2.0. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2017;101(2):152-157.
54. Le Boisselier R, Alexandre J, Lelong-Boulouard V, Debruyne D. Focus on cannabinoids and synthetic cannabinoids. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2017;101(2):220-229.
55. Debruyne D, Le Boisselier R. Emerging drugs of abuse: current perspectives on synthetic cannabinoids. *Subst Abuse Rehabil.* 2015;6:113-129.
56. Hess C, Schoeder CT, Pillaiyar T, Madea B, Muller CE. Pharmacological evaluation of synthetic cannabinoids identified as constituents of spice. *Forensic Toxicol.* 2016;34:329-343.
57. Rabin RA, George TP. Understanding the Link Between Cannabinoids and Psychosis. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2017;101(2):197-199.

58. Tait RJ, Caldicott D, Mountain D, Hill SL, Lenton S. A systematic review of adverse events arising from the use of synthetic cannabinoids and their associated treatment. *Clinical Toxicology*. 2016;54(1):1-13.
59. Castaneto MS, Gorelick DA, Desrosiers NA, Hartman RL, Pirard S, Huestis MA. Synthetic cannabinoids: epidemiology, pharmacodynamics, and clinical implications. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2014;144:12-41.
60. Panlilio LV, Goldberg SR, Justinova Z. Cannabinoid abuse and addiction: Clinical and preclinical findings. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;97(6):616-627.
61. Kemp AM, Clark MS, Dobbs T, Galli R, Sherman J, Cox R. Top 10 Facts You Need to Know About Synthetic Cannabinoids: Not So Nice Spice. *Am J Med*. 2016;129(3):240-244.e241.
62. Cooper ZD. Adverse Effects of Synthetic Cannabinoids: Management of Acute Toxicity and Withdrawal. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2016;18(5):52.
63. Ford BM, Tai S, Fantegrossi WE, Prather PL. Synthetic Pot: Not Your Grandfather's Marijuana. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*.
64. Baumeister D, Tojo LM, Tracy DK. Legal highs: staying on top of the flood of novel psychoactive substances. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol*. 2015;5(2):97-132.
65. Liechti M. Novel psychoactive substances (designer drugs): overview and pharmacology of modulators of monoamine signaling. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2015;145:w14043.
66. Karila L, Megarbane B, Cottencin O, Lejoyeux M. Synthetic Cathinones: A New Public Health Problem. *Curr Neuropharmacol*. 2015;13(1):12-20.
67. Schifano F, Orsolini L, Duccio Papanti G, Corkery JM. Novel psychoactive substances of interest for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*. 2015;14(1):15-26.
68. Fleckenstein AE, Kopajtic TA, Boja JW, Carroll FI, Kuhar MJ. Highly potent cocaine analogs cause long-lasting increases in locomotor activity. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 1996;311(2-3):109-114.
69. Walton AG. Synthetic Drug 'Bath Salts' Trumps Methamphetamine In Addictiveness, Study Finds. *Forbes*. 2013. <http://www.forbes.com/sites/alicegwalton/2013/07/10/synthetic-drug-bath-salts-trumps-methamphetamine-in-addictiveness-study-finds/#d7dca65b5cbb>. Accessed February 6, 2017.
70. Fox 61 Staff. The dangers of bath salts. *Fox 61*. 2016. <http://fox61.com/2016/06/28/the-dangers-of-bath-salts/>. Accessed February 6, 2017.
71. Glatter R. Flakka: The New Designer Drug You Need To Know About. *Forbes*. 2015. <http://whhttp://www.forbes.com/sites/robertglatter/2015/04/04/flakka-the-new-drug-you-need-to-know-about/#238d7ccf20bf>. Accessed February 6, 2017.
72. Watterson LR, Olive MF. Synthetic cathinones and their rewarding and reinforcing effects in rodents. *Adv Neurosci (Hindawi)*. 2014;2014:209875.
73. Paillet-Loilier M, Cesbron A, Le Boisselier R, Bourguine J, Debruyne D. Emerging drugs of abuse: current perspectives on substituted cathinones. *Subst Abuse Rehabil*. 2014;5:37-52.
74. Miliano C, Serpelloni G, Rimondo C, Mereu M, Marti M, De Luca MA. Neuropharmacology of New Psychoactive Substances (NPS): Focus on the Rewarding and Reinforcing Properties of Cannabimimetics and Amphetamine-Like Stimulants. *Front Neurosci*. 2016;10:153.
75. Simmler LD, Buser TA, Donzelli M, et al. Pharmacological characterization of designer cathinones in vitro. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2013;168(2):458-470.
76. Grigoriadis V. Travels in the New Psychedelic Bazaar. *New York*. 2013. <http://nymag.com/news/features/synthetic-drugs-2013-4/>. Accessed April 7, 2013.
77. Brandt SD, Kavanagh PV, Westphal F, et al. Return of the lysergamides. Part I: Analytical and behavioural characterization of 1-propionyl-d-lysergic acid diethylamide (1P-LSD). *Drug Test Anal*. 2016;8(9):891-902.

