

REPORT 2 OF THE COUNCIL ON MEDICAL SERVICE (A-18)  
Improving Affordability in the Health Insurance Exchanges  
(Reference Committee A)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the 2017 Annual Meeting, the House of Delegates adopted Policy D-165.934, “Studying Mechanisms Including a Public Option to Improve Health Insurance Marketplace Affordability, Competition and Stabilization.” The policy states that “our American Medical Association (AMA) will study: (1) mechanisms to improve affordability, competition and stability in the individual health insurance marketplace; and (2) the feasibility of a public option insurance plan as a model as a part of a pluralistic health care system to improve access to care.” In response to Policy D-165.934, the Council is presenting two reports at the 2018 Annual Meeting: this one, which is focused on improving affordability in the individual health insurance marketplace, and Council on Medical Service Report 3, “Ensuring Marketplace Competition and Health Plan Choice.”

The Council believes that there is an opportunity to improve affordability in the health insurance exchanges through extending eligibility for premium tax credits, as well as increasing tax credit amounts for some individuals who are already eligible for them. Extending eligibility for advance premium tax credits to 500 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) would assist individuals with incomes between 400 and 500 percent FPL to obtain coverage, consistent with Policy H-165.848 on individual responsibility. Another key mechanism to improve health insurance affordability, help balance the individual market risk pool and increase coverage rates among young adults is the provision of “enhanced” tax credits to young adults, which provides those aged 19 to 35 who are eligible for advance premium tax credits with “enhanced” premium tax credits—eg, an additional \$50 per month for those ages 19-30, the amount declining to age 35.

The Council recognizes that the effectiveness of premium tax credits as a mechanism to improve health insurance affordability relies on individuals who are eligible for such assistance being aware of their eligibility. Toward that end, the Council recommends adequate funding for and expansion of outreach efforts to increase public awareness of premium tax credits to not only increase the number of people who are insured, but also help to balance the individual market risk pool by increasing overall marketplace enrollment.

The elimination of the federal individual mandate penalty has the potential to cause not only premium increases and coverage losses, but increased market instability starting in 2019. States have the opportunity for innovation to maximize the number of individuals covered and stabilize health insurance premiums. In particular, the Council is encouraged by activities and discussions on the state level pursuing state-level individual mandates, auto-enrollment and/or reinsurance, and believes those mechanisms hold great promise in improving coverage rates and market stability.

The Council is encouraged by the success of the Affordable Care Act’s (ACA) reinsurance program as well as state reinsurance programs under Section 1332 waiver authority in reducing premiums in comparison to what they otherwise would have been. By partially reimbursing plans for the costs of their high-risk enrollees, reinsurance would help stabilize premiums for all individuals with ACA marketplace coverage, while protecting patients with pre-existing conditions. Therefore, the Council recommends the establishment of a permanent federal reinsurance program. Taken together, the Council believes its policy recommendations will provide the AMA with consistent guidance for advocating for our patients.

## REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON MEDICAL SERVICE

CMS Report 2-A-18

Subject: Improving Affordability in the Health Insurance Exchanges

Presented by: Paul A. Wertsch, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee A  
(Jonathan D. Leffert, MD, Chair)

---

1 At the 2017 Annual Meeting, the House of Delegates adopted Policy D-165.934, “Studying  
2 Mechanisms Including a Public Option to Improve Health Insurance Marketplace Affordability,  
3 Competition and Stabilization.” The policy states that “our American Medical Association (AMA)  
4 will study: (1) mechanisms to improve affordability, competition and stability in the individual  
5 health insurance marketplace; and (2) the feasibility of a public option insurance plan as a model as  
6 a part of a pluralistic health care system to improve access to care.”

7  
8 The Board of Trustees assigned this item to the Council on Medical Service for a report back to the  
9 House of Delegates at the 2018 Annual Meeting. In response to Policy D-165.934, the Council is  
10 presenting two reports at the 2018 Annual Meeting: this one, which is focused on improving  
11 affordability in the individual health insurance marketplace, and Council on Medical Service  
12 Report 3, “Ensuring Marketplace Competition and Health Plan Choice.”

13  
14 This report provides background on recent premium increases in the Affordable Care Act (ACA)  
15 individual health insurance marketplaces and their associated impact on health plan affordability,  
16 outlines potential approaches to improve affordability in the ACA marketplaces, summarizes  
17 relevant AMA policy, and presents policy recommendations.

