

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Objective: To summarize the current state of knowledge on the use of serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRIs) during pregnancy.

Methods: English-language reports on studies using human subjects were selected from a MEDLINE search of the literature from 1995 to April 2007 using the terms “serotonin uptake inhibitors/*therapeutic use/*adverse effects,” in combination with “pregnancy,” “pregnancy trimester, first,” “pregnancy complications,” “depression/*drug therapy,” “pregnancy, maternal exposure/*adverse effects,” “infant/newborn,” “abnormalities, drug induced,” “prenatal exposure delayed effects/epidemiology,” and “teratogens.” In addition, the Cochrane Central Controlled Trials Register was searched using the terms “paroxetine,” “fluoxetine,” “sertraline,” “fluvoxamine,” “citalopram,” and “venlafaxine,” and “pregnancy.” Web sites of the American Academy of Pediatrics, Food and Drug Administration, American Psychiatric Association, and American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists also were searched for documents relevant to the use of SRIs in pregnancy. A total of 268 articles were retrieved for analysis. When high-quality systematic reviews and meta-analyses were identified, they formed the basis for evaluative statements about safety and efficacy. Additional articles were identified by manual review of the references cited in these publications.

Results: Except for paroxetine, prenatal exposure to SRIs in the first trimester is not a risk factor for major congenital malformations. Data are conflicting on whether SRI exposure increases the risk of premature delivery or decreases age-appropriate birth weight. Exposure to paroxetine modestly increases the risk for congenital and certain cardiac malformations, perhaps in a dose dependent fashion. Third trimester exposure to SRIs may increase the risk of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn, and the occurrence of a neonatal behavioral syndrome with central nervous system, respiratory, gastrointestinal, and motor signs. These symptoms may be attributable either to drug withdrawal or serotonin toxicity. Long-term neurobehavioral effects are not apparent.

Conclusion: Untreated depression during pregnancy is associated with obstetrical complications and infant behavioral abnormalities. Use of SRIs in the third trimester is associated with various perinatal complications that generally are self-limiting and resolve with supportive care. Further studies are needed to establish the actual frequency of these complication, whether the symptoms represent excessive serotonergic effects or are a manifestation of drug discontinuation, and whether tapering of the antidepressant late in pregnancy is an appropriate clinical maneuver to protect infants without triggering relapse in the mother or an increase in the incidence of postpartum depression. However, if the mother is treated with SRIs, the neonate should be monitored for possible adverse effects, including during the immediate period after release from the hospital.

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND PUBLIC HEALTH

CSAPH Report 13-A-07

Subject: Use of Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors in Pregnancy

Presented by: Mohamed K. Khan, MD, PhD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee E
(Paul C. Matson, MD, Chair)

1 Introduction

2
3 Resolution 519 (A-06), introduced by the American Academy of Child and Adolescent
4 Psychiatry, American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), American Psychiatric Association (APA),
5 and the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law, and adopted as amended, asks that our
6 American Medical Association (AMA) work with all appropriate specialty societies to prepare a
7 report summarizing the research on the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)
8 during pregnancy and to promulgate appropriate guidelines concerning the treatment of
9 depression during pregnancy.

10
11 During preparation of this report, the Council learned that the APA and the American College of
12 Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) were collaborating to develop guidance on the use of
13 antidepressants in pregnancy. Therefore, as requested, this report summarizes the research on the
14 use of SSRIs during pregnancy, but defers any action on clinical practice guidelines for the
15 treatment of depression during pregnancy until the APA and ACOG complete their collaborative
16 effort.

17
18 Selective serotonin/norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs; eg, venlafaxine, duloxetine) also
19 may be associated with some of the same effects as SSRIs. Accordingly, “SRI” has been used in
20 some places in this report to refer to the combined class of SSRIs and SNRIs, where appropriate.

21
22 Physicians are faced with various scenarios in managing women of childbearing age. They (1)
23 engage in preconception consultations with patients who have depression or other psychiatric
24 disorders (eg, generalized anxiety, panic, obsessive-compulsive disorder) and are currently being
25 treated with an SRI; (2) advise patients who are taking SRIs in the early weeks of an unplanned
26 pregnancy; (3) must manage pregnant patients who relapse after choosing to discontinue SRI
27 therapy; and (4) treat patients who first experience a depressive episode or other psychiatric
28 disorder when pregnant. Decision-making is complicated by the requirement to estimate risk for
29 the mother and the infant, a task that is further complicated by the fact that depression and anxiety
30 are themselves risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes. This report assesses some of the
31 domains relevant to the decision-making process for these patients.

