

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON MEDICAL SERVICE

CMS Report 3-I-17

Subject: Non-Physician Screening Tests  
(Resolution 901-I-16)

Presented by: Paul A. Wertsch, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee J  
(Peter C. Amadio, MD, Chair)

---

1 At the American Medical Association’s (AMA) 2016 Interim Meeting, the House of Delegates  
2 referred Resolution 901, “Disclosure of Screening Test Risk and Benefits Performed without a  
3 Doctor’s Order,” submitted by the American College of Radiology, and the Virginia, Alabama,  
4 Georgia, Kentucky, District of Columbia, Mississippi, West Virginia, and South Carolina  
5 Delegations. The Board of Trustees referred this issue to the Council on Medical Service for a  
6 report back to the House at the 2017 Interim Meeting. Resolution 901-I-16 asked:

7  
8 That our AMA (1) advocate that if a screening test is being marketed as having a medical  
9 benefit and is offered and performed by a wellness program vendor without a specific order  
10 by the individual’s physician or other licensed provider, they must provide the patient with  
11 the test specific evidence based guidance that supports the utility of the test; (2) advocate  
12 that if the procedure is not supported by specific evidence based guidance as a screening  
13 test for that patient and the patient still would like the screening test, the Wellness Program  
14 Vendor must offer the patient the opportunity to discuss the risks, benefits, and alternatives  
15 with a physician licensed to practice medicine in the state in which the test is being  
16 performed; (3) engage with federal regulators on whether vendors of health and wellness  
17 programs are in compliance with regulations applicable to marketing to patients in view of  
18 the impact of such programs on patients; and (4) where possible, continue to work with  
19 state medical societies, interested medical specialty societies and state agencies to provide  
20 public education regarding appropriate use of vendor wellness programs.

21  
22 This report provides background on wellness program vendors, particularly focusing on employer-  
23 offered wellness programs, discussion on payment for vendor screenings, an overview of the  
24 clinical guidelines for screenings, an outline of the relevant legislation, and a series of policy  
25 recommendations regarding vendor wellness screenings.

26  
27 **BACKGROUND**

28  
29 Much of today’s health care system was created to provide diagnosis and treatment versus wellness  
30 and prevention. However, not only are many diseases preventable but also there are sustained  
31 concerns about health care spending. Accordingly, recent years have brought a focus on wellness  
32 and prevention. Codified in statutes like the Affordable Care Act (ACA), wellness programs have  
33 become a cornerstone in employer and health plan behavior.

1 More than 5,600 vendors reportedly generate annual revenue of \$8 billion in the wellness industry,  
2 of which \$6 billion is attributable to the workplace wellness industry.<sup>1</sup> Many employers now  
3 provide wellness programs to employees in an effort to help employees maintain their health and  
4 reduce health care costs. The workplace wellness industry generally consists of vendors that sell  
5 companies stand-alone wellness programs or programs that are an optional part of the employee's  
6 health insurance. In addition, some screening services are provided outside of the employer-based  
7 wellness program and are often accessed at wellness centers. The Council notes that the scope of  
8 this report is limited to basic screenings by a wellness vendor and does not encompass genetic  
9 testing. Notably, CMS/CSAPH Joint Report, "Precision Medicine," also presented at the 2017  
10 Interim Meeting, addresses payment and coverage of genetic testing.

11  
12 Several companies market wellness screenings, personalized health screenings, and biometric  
13 screenings. These services are performed outside of the traditional patient-physician setting and are  
14 often marketed to employers as wellness screening programs for their employees. The services  
15 provided vary, but they usually include a number of blood tests; ultrasound imaging for conditions,  
16 such as abdominal aortic aneurysm, carotid artery disease, and bone density; ankle-brachial index  
17 for peripheral artery disease and cardiovascular disease; and sometimes electrocardiogram. Other  
18 services include body composition analysis (e.g., body fat percentage, visceral fat, muscle mass and  
19 distribution, body water balance, total body weight, body mass index).