### 18 19 BACKGROUND

20  
21 Premiums in ACA marketplaces rose significantly in many counties across the country from 2017  
22 to 2018, due to factors including health insurer uncertainty about payment of cost-sharing  
23 reductions (CSRs) and enforcement of the individual mandate, lower insurer participation in the  
24 marketplaces, as well as more characteristic factors contributing to annual increases, including  
25 health care costs and trends. Depending on the county of residence and eligibility for premium tax  
26 credits, however, not all individuals have faced increases in their premiums from 2017 to 2018. For  
27 example, for a 40 year-old, unsubsidized premiums for the lowest-cost bronze, silver and gold  
28 plans increased nationally by an average of 17 percent, 32 percent and 18 percent respectively  
29 between 2017 and 2018. Premiums for silver plans experienced larger increases than bronze and  
30 gold plans as a result of insurer and state strategies employed in response to the termination of CSR  
31 payments.<sup>1</sup> For those consumers who enrolled in coverage via the healthcare.gov platform during  
32 the 2017 and 2018 open enrollment periods, the average premium before the application of any tax  
33 credit increased from \$476 in 2017 to \$621 in 2018.<sup>2</sup>

34  
35 Even though the federal government has stopped reimbursing insurers for CSRs, insurers are still  
36 required under the ACA to offer CSRs to individuals with incomes up to 250 percent of the federal

1 poverty level (FPL) who enroll in silver plans. Insurers, depending on the state in which they offer  
 2 plans, responded to the termination of CSR payments in one of four main ways in setting premiums  
 3 for the 2018 plan year:

- 4
- 5 • Increasing premiums only for silver plans offered inside the marketplace, because CSRs
- 6 are only available for these plans;
- 7 • Increasing premiums for all silver plans, including those offered inside and outside the
- 8 marketplace;
- 9 • Increasing premiums for all ACA-compliant individual market plans, including those
- 10 offered inside and outside the marketplace; and
- 11 • Not adjusting premiums at all in response to the termination of CSR payments, though this
- 12 strategy was very uncommon.<sup>3</sup>
- 13

14 Partially as a result of insurer responses to termination of CSR payments, for individuals who are  
 15 eligible for premium tax credits, subsidized premiums are often lower in 2018 than 2017. Of note,  
 16 of those consumers who selected or were automatically reenrolled in an ACA marketplace plan  
 17 during open enrollment this year, 83 percent received a tax credit to lower their premiums.<sup>4</sup> The  
 18 amount of premium tax credits an individual receives is based on the cost of the second lowest cost  
 19 silver (benchmark) plan available to them. In 2018, for states using the healthcare.gov platform, the  
 20 average monthly premium for the benchmark plan for a 27 year-old increased by 37 percent (\$411)  
 21 compared to 2017 (\$300). Such increases in benchmark plan premiums have yielded much higher  
 22 tax credit amounts for many individuals. For states using the healthcare.gov platform, the average  
 23 premium tax credit for individuals with 2017 coverage was estimated to increase by 45 percent  
 24 from 2017 to 2018, from \$382 to \$555.<sup>5</sup> For consumers who enrolled in plans during the 2018 open  
 25 enrollment period in states using the healthcare.gov platform and received a tax credit to lower  
 26 their premiums, the average premium tax credit was \$550. Among these consumers with a  
 27 premium tax credit, the tax credit covered approximately 86 percent of the total premium on  
 28 average. After the application of the tax credit, the average premium was \$89 per month.<sup>6</sup> With  
 29 higher premium tax credit amounts, gold plans became much more affordable, with bronze plans  
 30 oftentimes having very low or no premiums. In some counties, the premium of the lowest-cost gold  
 31 plan was even cheaper than the lowest-cost silver plan.