32 Methods

33
34
35 English-language reports on studies using human subjects were selected from a MEDLINE search
36 of the literature from 1995 to April 2007 using the terms “serotonin uptake inhibitors/*therapeutic
37 use/*adverse effects,” in combination with “pregnancy,” “pregnancy trimester, first,” “pregnancy

1 complications,” “depression/*drug therapy,” “pregnancy, maternal exposure/*adverse effects,”
2 “infant/newborn,” “abnormalities, drug induced,” “prenatal exposure delayed
3 effects/epidemiology,” and “teratogens.” In addition, the Cochrane Central Controlled Trials
4 Register was searched using the terms “paroxetine,” “fluoxetine,” “sertraline,” “fluvoxamine,”
5 “citalopram,” and “venlafaxine,” and “pregnancy.” Web sites of the AAP, Food and Drug
6 Administration (FDA), APA, and ACOG also were searched for documents relevant to the use of
7 SRIs in pregnancy. A total of 268 articles were retrieved for analysis. When high-quality
8 systematic reviews and meta-analyses were identified, they formed the basis for evaluative
9 statements about safety and efficacy. Additional articles were identified by manual review of the
10 references cited in these publications.

11 Depression During Pregnancy

12
13
14 Mood disorders are twice as common in women as in men. Estimates of lifetime risk in
15 community samples have varied from 10% to 25%, with the peak prevalence between 25 and 44
16 years of age.^{1,2} Pregnancy does not protect women from depression or other psychiatric disorders
17 (eg, generalized anxiety, panic disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder) that may be treated with
18 SRIs.³⁻⁵ Furthermore, women with recurrent major depression who discontinue antidepressant
19 medications proximate to pregnancy have a 5-fold higher risk of relapse compared with those
20 who maintain their antidepressant medications.⁶

21
22 The occurrence of (untreated) mood disorders during pregnancy is a significant risk factor for
23 maternal morbidity and adverse pregnancy outcomes. The effects of depression on the fetus may
24 be mediated by alteration in feto-maternal physiology, or indirectly by depression-associated
25 changes in maternal behavior leading to neglect of prenatal care; poor nutritional habits;
26 emergence of suicidal ideation; and higher rates of smoking, alcohol use, and other substance
27 abuse. Depression during pregnancy is associated with elevated rates of spontaneous abortion,
28 preterm delivery, intrauterine growth retardation/lower birth weight, and pre-eclampsia.⁷⁻¹²
29 Significant and ongoing perinatal maternal stress may “program” aberrant stress responses in
30 neonates, triggering various neurobehavioral effects that may persist after birth. When maternal
31 depression extends into, or emerges, in the postpartum period, infants demonstrate lower
32 cognitive abilities; delayed language development; altered emotional regulation; and impaired
33 social interactions, including aberrant attachment behaviors.¹³ The effects of postpartum
34 depression are relevant to the current discussion because the strongest predictor of postpartum
35 depression is presence of depression and anxiety during pregnancy.

36 Developmental Effects of Fetal Exposure to SRIs

37
38
39 Teratogenesis. Prior to 2005, 3 prospective cohort studies, 2 studies utilizing birth registries, and
40 2 meta-analyses concluded that prenatal SSRI exposure is not a significant risk factor for major
41 malformations, although one of the cohort studies did find a higher incidence of minor anomaly
42 clustering among infants with first-trimester fluoxetine exposure.¹⁴⁻²⁰ In contrast to the large
43 number of studies concluding that early exposure to SSRIs is safe, a recent population-based
44 cohort study in Denmark found an increased risk of congenital malformations after exposure to
45 SSRIs in early pregnancy. This study linked prescription records with the Danish Medical Birth
46 Registry and hospital discharge registries. Specific SSRIs were not analyzed separately, and no
47 information was provided on the underlying psychiatric or disease status of registrants.²¹ Two
48 recent analyses using the Swedish Birth Register of infants born between 1985 and 2004 and the
49 Canadian (Quebec) Medication and Pregnancy Register of all pregnancies between 1997 and June
50 2003 confirmed that use of SSRIs (in general) in early pregnancy is not a major risk factor for
51 infant malformations.^{22,23}

1 Cardiac Malformations. Although the Swedish and Quebec studies confirmed the overall safety
 2 of SSRIs, they found an association between paroxetine use and congenital malformations and/or
 3 infant cardiovascular defects, notably ventricular and atrial septum defects.^{22,23} In the Quebec
 4 study, this effect was only evident at paroxetine dosages above 25 mg daily.²³ These findings on
 5 paroxetine are in agreement with 2 unpublished reports of company-sponsored studies using a
 6 managed care claims database, and a study using Swedish national registry data.²⁴⁻²⁶ In these
 7 studies, women who received paroxetine in early pregnancy had approximately a 2-fold increased
 8 risk for giving birth to an infant with a cardiac defect compared with all infant registrants, a 1.5-
 9 fold increased risk compared with infants of women who received antidepressants other than
 10 paroxetine (1.5% vs 1%), and a 1.8-fold increased risk for congenital malformations overall
 11 compared with infants of women who received other antidepressants in the first trimester. Based
 12 on these results, the FDA required that paroxetine be reclassified as Pregnancy Category D and
 13 that it carry label warnings about the risk for congenital and cardiac malformations (see below).
 14