78. Brandt SD, Kavanagh PV, Westphal F, et al. Return of the lysergamides. Part II: Analytical and behavioural characterization of N6 -allyl-6-norlysergic acid diethylamide (AL-LAD) and (2'S,4'S)-lysergic acid 2,4-dimethylazetidide (LSZ). *Drug Test Anal.* 2017;9(1):38-50.
79. Erowid Users. Erowid Experience Vault. 2017; <https://erowid.org/experiences/>. Accessed February 9, 2017.
80. Bluelight Users. Bluelight. 2017; <http://www.bluelight.org/vb/content/>. Accessed February 9, 2017.
81. Shulgin A, Shulgin A. *Pihkal: A Chemical Love Story*. Transform Press; 1995.
82. Shulgin A, Shulgin A. *Tihkal: The Continuation*. Transform Press; 1997.
83. Musselman ME, Hampton JP. "Not for human consumption": a review of emerging designer drugs. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2014;34(7):745-757.
84. Morris H, Wallach J. From PCP to MXE: a comprehensive review of the non-medical use of dissociative drugs. *Drug Test Anal.* 2014;6(7-8):614-632.
85. Wallach J, Kang H, Colestock T, et al. Pharmacological Investigations of the Dissociative 'Legal Highs' Diphenidine, Methoxphenidine and Analogues. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(6):e0157021.
86. Roth BL, Gibbons S, Arunotayanun W, et al. The ketamine analogue methoxetamine and 3- and 4-methoxy analogues of phencyclidine are high affinity and selective ligands for the glutamate NMDA receptor. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(3):e59334.
87. Shields JE, Dargan PI, Wood DM, Puchnarewicz M, Davies S, Waring WS. Methoxetamine associated reversible cerebellar toxicity: three cases with analytical confirmation. *Clin Toxicol (Phila).* 2012;50(5):438-440.
88. Moosmann B, Bisel P, Franz F, Huppertz LM, Auwarter V. Characterization and in vitro phase I microsomal metabolism of designer benzodiazepines - an update comprising adinazolam, cloniprazepam, fonazepam, 3-hydroxyphenazepam, metizolam and nitrazolam. *J Mass Spectrom.* 2016;51(11):1080-1089.
89. UK Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (NPS Committee). *Designer Benzodiazepines: A review of the evidence of use and harms.* December 2016.
90. Moosmann B, Hutter M, Huppertz LM, Ferlaino S, Redlingshöfer L, Auwärter V. Characterization of the designer benzodiazepine pyrazolam and its detectability in human serum and urine. *Forensic Toxicol.* 2013;31(2):263-271.
91. Moosmann B, Huppertz LM, Hutter M, Buchwald A, Ferlaino S, Auwarter V. Detection and identification of the designer benzodiazepine flubromazepam and preliminary data on its metabolism and pharmacokinetics. *J Mass Spectrom.* 2013;48(11):1150-1159.
92. Moosmann B, King LA, Auwarter V. Designer benzodiazepines: A new challenge. *World Psychiatry.* 2015;14(2):248.
93. Casale J, Hays P. The Characterization of Etaqualone and Differentiation from its 3- and 4-Ethyl Analogues. *Microgram Journal.* 2012;9(2):47-51.
94. Prozialeck WC. Update on the Pharmacology and Legal Status of Kratom. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* 2016;116(12):802-809.
95. Pinney Associates. *Assessment of Kratom under the CSA Eight Factors and Scheduling Recommendation.* November 28 2016.
96. Kruegel AC, Gassaway MM, Kapoor A, et al. Synthetic and Receptor Signaling Explorations of the Mitragyna Alkaloids: Mitragynine as an Atypical Molecular Framework for Opioid Receptor Modulators. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016;138(21):6754-6764.
97. Kronstrand R, Roman M, Thelander G, Eriksson A. Unintentional fatal intoxications with mitragynine and O-desmethyltramadol from the herbal blend Krypton. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2011;35(4):242-247.
98. Lydecker AG, Sharma A, McCurdy CR, Avery BA, Babu KM, Boyer EW. Suspected Adulteration of Commercial Kratom Products with 7-Hydroxymitragynine. *J Med Toxicol.* 2016;12(4):341-349.