32  
 33 Looking ahead to 2019, resulting from the elimination of the individual mandate penalty due to  
 34 enactment of tax reform legislation, individuals will become uninsured, and premiums will  
 35 increase. In fact, the Congressional Budget Office has projected that repealing the individual  
 36 mandate, starting in 2019, would cause the number of individuals with health insurance coverage to  
 37 decrease by four million in 2019 and 13 million in 2027. At the same time, average premiums in  
 38 the nongroup market would increase by approximately 10 percent in most years of the coming  
 39 decade.<sup>7</sup>

40  
 41 **APPROACHES TO IMPROVE AFFORDABILITY IN THE INDIVIDUAL MARKETPLACE**

42  
 43 *State-Level Individual Mandates and Auto-Enrollment*

44  
 45 In light of the elimination of the federal individual mandate penalty, states have begun  
 46 contemplating approaches to prevent the projected coverage losses and the level of premium  
 47 increases anticipated in 2019. While the individual mandate of Massachusetts remains in place,  
 48 some states are moving forward with individual mandate requirements, with the status and  
 49 substance of such discussions varying by locality. For example, the New Jersey legislature  
 50 approved the New Jersey Health Insurance Market Preservation Act, which would institute an

1 individual mandate penalty in the state that largely resembles that of the ACA.<sup>8</sup> The Council notes  
 2 that state approaches to instituting state-level individual mandates, as well as auto-enrollment,  
 3 depend on whether a state has an income tax and the extent to which a state operates its own health  
 4 insurance marketplace.

5  
 6 The auto-enrollment option is also being considered in some states, to be either implemented  
 7 separately from or in concert with a state-level individual mandate. For example, in Maryland, the  
 8 Protect Maryland Health Care Act of 2018 has been introduced, which, if enacted into law, would  
 9 give uninsured residents who would otherwise be charged an individual mandate penalty a choice:  
 10 pay the penalty, or instead use the penalty amount as a down payment to assist them in purchasing  
 11 health insurance coverage. If there are plans available that cost no more than any applicable federal  
 12 premium tax credit amount and the down payment, consumers would be enrolled in such plans. If  
 13 there are no “zero premium” plans available, the down payment would be placed into an escrow  
 14 account that accumulates interest, which could then be used to purchase health insurance coverage  
 15 during the following open enrollment period. If consumers do not select a plan by the end of open  
 16 enrollment, and a “zero premium” plan has become available to them, they will be auto-enrolled in  
 17 such coverage. Otherwise, their down payment would be deposited into the newly established  
 18 Maryland Insurance Stabilization Fund, and be applied toward such initiatives as reinsurance.<sup>9,10</sup>

19  
 20 *State and Federal Reinsurance Programs*

21  
 22 The recommendations of Council on Medical Service Report 4-I-17 established Policy  
 23 H-165.842[3], which prefers reinsurance as a cost-effective and equitable mechanism to subsidize  
 24 the costs of high-cost and high-risk patients. State and federal reinsurance programs have been  
 25 shown to be effective in yielding premium reductions, in comparison to what they otherwise would  
 26 have been. On the federal level, the ACA’s temporary reinsurance program helped stabilize  
 27 premiums in the individual marketplace during the early years of ACA implementation. The  
 28 program provided payments to plans that enrolled higher-cost individuals whose costs exceeded a  
 29 certain threshold, also known as an attachment point, up to the reinsurance cap.<sup>11</sup> To fund the  
 30 ACA’s transitional reinsurance program, insurers and third party administrators paid \$63 per  
 31 enrollee per year in 2014, \$44 in 2015 and \$27 in 2016. These investments in reinsurance yielded  
 32 premium reductions. For example, in 2014, the \$10 billion reinsurance fund, the result of the \$63  
 33 per enrollee per year contributions, was estimated to reduce premiums by 10 to 14 percent. The  
 34 American Academy of Actuaries has stated that a permanent program to reimburse plans for the  
 35 costs of their high-risk enrollees would reduce premiums.<sup>12</sup>

36  
 37 States are also using ACA Section 1332 waivers to fund state reinsurance programs. Through an  
 38 approved 1332 waiver, Alaska was able to implement the Alaska Reinsurance Program (ARP) for  
 39 2018 and subsequent years. The ARP covers claims in the individual market for individuals with  
 40 one or more of 33 identified high-cost conditions to help stabilize premiums. As a result, insurers  
 41 relinquish both premiums received for such individuals as well as claims they would have paid  
 42 absent the waiver. Accordingly, premiums are 20 percent lower this year in the average plan on the  
 43 individual market than they would have been absent the waiver.<sup>13</sup> Other states have moved forward  
 44 with implementing more traditional state reinsurance programs through Section 1332 waivers. For  
 45 example, due to an approved 1332 waiver, premiums in Oregon were lower this year in comparison  
 46 to what they would have otherwise been.<sup>14</sup>