15 Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension. One recent study reported a 6-fold increase in the
 16 occurrence of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN) in infants exposed to an
 17 SSRI after the 20th week of gestation.²⁷ The specific SSRI medications that study participants
 18 reported using were citalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, and sertraline. The incidence of PPHN is
 19 low (~1/1000); therefore, even with a 6-fold increased in relative risk, the absolute number of
 20 infants affected is small. However, this information also is now included as a class effect in the
 21 product labeling of all SRIs.
 22

23 SSRI Toxicity

24
 25 Although exposure to SSRIs (except paroxetine) during early gestation does not appear to cause
 26 somatic teratogenesis, fetal and brain development continue throughout prenatal life. Thus, other
 27 adverse effects associated with SSRI exposure during pregnancy have been reported, including
 28 preterm delivery, delayed fetal growth, neonatal toxicity, and neonatal withdrawal symptoms.
 29

30 Preterm Delivery/Low Birth Weight. Many,^{14,15,18,28,29} but not all,^{14,30,31} studies have found
 31 evidence of preterm delivery in SSRI recipients. Virtually none of these studies controlled for the
 32 severity of maternal depression, and because the incidence of preterm delivery in these studies
 33 closely parallels that of women who are depressed during pregnancy, this effect may represent the
 34 effect of maternal depression as much as SSRI exposure.^{7,8}
 35

36 Similarly, some studies have reported lower birth weights for gestational age in SSRI-exposed
 37 infants, particularly at higher doses,^{29,32,33} while others have found no significant effect of SSRI
 38 exposure on birth weight.^{14,29,31,34} Most studies did not adequately control for the influence of
 39 maternal depression.
 40

41 Neonatal Toxicity and/or Withdrawal Symptoms

42
 43 Several case reports have noted the apparent association of late stage pregnancy exposure to
 44 SSRIs and symptom clusters in newborns, most commonly tremor/jitteriness, hypertonia, feeding
 45 problems, irritability/agitation, and respiratory distress.^{35,36} Databases containing information
 46 from spontaneous adverse drug event reports also support an association between SSRI exposure
 47 and a cluster of neonatal symptoms and behaviors appearing after delivery, sometime leading to
 48 lengthier hospitalization.³⁷⁻³⁹ These symptoms have been variably referred to as SRI withdrawal,
 49 SRI toxicity, poor neonatal adaptation, serotonergic excess, or serotonin syndrome. Limitations
 50 of case reports and of case series generated from databases of adverse drug event reports on SRI

1 toxicity or withdrawal include underreporting, reporting that is biased toward greater symptom
2 severity, limited case information, and inability to determine incidence rates.

3
4 Symptoms observed in neonates exposed to SSRIs in utero could be caused by excessive toxic
5 serotonergic effects, abrupt drug withdrawal, or a combination of both. Early-onset symptoms
6 are thought to represent toxicity, while later onset symptoms may be withdrawal reactions. Both
7 a discontinuation syndrome and “serotonin syndrome” reflecting excessive synaptic release of 5-
8 HT have been described in adults. In adults, discontinuation of SSRIs leads to nonspecific
9 symptoms such as disequilibrium (dizziness, ataxia, vertigo), tremor, gastrointestinal symptoms
10 (nausea, vomiting), sensory phenomena (paresthesia, electric shock sensation), sleep
11 disturbances, irritability, and various neuropsychiatric symptoms (anxiety, hypomania, depressed
12 mood, psychomotor impairment).⁴⁰⁻⁴² SSRI toxicity manifests as central nervous system (CNS)
13 (irritability, jitteriness, restlessness, anxiety, insomnia), neuromuscular (tremor, dystonia,
14 dyskinesia), and gastrointestinal (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea) signs and symptoms. A more severe
15 “serotonin syndrome” generally requires a combination of drugs that enhance 5-HT function,
16 producing a constellation of CNS (convulsions, disorientation, cognitive impairment) and
17 neuromuscular (hypertonia, rigidity, myoclonus, hyperreflexia, paresthesia) signs and symptoms,
18 as well as autonomic (tachypnea/respiratory distress, tachycardia) and body temperature (chills,
19 diaphoresis, hyperthermia) instability.^{41,43} Some of the symptoms associated with discontinuation
20 (eg, dizziness, nausea, tremor, anxiety/agitation, insomnia) overlap with signs attributable to SRI
21 toxicity. Many similarities exist between symptoms ascribed to “neonatal toxicity” and those
22 described in adults, as well as between symptoms ascribed to “neonatal withdrawal” and adult
23 discontinuation syndromes.