20  
21 The increasing availability of direct-to-consumer screening tests may undermine physician efforts  
22 to provide high-quality, cost-conscious screening services to patients through shared decision-  
23 making. The wellness vendor screening services at issue are not usually administered by physicians  
24 but instead by technicians or other non-physician health professionals outside of traditional health  
25 care settings. However, many of these vendor companies have physicians as part of their leadership  
26 teams serving as medical directors or members of an advisory board. Some companies are located  
27 in retail settings, and others offer services via the internet. Occasionally, the websites of these  
28 vendor companies include a disclaimer encouraging those who are interested in testing, or those  
29 who have received abnormal test results, to contact their physicians with questions. Some  
30 companies offer follow-up with a physician staff member if patients have questions about results.

### 31 32 PAYING FOR WELLNESS SCREENING TESTS

33  
34 Employers continue to show interest in wellness and screening programs that help employees  
35 identify health issues and manage chronic diseases. Therefore, many firms pay for such screenings  
36 and tests and some offer financial incentives to encourage employees to complete the health  
37 assessments.<sup>2</sup> Many large employers offering health assessments, biometric screenings, and  
38 wellness programs offer participating employees lower premium contributions or reduced cost-  
39 sharing.<sup>3</sup>

40  
41 Outside of the workplace wellness program paradigm, health insurance generally does not cover  
42 screenings that have not been recommended by physicians. Further, vendors generally make more  
43 money the more screenings they perform and therefore often recommend screenings for otherwise  
44 healthy people, a practice that has the effect of increasing overall health care costs.<sup>4</sup>

### 45 46 CLINICAL GUIDELINES FOR WELLNESS SCREENINGS

47  
48 There is concern that the screening services provided by wellness vendors are not always supported  
49 by clinical guidelines. Vendor programs do not need to follow screening guidelines from the US  
50 Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) or other guideline-making bodies. For example, the  
51 USPSTF found insufficient evidence to recommend several wellness tests including high sensitivity

1 C-reactive protein testing for coronary heart disease risk and ankle-brachial index to determine risk  
2 for peripheral artery disease and cardiovascular disease.<sup>5</sup> Additionally, concerns exist about  
3 providing screening tests to large numbers of patients who may not need them. Wellness programs  
4 offer blanket screening tests for nearly anyone while most screening guidelines are tailored based  
5 on age, gender, and other factors. For example, the USPSTF recommends abdominal aortic  
6 aneurysm screening only in men ages 65-75 who are or have been smokers, and when these  
7 guidelines are not followed it leads to unnecessary tests for which a given individual may have no  
8 indication. Additionally, the larger the screened population, the higher the number of false positive  
9 and false negative results. False positive results could set off a cascade of invasive, expensive, and  
10 potentially harmful follow-up tests, and false negative results could lead patients to forego  
11 necessary care.

### 12 13 EFFECTIVENESS OF WELLNESS PROGRAMS

14  
15 The return on investment for wellness programs and screenings is mixed. Often the programs fail  
16 to pay for themselves and confer no proven health benefit.<sup>6</sup> Commonly, wellness programs focus  
17 on two components: a lifestyle management program and a disease management program. The  
18 lifestyle management program focuses on individuals with health risks such as obesity and  
19 smoking while the disease management program is designed to help those who already have a  
20 chronic disease.<sup>7</sup> Programs focusing on disease management provide a greater return on investment  
21 than lifestyle management.<sup>8</sup> Overall, it is estimated that wellness programs reduced average health  
22 care costs by about \$30 per member per month; however, 87 percent of savings were attributable to  
23 disease management programs that focus on interventions for individuals with already-diagnosed  
24 conditions in order to reduce complications and related health care utilization.<sup>9</sup> Additionally, it is  
25 expensive for employers to pay for wellness program screenings and incentives, and interventions  
26 such as subsidizing healthy food choices and reimbursing employees for gym memberships may  
27 prove more beneficial.<sup>10,11</sup>

### 28 29 RELEVANT REGULATIONS

30  
31 Many states have laws allowing patients to order their own laboratory tests. Additionally, the  
32 claims of efficacy made by the vendors are subject to Federal Trade Commission rules on truth-in-  
33 advertising, and therefore the claims must be truthful, not misleading, and must be substantiated.  
34 Many companies providing these services include language on their websites and other publications  
35 stating that test results do not constitute medical advice or diagnoses, thereby limiting their liability.