47  
 48 In the 115th Congress, federal legislation has been introduced to provide funding for reinsurance  
 49 programs. In the Senate, Senators Susan Collins (R-ME) and Bill Nelson (D-FL) introduced  
 50 S 1835, the Lower Premiums Through Reinsurance Act of 2017, which would allow states to  
 51 leverage Section 1332 waivers to apply and receive funding for reinsurance or invisible high-risk

1 pool programs. The legislation would provide \$5 billion in total for funding, split evenly between  
 2 fiscal years 2018 and 2019.<sup>15</sup>

3  
 4 In the House of Representatives, Congressmen Ryan Costello (R-PA) and Collin Peterson (D-MN)  
 5 introduced HR 4666, the Premium Relief Act of 2017, which would establish the Patient and State  
 6 Stability Fund, which would provide up to \$30 billion from 2019 to 2021 for the Secretary of  
 7 Health and Human Services (HHS) to allocate at his discretion to be used for defined, outlined  
 8 purposes, including reinsurance. If states do not apply for funding and administer their own  
 9 programs under the bill, a federal reinsurance program would be established in said states by  
 10 default. The legislation would also provide for reimbursements to insurers for CSR payments  
 11 retroactively for the last quarter of 2017, as well as for 2019 and 2020.<sup>16</sup>

12  
 13 HR 3311/S 1354, the Individual Health Insurance Marketplace Improvement Act, has been  
 14 introduced by Senator Thomas Carper (D-DE) and Congressman James Langevin (D-RI). If  
 15 enacted into law, the legislation would create a permanent federal reinsurance program. The  
 16 reinsurance program would provide payments to health plans to cover 80 percent of insurance  
 17 claims incurred by plan enrollees between \$50,000 and \$500,000 from 2018-2020, and between  
 18 \$100,000 and \$500,000 in 2021 and beyond.<sup>17,18</sup>

19  
 20 There was also debate to include funding for reinsurance as part of HR 1625, the Consolidated  
 21 Appropriations Act of 2018. However, ultimately such funding for reinsurance was not included in  
 22 the final package.

23  
 24 *Expansion of Eligibility for Premium Tax Credits*

25  
 26 Under the ACA, eligible individuals and families with incomes between 100 and 400 percent FPL  
 27 (133 and 400 percent in Medicaid expansion states) are being provided with refundable and  
 28 advanceable premium tax credits to purchase coverage on health insurance exchanges. The size of  
 29 premium credits is based on household income relative to the cost of premiums for the benchmark  
 30 plan, which is the second-lowest-cost silver plan offered on the exchange. The premium credit  
 31 thereby caps the percentage of income that individuals pay for their premiums.

32  
 33 Individuals and families with incomes over 400 percent FPL are left without any premium  
 34 assistance. The Council notes that the policy of our AMA in support of an individual responsibility  
 35 requirement (Policy H-165.848) states that once a system of refundable, advanceable tax credits  
 36 inversely related to income is implemented, that individuals and families earning less than 500  
 37 percent FPL should be required to obtain coverage. Extending advanceable premium tax credits to  
 38 those with incomes above 400 percent FPL would not only cause some individuals with incomes  
 39 between 400 and 500 percent FPL to be able to afford and obtain health insurance coverage, but  
 40 would also be highly consistent with Policy H-165.848.

41  
 42 *Enhanced Premium Tax Credits for Young Adults*

43  
 44 In order to improve insurance take-up rates among young adults and help balance the individual  
 45 health insurance market risk pool, young adults ages 19 to 30 who are eligible for advance  
 46 premium tax credits could be provided with “enhanced” premium tax credits—eg, an additional  
 47 \$50 per month—while maintaining the current premium tax credit structure which is inversely  
 48 related to income, as well as the current 3:1 age rating ratio. Smaller amounts could be provided to  
 49 individuals between ages 30–35. Under this policy option, the total credit, including the  
 50 “enhanced” tax credit, could not exceed the cost of the second-lowest-cost silver plan available to  
 51 them. Modeling of “enhanced” premium tax credits projects that individual market enrollment

1 would increase by one million with the proposal in place.<sup>19</sup> Of note, this approach to expanding  
 2 coverage among young adults would cost less to the federal government than changing the age  
 3 rating ratio from 3:1 to 5:1, as the latter would cause premiums for older adults to increase, as well  
 4 as the associated premium tax credit amounts. Significantly, changing the age rating would cause  
 5 some older adults to become uninsured; whereas with “enhanced” premium tax credits, individual  
 6 market enrollment among older adults would remain largely unchanged.<sup>20,21</sup>