24
25 Cohort studies currently provide the highest quality information on perinatal signs and neonatal
26 symptoms associated with prenatal exposure to SSRIs. Limitations of these studies include
27 surveillance bias, sampling bias, and inadequate controls for depression and other birth outcome
28 confounders. A recent, informative systematic review³⁵ identified 10 cohort studies that had
29 clearly identified maternal SSRI exposure for a minimum of the final trimester of pregnancy
30 through delivery and that assessed neonatal outcomes.^{13,17,28,32,33,44-48} The following discussion
31 reflects the findings of this review.

32
33 In some of these studies, the control group consisted of women with “early” SSRI use--during
34 first 2 trimesters versus those with third trimester use.^{15,28,33} Some studies evaluated the presence
35 of a predefined SSRI-related behavioral syndrome, or employed a validated rating scale to
36 evaluate newborn behavior.^{15,17,34,45,47,48} Results of some studies were complicated by high
37 concomitant use of psychotropic drugs,³⁴ post-hoc data collection,²⁸ lack of control for severity of
38 depression or other mental illness,^{15,28,44} assessments that were not blinded,^{15,28,44} and obstetrical
39 complications that were inadequately assessed.^{15,28}

40
41 One of the earlier prospective controlled cohort studies evaluated the occurrence of “poor
42 neonatal adaptation,” defined as jitteriness, tachypnea, hypoglycemia, hypothermia, hypotonia,
43 mild-moderate respiratory distress, weak or absent cry, suckling problems, or oxygen desaturation
44 on feeding. These symptoms were significantly more frequent among 73 infants exposed to
45 fluoxetine during the third trimester (~32%) versus those with fluoxetine exposure in the first or
46 second trimester (~9%) or those who were unexposed. However, 30% of the women who took
47 fluoxetine in the third trimester also took other psychoactive drugs, and also were more likely to
48 smoke. Additionally, clinicians rating the infant behavior were not blinded, and the severity of
49 depression was not controlled for.¹⁵ Other cohort studies that used similar symptom clusters to
50 define the presence of poor neonatal adaptation found that ~ 22% to 30% of neonates exposed to
51 SSRIs in the third trimester suffered from this syndrome. Neonates exposed to SSRIs also

1 experienced higher rates of admission to special care nurseries, usually for treatment of
2 respiratory distress.^{28,34,45} When a 5-HT syndrome scale (modeled after adult symptoms of
3 serotonin syndrome) was applied to neonates whose mothers were treated with fluoxetine or
4 citalopram, significantly higher rates of myoclonus, restlessness, tremor, shivering, hyperreflexia,
5 incoordination, rigidity, and nausea were found.⁴⁴

6
7 The overall results of this systematic review indicated that, compared with early gestational
8 exposure or no exposure, late (third term) SSRI exposure carries an overall relative risk of ~3 for
9 the appearance of a neonatal behavioral syndrome with CNS, respiratory, motor, and
10 gastrointestinal signs.³⁵ Generally, this is a self-limited neonatal behavioral syndrome that is
11 managed with supportive care, although hospital stays may be extended in some cases.

12
13 Subsequent to the systematic review, other studies have been reported. A population-based study
14 on women exposed to SSRIs in the third trimester of pregnancy reported that infants exposed to
15 SSRIs had a moderately increased risk of requiring treatment in special or intensive care facilities
16 (15.7% vs 11.2%).³¹ Controls had no drug exposure, and were matched according to social
17 status, geographic area, year of birth, and parity, but not severity of maternal depression. Another
18 cohort study comparing 60 infants with SSRI exposure in the third trimester to unexposed infants
19 found evidence of a neonatal abstinence syndrome in 30% of neonates exposed to SSRIs in utero
20 using a standard rating scale; preterm infants were excluded from analysis.⁴⁹ Another
21 retrospective cohort study confirmed a higher incidence of CNS, respiratory, digestive, and
22 hypoglycemic symptoms in SSRI-exposed infants.⁵⁰ In this study, preterm infants with SSRI
23 exposure during the third trimester had longer hospital stays than preterm infants without SSRI
24 exposure.

25 26 Neurobehavioral Effects

27
28 Structural organogenesis occurs during the first trimester; however, brain development continues
29 throughout prenatal life. Because SSRIs affect brain neurochemistry, concern has been expressed
30 that exposure during the prenatal period may produce neurobehavioral effects that persist in
31 neonates, infants, and children. For example, pain reactivity in 2-month-old infants is blunted
32 after prenatal SSRI exposure, suggesting possible sustained neurobehavioral effects beyond
33 birth.⁵¹

34
35 Two prospective cohort studies from the same center compared outcomes of fluoxetine-exposed
36 children, children exposed to tricyclic antidepressants, and infants who were not exposed to
37 antidepressants.^{30,52} No significant differences existed among the 3 groups in cognitive,
38 language, and behavioral development at 16 to 86 months of age, or in IQ, temperament,
39 behavior, reactivity, distractibility, or activity level. However, a longer duration of maternal
40 depression during pregnancy was associated with poorer cognitive function in offspring, and the
41 number of maternal postnatal depressive episodes was associated with diminished language
42 development.³⁰

