AMA Guides® proposal submissions

The cadence for the release of new AMA Guides® content has not yet been determined. The AMA anticipates consistent, periodic updates to the AMA Guides to ensure the AMA Guides always reflect the best available medicine, however the AMA is cognizant of the intricacies of adoption.

In conjunction with their combined expertise across several medical disciplines, the AMA Guides Editorial Panel has adopted a comprehensive set of standards by which content proposals will be accepted.

Interested in submitting a proposal for updates to the AMA Guides? Please review the evidentiary and submission requirements on this page. For additional information, download the AMA Guides process and requirements document (PDF).

Proposal submission requirements

All AMA Guides editorial change proposals are reviewed and evaluated by AMA Guides staff and the AMA Guides Editorial Panel. Strict conformance is required for review of an editorial change application. These include:

- Submission of a complete application, including all necessary supporting documents
- Adherence to all deadlines
- Cooperation with requests from AMA Guides staff and/or Editorial Panel members for clarification and information

The AMA Guides Sixth Edition is considered the foundation for proposed updates to the AMA Guides, and future updates to the AMA Guides will build upon the changes that precede them (i.e., AMA Guides Sixth 2022 will build on AMA Guides Sixth 2021).

Proposals that address previous editions of the AMA Guides (e.g., 5th, 4th, 3rd) will not be considered.

General criteria for Guides editorial changes

All editorial change proposals must satisfy each of the following criteria:

- The proposed editorial change is carefully drafted and conforms to the prevailing style of the
AMA Guides

The terminology and the analytical frameworks used in the proposal are consistent with the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF)

The structure and content of the proposed editorial change ensures that impairment ratings are transparent, clearly stated, and reproducible, to insure physician interrater reliability

The clinical soundness of the proposed editorial change is demonstrated with the best available evidence except in the case of minor editorial changes (review the evidentiary requirements for additional information)

Evidentiary requirements

A proposal for an editorial change must be supported by an evidence-based foundation when possible. When evidence-based medicine is not available, consensus-based medicine developed in a structured Delphi approach may be substituted.

In order of preference, one of the following is required to support each proposed editorial change:

- Evidence-based medicine documented in peer reviewed articles published in medical journals indexed in PubMed, Web of Science, SCOPUS, or Google Scholar that are classified as Level of Evidence I-IV in the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine Level of Evidence Table (Appendix A)
- Outcomes-based Real-World Evidence (RWE), meaning observational data generated during routine clinical practice and stored in EHRs, medical claims or billing databases, and registries with evidence determined to be of High or Moderate quality using the WHO’s GRADE (Grading or Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) framework (Appendix B)
- Other peer-reviewed sources such as specialty society guidelines developed in accordance with the Institute of Medicine standards\(^1\)
- Consensus-based decisions developed in a Delphi process\(^2\) endorsed or overseen by a relevant stakeholder professional association of society

In some cases, evidence may take the form of data or case law that demonstrates inconsistent application of the AMA Guides or a need for clarification of some element. In these cases, evidence of the need to enhance the AMA Guides may include judicial outcomes such as:

- State-based workers’ compensation impairment rating data that is categorized by CPT code or injury code
- Industrial Commissioner (or similar) data on litigated case outcomes when impairment ratings are an issue
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More information on the AMA Guides

- Learn more about AMA Guides Sixth 2021
- Visit the overview page to get details about the initiative to modernize the Guides
- Read about the AMA Guides Editorial Panel selection process
- Register for an upcoming meeting
- Review and download documents from past meetings
- See the list of members of the AMA Guides Editorial Panel
- Review the FAQs

Citations