7  
 8 *Improved Outreach About Premium Subsidies*

9  
 10 In August 2017, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services announced that it would be  
 11 spending \$10 million on educational activities targeted at new and returning marketplace enrollees  
 12 for the open enrollment period for the 2018 plan year,<sup>22</sup> which represented a 90 percent cut from  
 13 the \$100 million spent on ACA-related advertising in 2017.<sup>23</sup> In addition, federal spending on the  
 14 ACA’s navigator program, which provides outreach, education and enrollment assistance to  
 15 consumers eligible for marketplace coverage as well as Medicaid, was cut 40 percent.<sup>24</sup> However,  
 16 states operating their own health insurance marketplaces and navigator programs continued to  
 17 dedicate financial resources to outreach and educational activities, as did some non-profit entities.  
 18 It has been suggested that the difference in resources dedicated to outreach and education between  
 19 states operating their own marketplaces and states that relied on healthcare.gov impacted  
 20 enrollment successes in the marketplaces for 2018. For example, in the 16 states and DC with state-  
 21 based marketplaces, 2018 plan signups during the open enrollment period stayed consistent with  
 22 that of 2017, with a very slight increase. On the other hand, in the 34 states that fully relied on the  
 23 federal healthcare.gov platform, total plan signups decreased by more than five percent in  
 24 comparison to 2017.<sup>25</sup>

25  
 26 At the same time, of the 27.5 million nonelderly people who were uninsured in 2016, 7.9 million  
 27 were eligible for premium tax credits to purchase coverage through the marketplace. Data suggest  
 28 that there remains a lack of awareness about premium tax credits and other financial assistance that  
 29 may be available, as well as confusion about eligibility rules.<sup>26</sup> The Council notes that for  
 30 individuals who are eligible for premium tax credits but remain uninsured, improved outreach and  
 31 education about premium subsidies and their coverage options in the marketplace will be critical to  
 32 increase the number of people who are insured, and may help to balance the individual market risk  
 33 pool by increasing marketplace enrollment.

34  
 35 **RELEVANT AMA POLICY**

36  
 37 Over the course of the past couple of years, the Council has developed and presented reports  
 38 specifically addressing improving health insurance affordability. CMS Report 4-I-17 focused on  
 39 essential health benefits and the relative merits of high-risk pools versus reinsurance. The resulting  
 40 policies, H-165.846[3] and H-165.842[3], oppose the removal of categories from the essential  
 41 health benefits (EHB) package and their associated protections against annual and lifetime limits,  
 42 and out-of-pocket expenses; oppose waivers of EHB requirements that lead to the elimination of  
 43 EHB categories and their associated protections against annual and lifetime limits, and out-of-  
 44 pocket expenses; and prefer reinsurance as a cost-effective and equitable mechanism to subsidize  
 45 the costs of high-cost and high-risk patients. CMS Report 8-I-15 established Policy H-165.828,  
 46 which supports legislation or regulation to fix the “family glitch;” supports allowing workers and  
 47 their families to be eligible for subsidized exchange coverage if their employer coverage has  
 48 premiums high enough to make them exempt from the individual mandate; encourages the  
 49 development of demonstration projects to allow individuals eligible for cost-sharing subsidies, who  
 50 forego these subsidies by enrolling in a bronze plan, to have access to a health savings account  
 51 partially funded by an amount determined to be equivalent to the cost-sharing subsidy; and

1 supports capping the tax exclusion for employment-based health insurance as a funding stream to  
 2 improve health insurance affordability, including for individuals impacted by the inconsistency in  
 3 affordability definitions, individuals impacted by the “family glitch,” and individuals who forego  
 4 cost-sharing subsidies despite being eligible.