43
44 A small longitudinal study found no difference in internalizing behaviors in children aged 4 to 5
45 years with in utero exposure to SSRIs compared with unexposed children. The presence of a
46 maternal psychiatric disorder (anxiety) was correlated with the total internalizing score.⁵³ One
47 other study that compared children of depressed mothers who were not medicated with children
48 whose depressed mothers took SSRIs found that the latter were slightly delayed in their
49 psychomotor development and displayed subtle changes in motor movement control between the
50 ages of 6 and 40 months.⁵⁴ The presence of maternal depression was based on self-reporting.

1 Overall, these studies are somewhat reassuring and suggest that “poor neonatal adaptation” or
2 “neonatal withdrawal from SSRIs” does not necessarily translate into significant, persistent
3 behavioral effects.

4
5 Current Practice Guidelines and Treatment Recommendations

6
7 The APA, AAP, and ACOG have published practice guidelines or opinions on the use of
8 antidepressants during pregnancy. Those offered by the APA and AAP are somewhat dated and
9 were developed prior to the recent findings involving SSRIs, indicating the need for some
10 consensus on how to integrate this new information into contemporary clinical practice.

11
12 The current APA Clinical Guideline⁵⁵ indicates that:

- 13
14 • Pregnancy, lactation, or the wish to become pregnant may be an indication for
15 psychotherapy as an initial treatment in women with depression.
16 • Women of childbearing potential in psychiatric treatment should be carefully counseled,
17 and “whenever possible, a pregnancy should be planned in consultation with the
18 psychiatrist so that medication may be discontinued before conception, if feasible.”
19 • Antidepressant medications should be considered for pregnant women who have major
20 depressive disorder, as well as for those women who are in remission from major
21 depressive disorder, receiving maintenance medication, and deemed to be at high risk for
22 recurrence if the medication is discontinued.
23 • Consideration should be given to gradually tapering the medication 10 to 14 days before
24 the expected date of delivery.
25

26 Additionally, the APA’s Committee on Research on Psychiatric Treatments developed a model
27 for treatment decisions on depression during pregnancy.⁵⁶ The model outlines treatment options,
28 and encourages assessing the likelihood of various outcomes, including fetal toxicity, intrauterine
29 death, physical malformations, growth impairment, neonatal toxicity, and persistent
30 neurobehavioral effects. Advice also is provided on patient characteristics (ie, relative values,
31 perception of risk, competence to consent) that may influence decision-making. Optimally, the
32 use of the decision-making model helps to structure and individualize clinical treatment for
33 pregnant women with depression.⁵⁶
34

35 The AAP Practice Parameter on the Use of Psychotropic Medication in Pregnancy⁵⁷:

- 36 • Recommends use of the lowest dosage that provides adequate control in order to
37 minimize the risk of fetal and neonatal toxicity.
38 • Recommends that for depression, nortriptyline or desipramine, or possibly fluoxetine, are
39 preferred.
40

41 Most recently, ACOG’s Committee on Obstetric Practice addressed the controversy regarding use
42 of SSRIs in pregnancy, stating that⁵⁸:

- 43 • Potential risks associated with SSRI use throughout pregnancy must be considered in the
44 context of the risk of relapse of depression if maintenance treatment is discontinued.
45 • Treatment with all SSRIs or [SNRIs] or both during pregnancy should be individualized.
46 • Paroxetine use among pregnant women or women planning to become pregnant should
47 be avoided, if possible.

Labeling Changes to SSRIs/SNRIs

Following the June 9, 2004, meeting of the FDA's Pediatric Subcommittee of the Anti-infective Advisory Committee, the Committee strongly endorsed class labeling for the neonatal toxicity/withdrawal syndrome related to in utero exposure to SRIs.³⁷ Accordingly, class labeling changes were adopted that caution physicians and patients about neonatal complications associated with late pregnancy exposure and note that such complications have required prolonged hospitalization, respiratory support, and tube feeding. The label lists the clinical features of the SRI-related neonatal syndrome; suggests a withdrawal or toxicity mechanism, including serotonin syndrome for these symptom clusters; and states that tapering the medication in the third trimester might be considered an option to reduce or prevent these symptoms. The label also notes that women who discontinued antidepressant medication during pregnancy are more likely to experience a relapse of major depression than those who continue antidepressant medication.

