AMA Guides® proposal submissions

The cadence for the release of new AMA Guides® content has not yet been determined. The AMA anticipates consistent, periodic updates to the AMA Guides to ensure the AMA Guides always reflect the best available medicine, however the AMA is cognizant of the intricacies of adoption.

In conjunction with their combined expertise across several medical disciplines, the AMA Guides Editorial Panel has adopted a comprehensive set of standards by which content proposals will be accepted.

Interested in submitting a proposal for updates to the AMA Guides? Please review the evidentiary and submission requirements.

Evidentiary requirements

A proposal for an editorial change must be supported by an evidence-based foundation when possible. When evidence-based medicine is not available, consensus-based medicine developed in a structured Delphi approach may be substituted.

In order of preference, one of the following is required to support each proposed editorial change:

- Evidence-based medicine documented in peer reviewed articles published in medical journals indexed in PubMed, Web of Science, SCOPUS, or Google Scholar that are classified as Level of Evidence I-IV in the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine Level of Evidence Table (Appendix A)
- Outcomes-based Real-World Evidence (RWE), meaning observational data generated during routine clinical practice and stored in EHRs, medical claims or billing databases, and registries with evidence determined to be of High or Moderate quality using the WHO’s GRADE (Grading or Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) framework (Appendix B)
- Other peer-reviewed sources such as specialty society guidelines developed in accordance with the Institute of Medicine standards¹
- Consensus-based decisions developed in a Delphi process² endorsed or overseen by a relevant stakeholder professional association of society

In some cases, evidence may take the form of data or case law that demonstrates inconsistent
application of the AMA Guides or a need for clarification of some element. In these cases, evidence of
the need to enhance the AMA Guides may include judicial outcomes such as:

- State-based workers’ compensation impairment rating data that is categorized by CPT code
  or injury code
- Industrial Commissioner (or similar) data on litigated case outcomes when impairment
  ratings are an issue
- Judicial opinions or law journal articles that identify a specific issue of wide and inconsistent
  impairment ratings for similar conditions

https://doi.org/10.17226/13058
https://www.rand.org/pubs/papers/P3558.html

AMA Guides editorial change application

To submit an application to update the AMA Guides please log into the AMA Guides Submission Portal.

More information on the AMA Guides

- Visit the overview page to get details about the initiative to modernize the Guides
- Read about the AMA Guides Editorial Panel selection process
- Register for an upcoming meeting
- Review and download documents from past meetings
- See the list of members of the AMA Guides Editorial Panel
- Review the FAQs