5  
 6 Policy H-165.841 supports the overall goal of ensuring that every American has access to  
 7 affordable high quality health care coverage. Policy H-165.845 states that health insurance  
 8 coverage should be equitable, affordable, and sustainable. Policy H-165.838 supports insurance  
 9 market reforms that expand choice of affordable coverage. Policy H-165.920 supports individual  
 10 tax credits as the preferred method for people to obtain health insurance coverage. Policy  
 11 H-165.865 states that tax credits should be refundable; inversely related to income; large enough  
 12 to ensure that health insurance is affordable for most people; fixed-dollar amounts for a given  
 13 income and family structure; and advanceable for low-income persons who could not afford the  
 14 monthly out-of-pocket premium costs. Policy H-373.998 states that health reform plans should  
 15 effectively provide universal access to an affordable and adequate spectrum of health care services,  
 16 maintain the quality of such services, and preserve patients’ freedom to select physicians and/or  
 17 health plans of their choice.

18  
 19 Policy H-165.848 supports a requirement that individuals and families who can afford health  
 20 insurance be required to obtain it, using the tax structure to achieve compliance. The policy  
 21 advocates a requirement that those earning greater than 500 percent FPL obtain a minimum level of  
 22 catastrophic and preventive coverage. Only upon implementation of tax credits or other coverage  
 23 subsidies would those earning less than 500 percent FPL be subject to the coverage requirement.  
 24 Policy H-165.856 supports health insurance coverage of pre-existing conditions with guaranteed  
 25 issue within the context of an individual mandate, in addition to guaranteed renewability. In CMS  
 26 Report 9-A-11, “Covering the Uninsured and Individual Responsibility,” the Council gave  
 27 thoughtful consideration to alternatives to requiring individual responsibility, including the  
 28 imposition of penalties for late enrollment, similar to Medicare Part D. The Council found that  
 29 analyses fail to prove that such alternatives would be as effective in covering the uninsured and  
 30 promoting a balanced risk pool of individuals between those who are sick and those who are  
 31 healthy as an individual responsibility requirement.

32  
 33 Addressing state innovation, Policy D-165.942 advocates that state governments be given the  
 34 freedom to develop and test different models for covering the uninsured, provided that their  
 35 proposed alternatives: a) meet or exceed the projected percentage of individuals covered under an  
 36 individual responsibility requirement while maintaining or improving upon established levels of  
 37 quality of care; b) ensure and maximize patient choice of physician and private health plan; and  
 38 c) include reforms that eliminate denials for pre-existing conditions.

39  
 40 **DISCUSSION**

41  
 42 With almost 12 million Americans enrolled in coverage offered through health insurance  
 43 exchanges this year, the Council affirms that progress has been made on a long-standing policy  
 44 priority of the AMA—supporting the purchase of individually selected and owned health insurance  
 45 coverage with use of refundable and advanceable tax credits inversely related to income. However,  
 46 the Council remains concerned with the premium increases experienced in the health insurance  
 47 marketplaces from their launch in the 2014 plan year, and at the same time recognizes that such  
 48 increases primarily impact those who are not eligible for premium tax credits. The Council believes  
 49 that there is an opportunity to extend eligibility for advance premium tax credits which are  
 50 inversely related to income consistent with Policy H-165.865 to 500 percent of FPL, which would

1 assist individuals with incomes between 400 and 500 percent FPL to obtain coverage, consistent  
2 with Policy H-165.848 on individual responsibility.

3  
4 The Council recognizes that the effectiveness of premium tax credits as a mechanism to improve  
5 health insurance affordability relies on individuals who are eligible for such assistance being aware  
6 of it. It is noteworthy that of the 27.5 million nonelderly people who were uninsured in 2016,  
7 7.9 million were eligible for premium tax credits to purchase coverage through the marketplace.  
8 There is a clear opportunity to improve awareness about premium tax credits and other financial  
9 assistance that may be available to enrollees, as well as clear up confusion about eligibility rules.  
10 Accordingly, the Council recommends adequate funding for and expansion of outreach efforts to  
11 increase public awareness of premium tax credits to not only increase the number of people who  
12 are insured, but also help to balance the individual market risk pool by increasing overall  
13 marketplace enrollment.

14  
15 Another key mechanism to help balance the individual market risk pool and increase coverage rates  
16 is the provision of “enhanced” tax credits to young adults. This proposal, which provides those  
17 aged 19 to 35 who are eligible for advance premium tax credits with “enhanced” premium tax  
18 credits—eg, an additional \$50 per month for those ages 19-30, the amount declining to age 35—  
19 has been projected to spur increases in young adult enrollment in the marketplace. Importantly, this  
20 policy recommendation maintains the current premium tax credit structure which is inversely  
21 related to income and as such is highly consistent with AMA policy. The Council notes that, as  
22 outlined in long-standing Policy H-165.920 and Policy H-165.828, eliminating or capping the  
23 employee tax exclusion for employment-based insurance could be used as a funding stream for the  
24 mechanisms proposed to improve health insurance affordability in this report.