36  
37 In response to public health concerns over an unregulated industry, Congress passed the Clinical  
38 Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) to establish standards for diagnostic testing  
39 including standards related to safety guidelines, standards to ensure the accuracy and reliability of  
40 test results, and standards for laboratory staff, including appropriate level of training.<sup>12</sup> In order to  
41 operate, wellness vendors are expected to comply with these guidelines with respect to good  
42 practices and may then apply for and receive CLIA certification. Three federal agencies are  
43 responsible for the CLIA: The Food and Drug Administration, the Centers for Medicare and  
44 Medicaid Services, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.<sup>13</sup> Eighteen states have  
45 rules and regulations in addition to CLIA, and some states require vendor licensure in their public  
46 health codes.<sup>14</sup>

47  
48 Additionally, wellness programs must comply with a host of federal laws. These laws include the  
49 Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA),  
50 the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), the ACA, and the Health Insurance  
51 Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).<sup>15</sup> HIPAA applies to wellness programs offered as part

1 of an employer's group health plan. Therefore, information collected from or created about  
2 participants in the wellness program as part of the group health plan is considered personal health  
3 information and is protected by HIPAA.<sup>16</sup>

4  
5 RELEVANT AMA POLICY AND ADVOCACY

6  
7 Policy H-425.996 on multiphasic health screening programs states that entities that operate or  
8 sponsor such multiphasic health screening programs should be urged to include in their  
9 promotional and explanatory materials about the availability of the program, a definitive statement  
10 that reports on the screening test results will be furnished to the individual participants only and  
11 that each participant is responsible for obtaining any needed medical evaluation or follow-up  
12 should the results of the tests deviate from the normal range. Those operating or sponsoring  
13 multiphasic health screening programs also should be urged to utilize report forms that state in bold  
14 type that the report does not constitute a medical diagnosis or evaluation and that the participant  
15 should consult a physician of his or her choice if the screening test results are not within the normal  
16 limits indicated on the report. Policy H-425.997 more generally states that preventive care should  
17 ideally be coordinated by a patient's physician.

18  
19 Policy H-425.994 states that the evaluation of a healthy person by a physician can serve as a  
20 convenient reference point for preventive services and for counseling about healthful living and  
21 known risk factors and that the testing of individuals should be pursued only when adequate  
22 treatment and follow-up can be arranged for the abnormal conditions and risk factors identified.

23  
24 To promote continuity of care, Policy H-160.921 states that retail health clinics must establish  
25 protocols for ensuring continuity of care with practicing physicians within the local community and  
26 that retail health clinics should be encouraged to use electronic health records as a means of  
27 communicating patient information and facilitating continuity of care. Further, Policy H-160.921  
28 states that retail health clinics should encourage patients to establish care with a primary care  
29 physician to ensure continuity of care.

30  
31 Policy D-35.985 recognizes non-physician providers as valuable components of the physician-led  
32 health care team. With respect to the health care team, Policy H-275.976 states that the health  
33 professional who coordinates an individual's health care has an ethical responsibility to ensure that  
34 the services rendered are provided by those whose competence and performance are suited to  
35 render those services safely and effectively.

36  
37 Policy H-330.879 on providers and Medicare's Annual Wellness Visit (AWV) articulates principles  
38 reinforcing the need to protect against vendors fragmenting care and the need to preserve the  
39 physician-patient relationship. Specifically, Policy H-330.879 recognizes the need for safeguards in  
40 such circumstances and states that the AWV is a benefit most appropriately provided by a  
41 physician or a member of the physician-led health care team that establishes or continues to provide  
42 ongoing continuity of care. Further, this policy supports that, at a minimum, any clinician  
43 performing the AWV must enumerate all findings from the visit and make provisions for all  
44 appropriate follow-up care.