Subsequently, class labeling changes incorporated the emerging data on pulmonary hypertension by noting that infants exposed to SSRIs in late pregnancy may have an increased risk for PPHN.⁵⁹

Specific warnings are advanced for paroxetine regarding its association with an increased risk for congenital and cardiac malformations.⁶⁰

Summary and Conclusion

With few exceptions, studies that evaluated the safety of SRIs in early pregnancy have not shown an increased risk of major congenital malformations. These results contributed to the increasing use of these agents during pregnancy. Data are conflicting on whether SRI exposure increases the risk of premature delivery and decreases age-appropriate birth weight. The use of paroxetine appears to be associated with a modest increased risk of major congenital malformations, as well as specific cardiac malformations, perhaps in a dose-dependent fashion.

The use of SRIs in the third trimester is associated with various perinatal complications, most frequently respiratory distress, irritability, and feeding problems. These problems are generally self-limiting and resolve with supportive care, but sometimes require more intensive measures. No deaths have been reported from these syndromes, and data on the possible long-term effects of prenatal SRI exposure on psychomotor and behavioral development, although sparse, are reassuring to date.

Further studies are needed to establish the actual frequency of these complications, whether the symptoms represent excessive serotonergic effects or are a manifestation of drug discontinuation, and whether tapering of the antidepressant late in pregnancy is an appropriate clinical maneuver to protect infants, without triggering relapse in the mother or an increase in the incidence of postpartum depression. Most existing studies have assessed either medication or illness effects without adequately controlling for the other. Consequently, purported adverse effects of SRI exposure may actually represent either direct or indirect effects of maternal depression and vice versa. A clinical study intended to answer many of these questions is ongoing.

The risks of antidepressant treatment during pregnancy cannot be meaningfully determined without a comparison group of depressed women who are untreated during pregnancy. Several studies suggest that untreated depression during pregnancy is associated with obstetrical complications and infant behavioral abnormalities, but studies comparing neonatal outcomes in treated and untreated depressed women during pregnancy are virtually nonexistent. Nevertheless,

1 there is general agreement that adequate treatment should not be withheld from a depressed
2 pregnant woman in late pregnancy. However, if the mother is treated with SRIs, the neonate
3 should be monitored for possible adverse effects, including during the immediate period after
4 normal delivery and release from the hospital.

5
6 Treatment recommendations for perinatal depression should be the product of a thorough risk-
7 benefit assessment that considers the maternal psychiatric history and the potential harmful
8 effects of untreated depression and exposure to antidepressant medications during particular
9 developmental windows. The decision-making process includes informed consent, medication
10 and dose selection, ongoing communication, and eventually, appropriate monitoring of neonates
11 in the immediate postnatal period.⁵⁶ The following points are germane:

- 12
- 13 • Pregnancy does not protect against the occurrence of depression, and the likelihood of relapse
- 14 is very high in untreated women with recurrent illness.
- 15 • Maternal depression adversely affects child development, and prenatal depression may
- 16 adversely affect birth outcome.
- 17 • Transient postnatal behavioral abnormalities in the offspring of treated mothers have not been
- 18 shown to create long-term problems.
- 19 • SRIs carry a small but significant risk for serious medical consequences.
- 20

21 Further research is necessary to better inform treatment decisions in women of childbearing age
22 who suffer from depression.

23 RECOMMENDATIONS

24
25
26 The Council on Science and Public Health recommends that the following recommendations be
27 adopted and that the remainder of this report be filed:

- 28
- 29 1. That our American Medical Association encourage further research into the treatment of
- 30 depression during pregnancy, including the effects of antidepressant drugs, as well as
- 31 strategies designed to best protect the health and welfare of both the mother and the child.
- 32 (Directive to Take Action)
- 33
- 34 2. That the Council on Science and Public Health monitor the activities of relevant medical
- 35 specialty societies on this issue, including development of practice guidelines or policy
- 36 statements, and assist as needed in educating the physician community. (Directive to Take
- 37 Action)