99. Trakulsrichai S, Sathirakul K, Auparakkitanon S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of mitragynine in man. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2015;9:2421-2429.
100. Bouso JC, Gonzalez D, Fondevila S, et al. Personality, psychopathology, life attitudes and neuropsychological performance among ritual users of Ayahuasca: a longitudinal study. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(8):e42421.
101. Dominguez-Clave E, Soler J, Elices M, et al. Ayahuasca: Pharmacology, neuroscience and therapeutic potential. *Brain Res Bull.* 2016;126(Pt 1):89-101.
102. Tupper KW. The globalization of ayahuasca: harm reduction or benefit maximization? *Int J Drug Policy.* 2008;19(4):297-303.
103. Lanaro R, Calemi DB, Togni LR, et al. Ritualistic Use of Ayahuasca versus Street Use of Similar Substances Seized by the Police: A Key Factor Involved in the Potential for Intoxications and Overdose? *J Psychoactive Drugs.* 2015;47(2):132-139.
104. Kuypers KP, Riba J, de la Fuente Revenga M, Barker S, Theunissen EL, Ramaekers JG. Ayahuasca enhances creative divergent thinking while decreasing conventional convergent thinking. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2016;233(18):3395-3403.
105. Frecska E, Bokor P, Winkelman M. The Therapeutic Potentials of Ayahuasca: Possible Effects against Various Diseases of Civilization. *Front Pharmacol.* 2016;7:35.
106. Harel Z, Harel S, Wald R, Mamdani M, Bell CM. The frequency and characteristics of dietary supplement recalls in the United States. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(10):926-928.
107. Rasmussen N, Keizers PH. History full circle: 'Novel' sympathomimetics in supplements. *Drug Test Anal.* 2016;8(3-4):283-286.
108. Cohen PA, Travis JC, Venhuis BJ. A synthetic stimulant never tested in humans, 1,3-dimethylbutylamine (DMBA), is identified in multiple dietary supplements. *Drug Test Anal.* 2015;7(1):83-87.
109. Foley S, Butlin E, Shields W, Lacey B. Experience with OxyELITE pro and acute liver injury in active duty service members. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2014;59(12):3117-3121.
110. Austin KG, Travis J, Pace G, Lieberman HR. Analysis of 1,3 dimethylamylamine concentrations in Geraniaceae, geranium oil and dietary supplements. *Drug Test Anal.* 2014;6(7-8):797-804.
111. Gregory PJ. Availability of DMAA supplements despite US Food and Drug Administration action. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(2):164-165.
112. Gee P, Tallon C, Long N, Moore G, Boet R, Jackson S. Use of recreational drug 1,3 Dimethylamylamine (DMAA) [corrected] associated with cerebral hemorrhage. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2012;60(4):431-434.
113. Cohen PA. DMAA as a dietary supplement ingredient. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012;172(13):1038-1039.
114. Cohen PA, Bloszies C, Yee C, Gerona R. An amphetamine isomer whose efficacy and safety in humans has never been studied, beta-methylphenylethylamine (BMPEA), is found in multiple dietary supplements. *Drug Test Anal.* 2016;8(3-4):328-333.
115. Pawar RS, Grundel E, Fardin-Kia AR, Rader JI. Determination of selected biogenic amines in *Acacia rigidula* plant materials and dietary supplements using LC-MS/MS methods. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2014;88:457-466.
116. Cohen PA, Travis JC, Venhuis BJ. A methamphetamine analog (N,alpha-diethylphenylethylamine) identified in a mainstream dietary supplement. *Drug Test Anal.* 2013.
117. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. *World Drug Report.* May 2016.
118. Aldridge J, Decary-Hetu D. Hidden wholesale: The drug diffusing capacity of online drug cryptomarkets. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2016;35:7-15.
119. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. *Health responses to new psychoactive substances.* June 2016.
120. Frank RG, Pollack HA. Addressing the Fentanyl Threat to Public Health. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2017;376(7):605-607.

121. Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists. *State-level Substance Abuse Epidemiology Capacity*. 2008.
122. Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists. *2013 National Assessment of Epidemiology Capacity*. December 2014.
123. National Drug Early Warning System. National Drug Early Warning System (NDEWS). 2017; <https://ndews.umd.edu/>. Accessed February 14, 2017.
124. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration Special Testing and Research Laboratory. *Emerging Threat Report: Fourth Quarter 2016*. 2016.
125. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration Special Testing and Research Laboratory. *Emerging Threat Report: Third Quarter 2016*. 2016.
126. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration National Forensic Laboratory Information System. *2015 Annual Report*. September 2016.
127. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration National Forensic Laboratory Information System. *Special Report: 2C-Phenethylamines, Piperazines, and Tryptamines Reported in NFLIS, 2011–2015*. January 2017.
128. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration National Forensic Laboratory Information System. *NFLIS Brief: Fentanyl, 2001–2015*. March 2017.
129. American College of Emergency Physicians Public Health & Injury Prevention Committee. *Synthetic Drug Overdose An Information Paper*. June 2015.
130. U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. Department of Homeland Security. *Fusion Center Guidelines: Developing and Sharing Information and Intelligence in a New Era*. August 2006.
131. U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. Department of Homeland Security. *Health Security: Public Health and Medical Integration for Fusion Centers*. July 2011.
132. U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. Department of Homeland Security. *Baseline Capabilities for State and Major Urban Area Fusion Centers*. September 2008.
133. Association of State and Territorial Health Officials. *Authorities and Limitations in Sharing Information Between Public Health Agencies and Law Enforcement*. 2012; <http://www.astho.org/Programs/Preparedness/Public-Health-Emergency-Law/Public-Health-and-Information-Sharing-Toolkit/Authorities-and-Limitations-in-Sharing-Information-Issue-Brief/>. Accessed January 4, 2017.
134. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Public Health Preparedness Capabilities: National Standards for State and Local Planning*. Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; March 2011.
135. Polhemus A. Regional Drug Monitoring Initiative: An Intelligence Capability for Public Health & Public Safety Partners. 2016. Accessed January 24, 2017.
136. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). *Facing Addiction in America: The Surgeon General's Report on Alcohol, Drugs, and Health*. Washington, DC: HHS: Office of the Surgeon General; November 2016.
137. Patterson ZR, Young MM, Vaccarino FJ. Novel psychoactive substances: What educators need to know. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;101(2):173-175.
138. Griffiths P, Sedefov R, Gallegos A, Lopez D. How globalization and market innovation challenge how we think about and respond to drug use: 'Spice' a case study. *Addiction*. 2010;105(6):951-953.
139. Hedegaard H, Warner M, Miniño A. *Drug overdose deaths in the United States, 1999–2015*. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. February 2017.