45  
46 DISCUSSION

47  
48 Though well intentioned, the wellness industry often has the effect of duplicating care that  
49 physicians are already providing, unnecessarily increasing physician workload, and obstructing the  
50 physician-patient relationship.<sup>17</sup> The Council believes wellness programs often incentivize  
51 unnecessary testing and practices that are contrary to evidence-based medicine and medical

1 judgment. Accordingly, the Council offers a number of principles intended to address these issues  
2 and advance the goal of reducing cost of care that does not add value and promoting quality care.  
3

4 If protections are in place, evidence-based wellness programs can have a positive impact on health  
5 by encouraging healthy behaviors and proper disease management strategies. To that end and  
6 consistent with the intent of Resolution 901-I-16, the Council recommends that wellness program  
7 vendors must disclose for whom a screening test is indicated on the basis of accepted evidence-  
8 based guidelines. Additionally, the Council believes vendors must inform patients of the potential  
9 benefits and risks of performing a test and of positive or negative screening test results before a test  
10 is performed. The Council believes these principles will help bring vendor practices in line with  
11 evidence-based guidelines and aid patients in informed decision-making.  
12

13 Further, the Council believes it is important that wellness program vendors disclose the  
14 qualifications of any individual performing the test as well as those individuals interpreting the test  
15 results. Moreover, wellness program vendors should use local physicians as medical directors or  
16 supervisors. These recommendations advance the goals of patient education and recognition that  
17 physicians are best suited to lead health care teams pursuant to AMA policy. In addition, the  
18 Council believes it is important that any policy on vendor screenings limits a physician's liability  
19 and protects against physician administrative burden. To that end, the Council recommends that  
20 results of a screening test should only be sent to the individual and that test results showing a  
21 positive or otherwise abnormal test result should require a consultation with the patient's primary  
22 care physician or usual source of care. Additionally, the Council recommends that physicians not  
23 be held liable for delayed or missed diagnoses indicated on third party vendor tests. The Council  
24 believes that this recommendation expressly reaffirms the rule that physician liability be limited  
25 when stemming from tests that have not been shared with the physician. Finally, the Council  
26 believes that Policy H-425.996 is outdated and that its recommendations herein regarding non-  
27 physician screenings supersede the policy and therefore recommends that Policy H-425.996 be  
28 rescinded.  
29

30 The following recommendations complement the body of AMA policy on non-physician tests and  
31 care including that on the Medicare Annual Wellness Visit and retail health clinics. The Council  
32 approaches this issue with the belief that, if proper safeguards and guidelines are in place, such  
33 wellness program vendors can have an appropriate role in the health care system and help advance  
34 the goals of better, more cost effective care.  
35

### 36 RECOMMENDATIONS

37

38 The Council on Medical Service recommends that the following be adopted in lieu of Resolution  
39 901-I-16 and that the remainder of the report be filed:  
40

- 41 1. That our American Medical Association (AMA) reaffirm Policy H-425.994 stating that the  
42 evaluation of a healthy person by a physician can serve as a convenient reference point for  
43 preventive services and for counseling about healthful living and known risk factors.  
44 (Reaffirm HOD Policy)  
45
- 46 2. That our AMA reaffirm Policy H-425.997 stating that preventive care should be  
47 coordinated by a patient's physician and encouraging development of policies and  
48 mechanisms to assure the continuity, coordination, and continuous availability of patient  
49 care, including preventive care and early-detection screening services. (Reaffirm HOD  
50 Policy)