25  
26 The elimination of the federal individual mandate penalty has the potential to cause not only  
27 premium increases and coverage losses, but increased market instability starting in 2019. An  
28 opportunity exists for state innovation to maximize the number of individuals covered and stabilize  
29 health insurance premiums. In particular, the Council is encouraged by activities and discussions  
30 on the state level pursuing state-level individual mandates, auto-enrollment and/or reinsurance, and  
31 believes those mechanisms hold great promise moving forward.

32  
33 Finally, the Council is encouraged by the success of the ACA’s reinsurance program as well as  
34 state reinsurance programs under Section 1332 waiver authority in reducing premiums in  
35 comparison to what they otherwise would have been. By partially reimbursing plans for the costs  
36 of their high-risk enrollees, reinsurance would help stabilize premiums for all individuals with  
37 ACA marketplace coverage, while protecting patients with pre-existing conditions. Therefore, the  
38 Council is recommending the establishment of a permanent federal reinsurance program. Upon the  
39 program’s launch, it will be essential to monitor and evaluate the program’s impact on premiums.

#### 40 41 RECOMMENDATIONS

42  
43 The Council on Medical Service recommends that the following be adopted and that the remainder  
44 of the report be filed:

- 45  
46 1. That our American Medical Association (AMA) support adequate funding for and  
47 expansion of outreach efforts to increase public awareness of advance premium tax credits.  
48 (New HOD Policy)
- 49  
50 2. That our AMA support expanding eligibility for premium tax credits up to 500 percent of  
51 the federal poverty level. (New HOD Policy)



- 1       3. That our AMA support providing young adults with enhanced premium tax credits while  
2       maintaining the current premium tax credit structure which is inversely related to income.  
3       (New HOD Policy)  
4
- 5       4. That our AMA encourage state innovation, including considering state-level individual  
6       mandates, auto-enrollment and/or reinsurance, to maximize the number of individuals  
7       covered and stabilize health insurance premiums without undercutting any existing patient  
8       protections. (New HOD Policy)  
9
- 10      5. That our AMA support the establishment of a permanent federal reinsurance program.  
11      (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500.

## REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> Semanskee, A, Claxton, G, and Levitt, L. How Premiums Are Changing In 2018. Kaiser Family Foundation. November 29, 2017. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/how-premiums-are-changing-in-2018/>.

<sup>2</sup> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Health Insurance Exchanges 2018 Open Enrollment Report. April 3, 2018. Available at: <https://www.cms.gov/Newsroom/MediaReleaseDatabase/Fact-sheets/2018-Fact-sheets-items/2018-04-03.html>.

<sup>3</sup> Kamal, R, Semanskee, A, Long, M, Claxton, G, and Levitt, L. How the Loss of Cost-Sharing Subsidy Payments is Affecting 2018 Premiums. Kaiser Family Foundation. October 27, 2017. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/how-the-loss-of-cost-sharing-subsidy-payments-is-affecting-2018-premiums/>.

<sup>4</sup> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, *supra* note 2.

<sup>5</sup> Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, US Department of Health and Human Services. Health Plan Choice and Premiums in the Federal Health Insurance Exchange. October 30, 2017. Available at: [https://aspe.hhs.gov/system/files/pdf/258456/Landscape\\_Master2018\\_1.pdf](https://aspe.hhs.gov/system/files/pdf/258456/Landscape_Master2018_1.pdf).

<sup>6</sup> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, *supra* note 2.

<sup>7</sup> Congressional Budget Office. Repealing the Individual Health Insurance Mandate: An Updated Estimate. November 2017. Available at: <https://www.cbo.gov/system/files/115th-congress-2017-2018/reports/53300-individualmandate.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> New Jersey Assembly Bill 3380, the New Jersey Health Insurance Market Preservation Act. Available at: [http://www.njleg.state.nj.us/2018/Bills/A3500/3380\\_I1.HTM](http://www.njleg.state.nj.us/2018/Bills/A3500/3380_I1.HTM).