Table 1. New psychoactive substance opioids, synthetic cannabinoids, stimulants, hallucinogens, CNS depressants, and other substances.^{48,55,64,65,84,89}

	Opioids	Synthetic Cannabinoids	Stimulants	Hallucinogens	CNS Depressants	Others
Sub-category			Synthetic Cathinones (amphetamine-like) ¹ Empathogen-entactogens (MDMA-like) ² Methylphenidate-like ³ Cocaine-like ⁴	Psychedelics (LSD-like) ⁵ Dissociatives (PCP-like) ⁶	Benzodiazepine-like	Plants/Extracts ⁷
Selected Examples	Acetylfentanyl Acryloylfentanyl AH-7912 Butyr-Fentanyl Carfentanil Furanyl Fentanyl MT-45 Ocfentanil U-47,700 U-50488 W-15 W-18	5F-ADB-PINACA 5F-PB22 ADB-FUBINACA AM-2201 CP-47,497 FUB-NPB-22 FUB-PB-22 HU-210 JWH-018 JWH-201 NNEI RCS-4 UR-144 XLR-11	2-DPMP ³ 3-FMC ¹ 5-APB ² 6-APB ² Alpha-PVP ¹ Butylone ¹ BZP ¹ Ethylphenidate ³ Flephedrone ¹ m-CPP ² MDAI ² MDPV ¹ Mephedrone ^{1,2} Methcathinone ¹ Methylone ^{1,2} Naphyrone ¹ PMA ^{1,2} RTI-111 ⁴ TFMPP ²	"Fly" drugs (Bromo-dragonfly) ⁵ 1P-LSD ⁵ 2C-series ^{1,5} 2-MeO-diphenidine ⁶ 2-MK ⁶ 3-MeO-PCE ⁶ 3-MeO-PCPy ⁶ 4-MeO-PCP ⁶ 5-MeO-Dalt ⁵ AMT ⁵ Diphenidine ⁶ DiPT ⁵ DMT ⁵ Ephenidine ⁶ LSZ ⁵ Methoxetamine ⁶ Methoxydine ⁶ NBOMe series ^{1,5} N-EK ⁶	3-Hydroxyphenazepam 4'-Chlorodiazepam Adinazolam Bromazolam Clonazolam Cloniprazepam Deschloroetizolam Diclazepam Etizolam Flubromazepam Flubromazolam Fonazepam Iso-flubromazepam Meclonazepam Metizolam Nifoxipam Nitrazolam Phenazepam Pyrazolam	Ayahuasca ⁷ <i>Catha edulis</i> (Khat) ⁷ Etaqualone Kratom (mitragynine) ⁷
Common Street Names		Black Mamba Crazy Clown K2 Scooby Snax Spice	Bath Salts Flakka Meow Meow Sextacy (MDPV) Vanilla Sky	Benzo Fury Cimbi-5 N-bomb (NBOMe-series) Smiles	Bonsai	
Site(s) of action	MOR (primarily)	CB ₁ and CB ₂	NET, DAT, SERT	5-HT GPCRs ⁵	GABA _A Receptor	Various
Mechanism(s) of Action	Agonist	Full receptor agonists Active metabolites	Inhibit MOA reuptake transporters and increase amount of NT present; Ratio of NTs present influences drug action	NMDA Receptor ⁶ Agonism or partial agonism of 5-HT _{2A/2C} ; agonism of 5-HT _{2C} and 5-HT _{1A} ⁵ Uncompetitive antagonists ⁶	Agonist	Various

MDMA, 3,4-methylenedioxy-methamphetamine; LSD, d-lysergic acid diethylamide; PCP, phencyclidine; MOR, mu-opioid receptor; CB₁, cannabinoid receptor 1; CB₂, cannabinoid receptor 2; NET, norepinephrine transporter; DAT, dopamine transporter; SERT, serotonin transporter; MOA, monoamine; NT, neurotransmitter; 5-HT, serotonin; GPCR, G-Protein coupled receptor; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; GABA_A, gamma-aminobutyric acid

Appendix 1: Overview of the New Jersey Drug Monitoring Initiative (DMI).