- 1 3. That it be the policy of our AMA that any wellness program vendor providing non-  
2 physician ordered screenings should adhere to the following principles:  
3
  - 4 a. Must disclose for whom a screening test is indicated on the basis of accepted  
5 evidence-based guidelines;  
6
  - 7 b. Must inform patients of the potential benefits and risks of performing a test and of  
8 the implications of positive or negative screening test results before a test is  
9 performed;  
10
  - 11 c. Must disclose the qualifications of any persons in contact with the patient and of  
12 any persons interpreting the results of any screening test;  
13
  - 14 d. Should use local physicians as medical directors or supervisors in the appropriate  
15 specialty with the requisite state licensure;  
16
  - 17 e. Should send results of any screening to the individual patient and to the primary  
18 care physician or usual source of care, upon patient request;  
19
  - 20 f. Should require a consultation with the patient's primary care physician or usual  
21 source of care if a screening test shows a positive or otherwise abnormal test result;  
22 and  
23
  - 24 g. If the test results are of a critical level or value, the patient should be contacted  
25 immediately and notified of the need for urgent or emergent medical evaluation.  
26 (New HOD Policy)  
27
- 28 4. That our AMA support that physicians not be held liable for delayed or missed diagnoses  
29 indicated on wellness program vendor non-physician ordered screenings. (New HOD  
30 Policy)  
31
- 32 5. That our AMA rescind Policy H-425.996. (Rescind HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> Karen Pollitz and Matthew Rae. Workplace Wellness Programs Characteristics and Requirements. Kaiser Family Foundation. May 2016. Available at: <http://www.kff.org/private-insurance/issue-brief/workplace-wellness-programs-characteristics-and-requirements/>
- <sup>2</sup> 2015 Employer Health Benefits Survey. Kaiser Family Foundation. September 2015. Available at: <http://www.kff.org/report-section/ehbs-2015-section-twelve-health-risk-assessment-biometrics-screening-and-wellness-programs/>
- <sup>3</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>4</sup> L.V. Anderson. Workplace Wellness Programs are a Sham. Slate. September 2016. Available at: [http://www.slate.com/articles/health\\_and\\_science/the\\_ladder/2016/09/workplace\\_wellness\\_programs\\_are\\_a\\_sham.html](http://www.slate.com/articles/health_and_science/the_ladder/2016/09/workplace_wellness_programs_are_a_sham.html)
- <sup>5</sup> U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. October 2009. Available at: <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/UpdateSummaryFinal/coronary-heart-disease-screening-using-non-traditional-risk-factors>
- <sup>6</sup> Al Lewis, et al. Workplace Wellness Produces No Savings. Health Affairs Blog. November 2014. Available at: <http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2014/11/25/workplace-wellness-produces-no-savings/>
- <sup>7</sup> Do Workplace Wellness Programs Save Employers Money. Rand Corporation. 2014. Available at: [http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research\\_briefs/RB9700/RB9744/RAND\\_RB9744.pdf](http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_briefs/RB9700/RB9744/RAND_RB9744.pdf)
- <sup>8</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>9</sup> Karen Pollitz and Matthew Rae, *supra* note 1.
- <sup>10</sup> *Supra* note 6.
- <sup>11</sup> L.V. Anderson, *supra* note 6.
- <sup>12</sup> Summit Health. Best Practices in On-Site Wellness Services: Guidelines for Choosing a Health Screening and Flu Shot Vendor. Available at: <https://www.summithealth.com/selecting%20an%20on-site%20wellness%20vendor%20white%20paper%20-%20summit%20health.pdf>
- <sup>13</sup> Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/IVDRegulatoryAssistance/ucm124105.htm>
- <sup>14</sup> Summit Health, *supra* note 12.
- <sup>15</sup> Soeren Mattke, et. al. Workplace Wellness Programs: Services Offered, Participation, and Incentives. Rand Corporation. 2015. Available at: <https://www.rand.org/pubs/periodicals/health-quarterly/issues/v5/n2/07.html>
- <sup>16</sup> HIPAA Privacy and Security and Workplace Wellness Programs. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Available at: <https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-professionals/privacy/workplace-wellness/index.html>
- <sup>17</sup> Yul Enjes. Workplace Wellness Program Requirements Should Reflect High-Value Recommendations. ACP Internist. Available at: <https://www.acpinternist.org/weekly/archives/2017/02/14/5.htm>