<sup>9</sup> Maryland House Bill 1167, the Protect Maryland Health Care Act of 2018. Available at: <http://mgaleg.maryland.gov/2018RS/bills/hb/hb1167F.pdf>.

<sup>10</sup> Maryland Senate Bill 1011, the Protect Maryland Health Care Act of 2018. Available at: <http://mgaleg.maryland.gov/2018RS/bills/sb/sb1011F.pdf>.

<sup>11</sup> Cox C, Semanskee A, Claxton G, and Levitt L. Explaining Health Care Reform: Risk Adjustment, Reinsurance, and Risk Corridors. Kaiser Family Foundation. August 17, 2016. Available at: <http://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/explaining-health-care-reform-risk-adjustment-reinsurance-and-risk-corridors/>.

<sup>12</sup> American Academy of Actuaries Individual and Small Group Markets Committee. An Evaluation of the Individual Health Insurance Market and Implications of Potential Changes. January 2017. Available at: [http://www.actuary.org/files/publications/Acad\\_eval\\_indiv\\_mkt\\_011817.pdf](http://www.actuary.org/files/publications/Acad_eval_indiv_mkt_011817.pdf).

<sup>13</sup> Office of the Governor, Bill Walker, Alaska. Federal Government to Distribute \$58 Million to Alaska Reinsurance Program in 2018. February 9, 2018. Available at: <https://gov.alaska.gov/newsroom/2018/02/federal-government-to-distribute-58-million-to-alaska-reinsurance-program-in-2018/>.

<sup>14</sup> Howard H. The State Health Reform Assistance Network and State Health and Value Strategies programs of The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. More States Looking to Section 1332 Waivers. February 7, 2018. Available at: <http://www.statenetwork.org/more-states-looking-to-section-1332-waivers/>.

<sup>15</sup> S 1835, the Lower Premiums Through Reinsurance Act of 2017. Available at:

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/1835/text?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22reinsurance%22%5D%7D&r=1>.

<sup>16</sup> HR 4666, the Premium Relief Act of 2017. Available at: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/4666/text?r=2>.

<sup>17</sup> HR 3311, the Individual Health Insurance Marketplace Improvement Act. Available at:

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/3311/text>.

<sup>18</sup> S 1354, the Individual Health Insurance Marketplace Improvement Act. Available at:

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/1354/text?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22reinsurance%22%5D%7D&r=5>.

<sup>19</sup> Eibner, C and Liu, J. Options to Expand Health Insurance Enrollment in the Individual Market. The Commonwealth Fund. October 2017. Available at: <http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2017/oct/expand-insurance-enrollment-individual-market>.

<sup>20</sup> Eiber and Liu, *supra* note 19.

<sup>21</sup> Saltzman, E and Eibner, C. Insuring Younger Adults Through the ACA's Marketplaces: Options to Expand Enrollment. The Commonwealth Fund. Dec. 16, 2016. Available at:

<http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/blog/2016/dec/insuring-younger-adults>.

<sup>22</sup> Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Policies Related to the Navigator Program and Enrollment Education for the Upcoming Enrollment Period. August 31, 2017. Available at:

<https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/Health-Insurance-Marketplaces/Downloads/Policies-Related-Navigator-Program-Enrollment-Education-8-31-2017pdf.pdf>.

<sup>23</sup> Seervai, S. Cuts to the ACA's Outreach Budget Will Make It Harder for People to Enroll. The Commonwealth Fund. October 11, 2017. Available at:

<http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/features/2017/slashing-aca-funding>.

<sup>24</sup> Pollitz, K, Tolbert, J and Diaz, M. Data Note: Changes in 2017 Federal Navigator Funding. Kaiser Family Foundation. October 11, 2017. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/data-note-changes-in-2017-federal-navigator-funding/>.

<sup>25</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation. National ACA Marketplace Signups Dipped a Modest 3.7 Percent This Year. February 7, 2018. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/press-release/national-aca-marketplace-signups-dipped-a-modest-3-7-percent-this-year/>.

<sup>26</sup> Garfield, R, Damico, A, Foutz, J, Claxton, G and Levitt, L. Estimates of Eligibility for ACA Coverage among the Uninsured in 2016. Kaiser Family Foundation. October 25, 2017. Available at:

<https://www.kff.org/uninsured/issue-brief/estimates-of-eligibility-for-aca-coverage-among-the-uninsured-in-2016-october-2017-update/>.