An Intelligence Capability to Understand New Jersey’s Drug Environment

Drug Monitoring Initiative (DMI) Overview

Heroin and opiate use in New Jersey has increased exponentially in recent years. The high rate of addiction drives the increased demand for both heroin and prescription painkillers, and recent statistics identify an increase in illicit heroin and opiate use, seizures, and deaths. During 2013, the State Medical Examiner’s Office recorded 1,336 fatal drug overdoses. This common scenario has indiscriminately played out in New Jersey and across the country, affecting all races, genders, age groups, and social classes.

The New Jersey State Police developed DMI in response to this situation and to understand the scope of the problem through continuous monitoring of drug activity statewide. The DMI intelligence capability establishes a drug information sharing environment that enables law enforcement, human services, and public health experts to better understand trends, patterns, implications, and threats from illicit drug activity having an impact on specific locations statewide. DMI gathers investigative and administrative data, both on the supply side and the demand side, to develop a 360-degree view of the State’s drug environment. The analysis is used to produce intelligence products for partners across state and local agencies and non-profit organizations. This process enables intelligence-led policing and investigative support for law enforcement and intelligence-led outreach for treatment and prevention efforts.

Collection Process

Various agencies collect drug data needed to interpret New Jersey’s illicit drug environment. DMI leverages the existing people, processes, and platforms through an information sharing network which directs essential drug data sets to DMI for storage, analysis, production, and sharing. DMI leverages the following entities, which provide the respective data elements through data-sharing agreements and in a de-identified fashion, where appropriate:

- State Police and county forensic laboratories – all analyzed drug data
- NJ Department of Health (DOH) – EMS Narcan deployments
- County Prosecutor’s Offices – Narcan deployments by law enforcement
- State Medical Examiner’s Office – Drug involved death data
- NJ Mental Health and Addiction Services – Patient admissions and drug use data
- Automated Fingerprint Information System – Daily drug arrest data
- Prescription Drug Monitoring Program – Collected transactional data

Production

All of the information allowed to be shared is normalized and uploaded to the Project Safe Neighborhood Mapping Program, where it is stored, geo-coded, mapped, and made available to law enforcement, human services, and health partners via MAGLOCLEN’s RissNet portal. DMI analysts use this information to provide:

- 1) Investigative support for strict liability cases and other drug investigations.
- 2) Situational awareness through the following products:
 - Daily Drug Environment Report – Heroin stamps seized and involved in overdoses are included in this report along with opiate pills seized in NJ.
 - Ad hoc Alerts – The NJ ROIC provides heroin overdose alerts, new and emerging drug notifications, and drug environment products from New Jersey and other regional DMI partners.

Training and Outreach

To increase drug awareness and information sharing, DMI developed the:

- 1) Monthly Conference Call – Brings together law enforcement, health partners, fusion centers and other entities to share information pertaining to drug trends in different areas of the country.
- 2) Basic Drug Recognition Course – Law enforcement, fire service, EMS, and health partners learn about drugs, trends, identifiers, and how to collect and share drug-related information.



DMI Established Best Practices

- Facilitates collaboration among diverse multidisciplinary entities to address the drug problem
- Uses automated drug data collection processes to ensure a timely exchange of information
- Desensitizes information to ensure seamless and transparent information sharing
- Derives intelligence from all investigative and administrative drug data
- Incorporates subject matter experts from various disciplines into the drug intelligence production process
- Supports narcotic investigations and overdose strict liability cases
- Employs the Journey-to-Drugs methodology to understand a drug's impact on local areas
- Coordinates collection, analysis, and mapping of drug-incident data statewide
- Facilitates expedited analysis of drugs seized through forensic labs
- Uses empirical data as opposed to survey data to understand the drug environment
- Provides drug training for law enforcement, fire service, and EMS personnel
- Provides drug situational awareness for all constituents
- Tracks Naloxone administrations by law enforcement and EMS statewide to identify potential spikes in drug overdoses
- Provides real time alerts to the public, law enforcement, and healthcare partners of spikes in drug overdoses occurring in specific areas
- Creates & leverages a network of existing people, platforms, and processes

For more information on the Drug Monitoring Initiative, contact Sgt. Adam Polhemus at lpp6422@gw.nsjp.org or call 609-414-3356.