Fiscal Note: Staff costs less than \$500 to implement

References

1. Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Swartz M, Blazer DG, Nelson CB. Sex and depression in the National Comorbidity Survey. I. lifetime prevalence, chronicity, and recurrence. *J Affect Disorder*. 1993;29:85-96.
2. Burke KC, Burke JD, Rae DS, Regier DA. Comparing age at onset of major depression and other psychiatric disorders by birth cohorts in five US community populations. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1991;48:789-795.
3. Weissman MM, Olfson M. Depression in women: implications for health care research. *Science*. 1996;269:799-801.
4. Williams KE, Koran L. Obsessive-compulsive disorder in pregnancy, the puerperium, and the premenstruum. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1997;58:330-334.
5. Hertzberg T, Wahlbeck K. The impact of pregnancy and puerperium on panic disorder: a review. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;20:59-64.
6. Cohen LSD, Alshuler LL, Harlow BL, et al. Relapse of major depression during pregnancy in women who maintain or discontinue antidepressant treatment. *JAMA*. 2006;295:499-507.
7. Steer RA, Scholl TO, Hediger ML, Fischer RL. Self-reported depression and negative pregnancy outcome. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1992;45:1093-1099
8. Orr ST, Miller CA. Maternal depressive symptoms and the risk of poor pregnancy outcome: review of the literature and preliminary findings. *Epidemiol Rev*. 1995;17:165-171.
9. Chung TK, Lau TK, Yip AS, Chui HS, Lee DT. Antepartum depressive symptomatology is associated with adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes. *Psychosom Med*. 2001;63:830-834.
10. Andersson L, Sundstrom-Poromaa I, Wulff M, Astrom M, Bixo M. Implications of antenatal depression during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;104:467-476.
11. Bonari L, Pinto N, Ahn E, Einarson A, Steiner M, Koren G. Perinatal risks of untreated depression during pregnancy. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2004;49:726-735.
12. Kurki T, Hiilesmaa V, Raitasalo R, Mattila H, Ylikorkala O. Depression and anxiety in early pregnancy and risk for preeclampsia. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;95:487-490.
13. Newport DJ, Stowe ZN. Clinical management of perinatal depression: focus on paroxetine. *Psychopharmacol. Bull*. 2003;37(Suppl 1):148-165.
14. Pastuszak A, Schick-Boschetto B, Zuber C, et al. Pregnancy outcomes following first-trimester exposure to fluoxetine. *JAMA*. 1993;269:2246-2248.
15. Chambers CD, Johnson KA, Dick LM, Felix RD, Jones KL. Birth outcomes in pregnant women taking fluoxetine. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;335:1010-1015.

16. Kulin NA, Pastuszak A, Sage SR, et al. Pregnancy outcome following maternal use of the new selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: a prospective controlled multicenter study. *JAMA*. 1998;279:609-610.
17. Goldstein DJ, Corbin LA, Sundell KL. Effects of first-trimester fluoxetine exposure on the newborn. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1997;89:713-718.
18. Ericson A, Kallen B, Wiholm B. Delivery outcome after the use of antidepressants in early pregnancy. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 1999;55:503-508.
19. Wisner K, Gelenberg A, Leonard H, Zarin D, Frank E. Pharmacologic treatment of depression during pregnancy. *JAMA*. 1999;282:1264-1269.
20. Addis A, Koren G. Safety of fluoxetine during the first trimester of pregnancy: a meta-analytic review of epidemiologic studies. *Psychol Med*. 2000;30:89-94.
21. Wogelius P, Norgaard M, Gislum M et al. Maternal use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and risk of congenital malformations. *Epidemiology*. 2006;17:701-704.
22. Kallen BA, Olausson P. Maternal use of selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors in early pregnancy and infant congenital malformations. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol*. 2007;79:301-308.
23. Berard A, Ramos E, Rey E, Blais L, St.Andre M, Oraichi D. First trimester exposure to paroxetine and risk of cardiac malformations in infants: the importance of dosage. *Birth Defects Res*. 2007;80:18-27.
24. GlaxoSmithKline. Study EPIP083-Preliminary report. 2005. Available at: <http://ctr.gsk.co.uk/Summary/paroxetine/studylist.asp>. Accessed April 19, 2007.
25. GlaxoSmithKline. Study EPIP083-Updated Preliminary report. 2005. Available at: <http://ctr.gsk.co.uk/Summary/paroxetine/studylist.asp>. Accessed April 19, 2007.
26. Kallen B, Olausson P. Antidepressant drugs during pregnancy and infant congenital heart defect. Letter. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2006;21:221-222.
27. Chambers C, Hernandez-Diaz S, Van Marter LJ, et al. Selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors and risk of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354:579-587.
28. Costei AM, Kozer E, HO T, Ito S, Koren G. Perinatal outcome following third trimester exposure to paroxetine. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2002;156:1129-1132.
29. Simon GE, Cunningham ML, Davis RL. Outcomes of prenatal antidepressant exposure. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2002;159:2055-2061.
30. Nulman I, Rovet J, Stewart DE, et al. Child development following exposure to tricyclic antidepressants or fluoxetine throughout fetal life: a prospective, controlled study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2002;159:1889-1895.

31. Malm H, Klaukka T, Neuvonen PJ. Risks associated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2005;106:1289-1296.
32. Hendrick V, Smith LM, Suri R, Hwang S, Haynes D, Altshuler L. Birth outcomes after prenatal exposure to antidepressant medication. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2003;188:812-815.
33. Oberlander TF, Wartburton W, Misri S, Aghajanian J, Hetzman C. Neonatal outcomes after prenatal exposure to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor antidepressants and maternal depression using population-based linked health data. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2006;63:898-906.
34. Cohen LS, Heller VL, Bailey JW, Grush L, Ablon JS, Bouffard SM. Birth outcomes following prenatal exposure to fluoxetine. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2000;48:996-1000.
35. Moses-Kolko EL, BOgen D, Perel J, et al. Neonatal signs after late in utero exposure to serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *JAMA.* 2005;293:2372-2383.
36. Nordeng H, Spigset O. Treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in the third trimester of pregnancy. *Drug Safety.* 2005;28:565-581.
37. Minutes. June 9, 2004 meeting of the Pediatric Subcommittee of the Anti-infective Advisory Committee, the Committee. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/04/minutes/2004-4050M1.htm>. Accessed April 2007.
38. Sanz EJ, De-las-Cuevas C, Kiuru A, Bate A, Edwards R. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in pregnant women and neonatal withdrawal syndrome: a database analysis. *Lancet.* 2005;365:482-487.
39. Trenque T, Pednor D, Frances C, Millart H, Germain ML. Reports of withdrawal syndrome with the use of SSRIs: a case/non-case study in the French Pharmacovigilance database. *Pharmaco Epidemiol Drug Saf.* 2002;11:281-283.
40. Black K, Shea C, Dursun S, Kutcher S. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor discontinuation syndrome: proposed diagnostic criteria. *J Psychiatry Neurosci.* 2000;25:255-261.
41. Mason PJ, Sternbach H. The serotonin syndrome. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1991;148:705-713.
42. Schatzberg AF, Haddad P, Kaplan EM, et al. Serotonin reuptake inhibitor discontinuation syndrome: a hypothetical definition. Discontinuation Consensus Panel. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 1997;58(Suppl 7):5-10.
43. Mason PJ, Morris VA, Balcezak TJ. Serotonin syndrome. presentation of 2 cases and review of the literature. *Medicine.* 2000;79:201-209.
44. Laine K, Heikkinen T, Ekblad U, Kero P. Effects of exposure to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors during pregnancy on serotonergic symptoms in newborns and cord blood monoamine and prolactin concentrations. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2003;60:720-726.
45. Oberlander TF, Misri S, Fitzgerald CE, Kostaras X, Rurak D, Riggs W. Pharmacologic factors associated with transient neonatal symptoms following prenatal psychotropic medication exposure. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2004;65:230-237.

46. Kallen B. Neonate characteristics after maternal use of antidepressants in late pregnancy. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2004;158:312-316.
47. Zeskind PS, Stephens LE. Maternal selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor use during pregnancy and newborn neurobehavior. *Pediatrics.* 2004;113:368-375.
48. Misri S, Oberlander TF, Fairbrother N, et al. Relation between prenatal maternal mood and anxiety and neonatal health. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2004;49:684-689.
49. Levinson-Castiel R, Merlob P, Linder N, Sirota L, Klinger G. Neonatal abstinence syndrome after in utero exposure to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in term infants. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2006;160:173-176.
50. Ferreira E, Carceller AM, Agogue C, et al. Effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and venlafaxine during pregnancy in term and preterm neonates. *Pediatrics.* 2007;119:52-59.
51. Oberlander TF, Grunau RE, Fitzgerald C, Papsdorf M, Rurak D, Riggs W. Pain reactivity in 2-month-old infants after prenatal and postnatal serotonin reuptake inhibitor medication exposure. *Pediatrics.* 2005;115:411-425.
52. Nulman I, Rovet J, Stewart DE, et al. Neurodevelopment of children exposed in utero to antidepressant drugs. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;336:258-262.
53. Misri S, Reebye P, Kendrick K, et al. Internalizing behaviors in 4-year-old children exposed in utero to psychotropic medications. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2006;163:1026-1032.
54. Casper RC, Fleischer BE, Lee-Ancajas JC et al. Follow-up of children of depressed mothers exposed or not exposed to antidepressant drugs during pregnancy. *J Pediatr.* 2003;142:402-408.
55. American Psychiatric Association. Work Group on Major Depressive Disorder. *Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Major Depressive Disorder*, 2nd Edition. 2000. Available at: www.psych.org/psych_pract/treat/pg/MDD2e_05-15-06.pdf. Accessed April 19, 2007.
56. Wisner KL, Zarin DB, Holmboe ES, Appelbaum PS, Gelenberg AJ, Leonard HL, Frank E. Risk-benefit decision making for treatment of depression during pregnancy. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2000;157:1933-1940.
57. Committee on Drugs, American Academy of Pediatrics. Policy Statement. Use of psychoactive medication during pregnancy and possible effects on the fetus and newborn. *Pediatrics.* 2000;105:880-887.
58. Committee on Obstetric Practice, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;108:1601-1603.
59. FDA Alert: Increased Risk of Neonatal Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension. July, 2006. Available at: www.fda.gov/cder/drug/InfoSheets/HCP/paroxetineHCP.pdf. Accessed April 19, 2007.

60. FDA Public Health Advisory. Paroxetine. Available at:
www.fda.gov/cder/drug/advisory/paroxetine200512.htm. Accessed April 17, 2007.